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Abstract:
The aim of this study is to investigate the effect of the inspiratory muscle warm-up protocol on acceleration and maximal speed in children aged 12-14. Thirty sedentary boys (age: 12.63 ± 0.89 years) participated in the study. Participants were randomly included in 4 different trials (T1, T2, T3, T4). Acceleration (10m, 20m) and maximal speed tests (30m, 50m) were randomly tested at 10 minutes intervals in each trial. Acceleration and speed tests were performed without any warm-up in T1 trial, with general warm-up in T2 trial, placebo inspiratory muscle warm-up in T3 trial, and inspiratory muscle warm-up in T4 trial. As a result of the statistical analysis, it was seen that general warm-up (T2) and inspiratory muscle warm-up (T4) increased performance significantly compared to control (T1) and placebo (T3) trials in all acceleration and speed tests (p <0.05). In conclusion, it can be said that the inspiratory muscle warm-up protocol has positive effects on acceleration and maximal speed performances, similar to general warm-up.
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1. Introduction

In order to achieve the goal in sports, apart from performing the most accurate exercises for the branch and the athlete, the scientific methods are carried out and the athlete’s efficiency power is constantly examined. The scientific studies, by looking at the finest details of the exercise, reveal the physical and psychological effects that contribute to athlete efficiency (Hagger, M. & Chatzisarantis, 2007; Spriet, 2014). Warm-up is a great issue in all sports activities. As a result of the non-targeted warm-up, we may encounter situations such as injury, defeat, not getting the desired efficiency (Bishop, 2003a).
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As detailed in the researches on general warm-up, inspiratory muscle warm-up, respiratory warm-up or respiratory muscle warm-up exercise activities have started to take place in recent years and have contributed positively to performance (Arend et al., 2015; Jung & Kim, 2015; Volianitis et al., 2001). The effect of respiratory muscle warm-up on performance has been studied by many researchers (Ekelund, 1967; Harms et al., 2000; Harver et al., 1989; Özda, 2016; Özda et al., 2016; Özda et al., 2014; Volianitis et al., 2001). In the present study, the physical performance of inspiratory muscle warm-up will be examined with reference to these previous studies. In this context, the purpose of this study is to investigate effect of inspiratory muscle warm-up protocol on acceleration and maximal speed in 12-14 years old children.

2. Material Method

2.1. Experimental Design and Participants
This study was designed according to the trial-controlled cross-test design in repeated measurements. Thirty sedentary boys (12,63±0,89 year) participated in the study. In order to determine the number of subjects, a priori test was applied with GPower 3.1 program. The subjects visited the test area 4 times in total. The aim of the study was explained to the subjects during their first visit. In addition, information was given about the tests and devices to be applied and the respiratory muscle warm-up exercise was showed practically. In the second visit, Acceleration (10m, 20m) and maximal speed tests (30m, 50m) were carried out randomly with intervals of 5 minutes without warm-up. In the third and fourth visit, General (10 min general warm-up and 5 min stretching for the lower extremity) and inspiratory muscle warm-up were randomly performed, and then the acceleration and maximal speed tests were randomly performed with intervals of 5 minutes.

| Table 2.1: Descriptive statistics of the participants (N=16) |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|                                | Min.| Max.| Mean| Std. D. |
| Age (year)                     | 11,00| 14,00| 12,63| 0,89   |
| Height (cm)                    | 150,00| 183,00| 161,88| 10,56  |
| Weight (kg)                    | 35,00| 55,00| 44,50| 6,67   |

2.2. Procedures

2.2.1 Warm-up Protocol
For general warm-up exercises, low-intensity aerobic running for 10 minutes, followed by dynamic stretching movements for 5 minutes to stretch the lower extremity muscles (Moran et al., 2017).

2.2.2. Inspiratory Muscle Warm-up
Inspiratory muscle warm-up was performed with the inspiratory muscle training device, 30 breaths x 2 sets of 40% MIP and 1-minute rest between sets in experimental section (Özdal, 2016).
2.2.3. Determination Respiratory Muscle Strength
Electronic respiratory pressure meter (Pocket Spiro MPM-100, Medical Electronic Construction R&D, Brussels, Belgium) was used for MIP calculation according to the 2002 guidelines of the American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society. For MIP measurement, participants performed maximal expiration, immediately following which they were asked to perform maximal inspiration for 1–3 s. The measurements were repeated until the difference between the best two measurements was 5 cmH2O, and the best result was recorded in terms of cmH2O (Kera, 2002).

2.2.4. Acceleration Performance Measurement (10m and 20m)
The running distance was 10 and 20 m. Measurements were made by placing a photocell device with a sensitivity of 0.01 seconds at the start and end points of the track. When the athlete was ready after this position, he started running at maximum speed. Measurement results recorded in seconds (Bloomfield et al., 2007).

2.2.5. Maximal Speed Measurement (30m and 50m)
Measurements were performed by placing a photocell device with a sensitivity of 0.01 seconds at the start and end points of the 30 and 50 meters track. The test started when the subject ready, and the time started when he passed the starting point by performing the acceleration run 20 m from the starting point. When it reaches the end point, the time has been stopped (Lesinski et al., 2014).

2.3. Statistical Analysis
SPSS 22.0 program was used for statistical analysis. Shapiro-Wilk test was used for normality test. One-way analysis of variance in repeated measures and Bonferoni correction test were used to analyze the difference between trials. Statistical results were evaluated at 0.05 significance levels.

3. Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. D.</th>
<th>Std. E.</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>14.137</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>T2-T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T2-T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T4-T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T4-T3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: Analysis of the change between trails in 10 m acceleration times

Table 3.1 shows the analysis of the change between trails in 10 m acceleration times. According to the statistical analysis significant differences were detected between trails (p<0.0.5). According to the correction test result, there was significant difference in favor of T2 between T2 and T1-T3, in favor of T4 between T4 and T1-T3 trials.
Table 3.2: Analysis of the change between trials in 20 m acceleration times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. D.</th>
<th>Std. E.</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>4,00</td>
<td>0,46</td>
<td>0,116</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>3,53</td>
<td>0,48</td>
<td>0,121</td>
<td>16.476</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>T2-T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>3,77</td>
<td>0,29</td>
<td>0,074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T2-T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>3,57</td>
<td>0,47</td>
<td>0,119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T4-T1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1: testing with no warm-up, T2: testing with general warm-up, T3: testing with inspiratory warm-up

Table 3.2 shows the analysis of the change between trials in 20 m acceleration times. According to the statistical analysis significant differences were detected between trials (p<0.05). According to the correction test result, there was significance difference in favor of T2 between T2 and T1-T3, in favor of T4 between T4 and T1-T3 trials.

Table 3.3: Analysis of change between trials in 30 m maximal speed times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. D.</th>
<th>Std. E.</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>4,22</td>
<td>0,52</td>
<td>0,130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>3,93</td>
<td>0,53</td>
<td>0,133</td>
<td>6.449</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>T2-T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>4,22</td>
<td>0,43</td>
<td>0,108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T2-T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>3,99</td>
<td>0,68</td>
<td>0,171</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T4-T1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1: testing with no warm-up, T2: testing with general warm-up, T3: testing with inspiratory warm-up

Table 3.3 shows the analysis of the change between trials in 30 m maximal speed times. According to the statistical analysis significant differences were detected between trials (p<0.05). According to the correction test result, there was significance difference in favor of T2 between T2 and T1-T3, in favor of T4 between T4 and T1-T3 trials.

Table 3.4: Analysis of change between trials in 50 m maximal speed times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. D.</th>
<th>Std. E.</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Differences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>7,63</td>
<td>0,67</td>
<td>0,168</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>7,13</td>
<td>0,84</td>
<td>0,210</td>
<td>9.113</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>T2-T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>7,55</td>
<td>0,74</td>
<td>0,185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T2-T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>7,25</td>
<td>0,86</td>
<td>0,215</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T4-T1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1: testing with no warm-up, T2: testing with general warm-up, T3: testing with inspiratory warm-up

Table 3.4 shows the analysis of the change between trials in 50 m maximal speed times. According to the statistical analysis significant differences were detected between trials (p<0.05). According to the correction test result, there was significant difference in favor of T2 between T2 and T1-T3-T4, in favor of T4 between T4 and T1-T3 trials.
4. Discussion and Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to investigate effect of inspiratory muscle warm-up protocol on acceleration and maximal speed in 12-14 years old children. With this purpose thirty sedentary boys (12,63±0,89 year) participated in the study. In the experimental measurements, general warm-up and respiratory warm-up were performed randomly to the participants. After that, the acceleration and speed test of the subjects were carried out randomly.

In the present study the effects of inspiratory muscle warm-up on performance (10-20 m acceleration, 30-50 m speed test) have been examined compared with different trials. Significant differences were detected in the 10 and 20 m acceleration tests in favor of the of inspiratory muscle warm-up trials between no-warm-up and placebo warm-up trials. On the other hand, significant differences were determined in the 30 and 50 m speed test in favor of the of inspiratory muscle warm-up trials between no-warm-up and placebo warm-up trials. The statistical significance in the experimental trials supported the effect of inspiratory muscle training on acceleration and maximal speed.

Additionally, in this study, the effects of general warm-up have been investigated on performance (10-20 m acceleration, 30-50 m speed test) compared with placebo inspiratory warm-up and no-warm-up trials. Significant differences were detected in the 10 and 20 m acceleration tests in favor of the of general warm-up trials between no-warm-up and placebo warm-up trials. However significant differences were determined in the 30 and 50 m speed test in favor of the general warm-up trials between no-warm-up and placebo and inspiratory warm-up trials. The statistical significance in the experimental trials supported the effect of general warm-up on acceleration and maximal speed.

When previous studies were examined, the effect of especially inspiratory muscle warm-up on acceleration and speed was not investigated much. Conducted studies, the generally effect of inspiratory warm-up on performance has been examined (Arend et al., 2015; Harms et al., 2000; Hartz et al., 2018; Harver et al., 1989; Özdal, 2016; Williams et al., 2002). Inspiratory muscle warm-up and exercise were performed on disabled and sick individuals as well as on healthy individuals and its effect on general health and respiratory functions has been studied (Dall’Ago et al., 2006; Enright et al., 2004; Harver et al., 1989; Okrzymowska et al., 2019).

According to the results from the current study, the positive effect of inspiratory muscle warm-up and general warm-up on speed and acceleration performance can be explained by the stimulation of core muscles and other muscles to achieve better performance. Because the warmed-up muscles becomes more physiologically and physically ready for difference intensity loads (Bishop, 2003b, 2003a). Additionally inspiratory and general warm-up increases the stability of the core muscles, which helps the athletes to perform their movements better and prevent the risk of injury (McConnell AK, 2011).

In summary, considering the positive effects of general and inspiratory muscle warm-up on tested parameters (10-20 m acceleration-30-50 m speed test), it can be
concluded that inspiratory muscle warm-up can have a positive effect on 10-20 m acceleration-30-50 m speed performance.
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