

ISSN: 2501-5915 ISSN-L: 2501-5915 Available on-line at: <u>www.oapub.org/edu</u>

DOI: 10.46827/ejae.v6i2.5666

Volume 6 | Issue 2 | 2021

A RADICAL CRITIQUE OF MODERN EDUCATION: A REVIEW OF "DESCHOOLING SOCIETY" BY IVAN ILLICH

Maria Grazia Vilobaⁱ

MA, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Cartagena, Cartagena, Colombia

Abstract:

Ivan Illich's *Deschooling Society* is a seminal work that challenges the very foundations of institutionalized learning. This review delves into Illich's central arguments, his vision for a deschooled society, and its relevance to contemporary educational discourse. By comparing Illich's work with other critical thinkers such as Paulo Freire, Jacques Ellul, Herbert Marcuse, Michel Foucault, and Neil Postman, this review highlights the enduring significance of *Deschooling Society* and its potential to inspire future educational reforms.

Keywords: Deschooling Society, Ivan Illich, educational reforms

1. A Radical Visionary and His Time

Ivan Illich, a polymath of the 20th century, emerged as a prominent critic of modern institutions. His life and work were deeply intertwined with the social and political upheavals of the 1960s and 1970s. *Deschooling Society*, published in 1971, is a product of this era, reflecting the growing disillusionment with traditional education and the rise of countercultural movements. Illich's radical vision challenges the very notion of schooling as the primary means of acquiring knowledge and skills.

2. The Critique of Institutionalized Learning

Illich argues that schools have become institutions of social control, rather than liberating forces. They homogenize individuals, stifle creativity, and perpetuate social inequality. The promise of equal educational opportunity is a myth, as schools often reinforce existing social divisions. Furthermore, Illich contends that formal education hinders the development of true learning, which occurs through direct experience, peer-to-peer interaction, and self-directed exploration.

Copyright © The Author(s). All Rights Reserved.

ⁱCorrespondence: email <u>mg.viloba99@yahoo.com</u>

Illich's critique of traditional education is rooted in his belief that schools are fundamentally flawed institutions. They are hierarchical, bureaucratic, and inefficient. They prioritize standardized testing and conformity over critical thinking and creativity. As a result, schools often fail to meet the needs of individual learners.

3. A Vision for a Deschooled Society

Illich envisions a society based on learning networks, where individuals can share knowledge and skills with one another, regardless of age or expertise. He emphasizes the importance of peer teaching and self-directed learning, arguing that individuals should be encouraged to learn at their own pace and in their own way. In a deschooled society, libraries, museums, and other cultural institutions would become centers of learning, accessible to all.

Illich's vision of a deschooled society is not without its challenges. Critics argue that it is overly idealistic and fails to address the practical realities of education. However, his ideas continue to inspire educators, activists, and thinkers.

4. Comparisons with Other Critical Thinkers

Illich's critique of traditional education aligns with the work of other critical thinkers. Paulo Freire, for example, shares Illich's concern for the oppressive nature of education and the need for critical consciousness. Both authors advocate for a learner-centered approach that empowers individuals to challenge the status quo. Freire's concept of "conscientization" is similar to Illich's idea of critical consciousness, which involves the development of a critical awareness of social and political issues.

Jacques Ellul and Herbert Marcuse, on the other hand, offer broader critiques of technological society and consumer culture, respectively. Both authors highlight the ways in which institutions, including schools, serve to maintain the dominant power structure. Ellul's concept of "*technical civilization*" is relevant to Illich's critique of the technocratic nature of modern education. Marcuse's analysis of "*one-dimensional man*" highlights the ways in which individuals are manipulated into conforming to the demands of the capitalist system, a theme that is also present in Illich's work.

Michel Foucault and Neil Postman provide additional insights into the mechanisms of social control and the impact of media on society. Foucault's analysis of disciplinary power sheds light on the ways in which schools shape individuals' behavior, while Postman's critique of media culture highlights the decline of critical thinking and the rise of superficial entertainment. Both authors offer important perspectives on the ways in which power is exercised and knowledge is produced in contemporary society.

6. Conclusion

Deschooling Society remains a provocative and influential work that challenges the conventional wisdom about education. While Illich's radical vision may not be fully

realized, his critique of institutionalized learning continues to resonate with educators, activists, and thinkers. By examining the limitations of traditional schooling and exploring alternative models of learning, Illich's work offers valuable insights for those seeking to create a more equitable and just educational system.

Illich's legacy is complex and multifaceted. He was a visionary thinker who challenged the status quo and inspired countless individuals to question the role of institutions in society. His work continues to be relevant today, as we grapple with the challenges of globalization, technological change, and social inequality. By understanding Illich's ideas, we can better understand the limitations of traditional education and work towards creating a more just and equitable future.

References

- Illich, I. (1971). *Deschooling society*. Harper & Row. Retrieved from <u>https://books.google.ro/books/about/Deschooling_Society.html?id=spjlrQEACA</u><u>AJ&redir_esc=y</u>
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Continuum. Retrieved from <u>https://books.google.ro/books/about/Pedagogy_of_the_Oppressed.html?id=M4M</u> <u>QAAAYAAJ&redir_esc=y</u>
- Ellul, J. (1964). *The technological society*. Alfred A. Knopf. Retrieved from <u>https://books.google.ro/books/about/The_Technological_Society.html?id=xmtZA</u> <u>AAAMAAJ&redir_esc=y</u>
- Marcuse, H. (1964). One-dimensional man: Studies in the ideology of advanced industrial society. Beacon Press. Retrieved from https://www.marxists.org/ebooks/marcuse/one-dimensional-man.pdf
- Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline & punish: The birth of the prison*. Vintage Books. Retrieved from

Postman, N. (1985). Amusing ourselves to death: Public discourse in the age of show business. Penguin Books. Retrieved from <u>https://books.google.ro/books/about/Amusing_Ourselves_to_Death.html?id=jVRj</u> <u>eQJ1fsYC&redir_esc=y</u>

Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Alternative Education Studies shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)</u>.