

European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science

ISSN: 2501 - 1235 ISSN-L: 2501 - 1235 Available on-line at: <u>www.oapub.org/edu</u>

DOI: 10.46827/ejpe.v9i2.4522

Volume 9 | Issue 2 | 2022

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FOOTBALL FANS' FANATICISM AND THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL COMMITMENT

Çağrı İlki

Acibadem University, Sports Science Faculty, Sports Management Department, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract:

This study examined the relationship between football fans' fanaticism levels and their psychological commitments. The sample of the study consisted of a total of 429 volunteer participants in Istanbul, Turkey; 11.7% female and 88.3% male, selected by convenience sampling method. Shapiro – Wilk normality test was applied to test the normality of the study data. Since the data had a normal distribution, descriptive statistics were used for the analysis in addition to independent sample t-tests and one-way ANOVA tests. Besides, the Pearson correlation test was performed to check the relationship between the scales. The results revealed significant differences in gender, age, marital status, educational status, and favorite football team/club between the sub-scales. The differences were found to be more significant, especially in age and football team variables. It can be said that as the level of fanaticism increases, the psychological commitment of the fans may also increase.

Keywords: football fans, fanaticism, psychological commitment

1. Introduction

The concepts of fanatic, supporter and sports fan have been commonly mentioned in football literature. A person who only watches a sports event is defined as a "spectator," one who has a sense of belonging to a team and follows and supports the athletes on that team is considered a "fan" and a person who is very devoted to a team is defined as a "fanatic" (Taşmektepligil, et al., 2014). Individuals feel rejuvenated and develop a sense of belonging through their commitment and devotion to football teams. Anger, joy, and enthusiasm are interwoven in football, so this sport should be addressed in detail (Talimciler, 2006). Football fans play a critical role in supporting the football industry. The concept of fandom is an urban ritual consisting of individuals who devote

ⁱ Correspondence: email <u>cagriilk@gmail.com</u>

themselves to their favorite team. Being a member of a football association gives a sense of belonging and happiness and brings a person a sense of social status. Fans play important roles in anything that a club achieves, making it a successful outcome of the efforts of both a club and its fans (Polat, 2016).

Football fanaticism differs across cultures and countries. For example, local teams and fan clubs are prevalent, especially in countries where local governments are dominant actors. People in a given city generally support the team in their city. Geographical and economic characteristics also play a role in football fanaticism (Cengiz, 2014). Today, spectators' and audiences' behavioral dispositions and personality traits have become a subject of discussion on many platforms. Recent years have witnessed undesirable changes in the behaviors of spectators and fans. It can be suggested that football team supporters emerge from psychological and social factors (Türksoy, et al., 2003).

The loyalty of sports fans is different compared to other markets. Fans' repetitive purchasing behaviors and economic contributions to sport clubs benefit the organizations. It also has a positive effect on fans' psychological commitment. However, not everybody in a football crowd in the stadium is a fan or supporter. Team fans have detailed information about their favorite club's history and current state and a high level of commitment to everything related to it (Yıkılmaz, 2017).

Hypothesis 1: There is a significant difference between the level of fanaticism levels and psychological commitment of the fans according to the gender variable.

Hypothesis 2: There is a significant difference between the level of fanaticism levels and psychological commitment of the fans according to the age variable.

Hypothesis 3: There is a significant difference between the level of fanaticism levels and psychological commitment of the fans according to marital status.

Hypothesis 4: There is a significant difference between the level of fanaticism levels and psychological commitment of the fans according to educational status.

Hypothesis 5: There is a significant difference between the level of fanaticism levels and psychological commitment of the fans according of the club.

2. Football Fans

When the literature was examined, football fans were divided into more than one group when the literature was examined. Fans, called fanatics, support their teams in all circumstances. Fans are more attached to their teams than fans. Fans truly believe they should participate in the game, not just to observe the event, but to help the team win (Özbaydar, 1983). Especially during a competition, some of the audiences are excited; others just focus on the quality of the game and enjoy the journey. Those who apply the rules of the game, either knowingly or unknowingly, sometimes criticize the referee's decisions or the player's actions. Some focus only on the scores of the goal, as they focus on the goal. However, for the fans, the feelings of victory and defeat are becoming more sympathetic.

3. Fanaticism

The concept of fanaticism has several definitions in the literature. For example, Hunt et al. (1999) classified fans/supporters under five categories: temporary fans, who support their teams only under certain conditions; local fans who support the teams in their region; devoted fans who dedicate themselves to their teams despite challenges or far distance; fanatical fans characterized by identification with a sports team or club and lastly dysfunctional fans who are sometimes called hooligans. In this sense, fans are more attached to their teams than supporters (İlk et al., 2020) and have egocentric, aggressive, and violent attitudes and behaviors (Kurak, 2020). Fanatic fans are mostly seen in football matches, especially on the day of football events. They support their teams on social media, in the stadium, or anywhere they can gather. Football fanaticism is characterized by spectators who are result-oriented, perceive anything as legitimate to win, do not care too much about the aesthetics and beauty of football, but are obsessed with the symbols of their teams, such as jersey colors and team anthems (Arıkan, 2007; Açak et al., 2018). The fanatical fans are firmly committed to their teams. They always support their team in any situation. The term fanaticism is multidimensional. While it refers to sincere love and commitment to a favorite team, it also expresses extreme and socially unacceptable behaviors, including aggression and violence (Kazan, 2009; Yıldız & Açak, 2018).

4. Psychological Commitment

Psychological commitment refers to the degree of one's decision-making process regarding psychological objects or events despite insufficient objective evidence (Yıkılmaz, 2017). Fanatism and commitment are concepts that have long been examined. However, little is known about the social and psychological factors that increase commitment to sports teams—behavioral measures of commitment address only repetitive purchasing behaviors (Eskiler et al. 2011). The psychological commitment of fans is acknowledged as a personal commitment or emotional involvement with a sports club (Bozgeyikli et al., 2018). Therefore, sports clubs should know the motivation behind fans' emotional commitment to them. The psychological level of commitment levels of fans affects their commitment behaviors, such as regularly following club matches regularly (Doğru et al., 2021). Clubs who know the motivations of their fans can create better marketing strategies. The knowledge of commitment levels offers opportunities to develop a sensible and non-violent supporter approach and a supply-demand balance (Kartal & İnan, 2018). This study aimed to examine the relationship between football fans' level of fanaticism level and their psychological commitments.

5. Methods and Material

5.1 Research Model

A descriptive survey design for this study was used. Such designs are preferred for studies conducted on large populations to determine individual attitudes and describe a specific event or phenomenon (Karakaya, 2012). The study group was selected using a convenience sampling method, a non-random sampling method.

5.2 Universe and Sample

The universe of the research consists of football fans. The sample of the research consists of 429 football fans in Istanbul, Turkey (50 female and 379 male).

It is more appropriate to use a quantitative research method when researching football fans. As the number of football fans is high, using a qualitative research method requires a longer and more comprehensive process. For this reason, the survey technique was used while working with the fans.

5.3 Data Collection Tools

The study data were collected using a personal information form (including items on gender, age, marital status, educational status, and favorite football club/team), *The Fanaticism Scale for Football Fans (FSFF)*, developed by Taşmektepligil et al. (2015), and *The Scale of Psychological Commitment to Sports Teams (SPCST)*, developed by Matsuoka (2001) and adapted into Turkish by Bozgeyikli et al. (2018).

5.3.1 The Fanaticism Scale for Football Fans (FSFF)

The scale was developed by Taşmektepligil et al. (2015) to measure the fanaticism levels of football fans. It is a four-point Likert-type scale including two sub-scales and 13 items: "Inclination to Violence" (8 items) and "the Sense of Belongingness" (5 items). The Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient of the original scale was 0.875.

5.3.2 The Scale of Psychological Commitment to Sports Teams (SPCST)

The instrument was developed by Matsuoka (2001) to determine the psychological commitments of football fans and adapted into Turkish by Bozgeyikli et al. (2018). It consists of 30 items and six subscales: Personal Identity (6 items), Affective Commitment (5 items), Calculative Commitment (4 items), Psychological Cost (4 items), Social Obligation (4 items), and Regional Tribalism (7 items). The reliability coefficient of the scale adapted by Bozgeyikli et al. (2018) was .93.

Çağrı İlk THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FOOTBALL FANS' FANATICISM AND THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL COMMITMENT

Sub-scales	Items	Cronbach's alpha
Fanaticism Scale for Football Fans		
Inclination to violence	8	,84
The sense of belongingness	5	,76
The Scale of Psychological Commitment to Sport T	eams	
Psychological cost	4	,73
Personal identity	6	,82
Social obligation	4	,70
Affective commitment	5	,78
Regional tribalism	7	,89
Calculative commitment	4	,72

Table 1: Internal consistency coefficients of sub-scales

Table 1 shows Cronbach's alpha internal consistency coefficients of the sub-scales. On the Fanatism Scale for Football Fans, it was found .84 for "inclination to violence" and .76 for "the sense of belongingness." On the Scale of Psychological Commitment to Sports Teams, the highest coefficient was .89 for the "regional tribalism, while the lowest was .70 for the "social obligation" sub-scale.

Considering the reliability results, the survey data revealed a reliable result.

5.4 Data Collection and Analysis

The survey data were collected online in order to reach more fans. The demographic data were analyzed using descriptive statistics. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed to determine whether the data had a normal distribution, and we observed a normal distribution was observed.

While analyzing the survey data, surveys with missing data were excluded from the scope. If a p>.05 value is obtained as a result of the analysis, it is interpreted that the distribution does not show a significant difference from the normal distribution.

Then, independent sample t-test and one-way ANOVA tests were performed. The significance level was set at (p<0.05). The analysis was performed through SPSS (Statistics Package for Social Science) 25 version.

6. Findings

Table 2 shows the distribution of demographic information of the participants. According to the analysis results, 88.3% of the participants were "Male," 39.4% were "39 years and older", 58.5% were "Married," 64.6% had "Bachelor's degree," and 32.4% were Beşiktaş fans.

Çağrı İlk THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FOOTBALL FANS' FANATICISM AND THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL COMMITMENT

Variables		n	%
Caradan	Female	50	11,7
Gender	Male	379	88,3
	18-24 years	43	10,0
A	25-31 years	87	20,3
Age	32-38 years	130	30,3
	39 years and older	169	39,4
	Married	251	58,5
Marital status	Single	178	41,5
	High school	54	12,6
Educational status	University	277	64,6
	Masters	98	22,8
	Beşiktaş	139	32,4
	Fenerbahçe	119	27,7
Free states (a sub-slit tas as /slads	Galatasaray	119	27,7
Favorite football team/club	Trabzonspor	31	7,2
	Other	21	4,9
	Total	429	100,0

Table 2: The demographic information of the participants

Table 3: The participant distribution by gender

FSFF	Gender	Ν	Mean	Sd	t	Р
Indination to violance	Female	50	3,22	,50	01/	416
Inclination to violence	Male	379	3,14	,59	t -,814 - 1,118 - 1,118 2,849 2,849 ,455 1,634 1,607 1,196 2,553	,416
The serves of helengingness	Female	50	2,25	,71	1 1 1 0	264
The sense of belongingness	Male	379	2,14	,63	1,110	,264
SPCST	Gender	Ν	Mean	Sd	t	Р
Payabalagical cost	Female	50	3,43	1,14	2 840	005
Psychological cost	Male	379	3,84	,93	-2,049	,005
Demonalidantity	Female	50	3,41	,95	455	640
Personal identity	Male	379	3,47	,93	-,433	,649
Social obligation	Female	50	2,46	,83	1 624	,103
Social obligation	Male	379	2,67	,86	- ,814 - 1,118 - 1,118 - 2,849 - ,455 - 1,634 - 1,607 - 1,196	,105
Affective commitment	Female	50	4,08	1,04	1 607	100
Affective commitment	Male	379	4,27	,75	-1,007	,109
Decional tribalism	Female	50	2,51	,82	1 106	222
Regional tribalism	Male	379	2,70	1,10	-1,190	,232
Calculative commitment	Female	50	3,07	1,02	2 552	011
Calculative commitment	Male	379	3,45	1,00	-2,000	,011

(p<0.05)

Table 3 presents the independent sample t-test results regarding participants' fanaticism and psychological commitment levels by gender. The analysis results revealed significant differences in the "Psychological cost" and "Calculative commitment" sub-scales (p<0.05).

Çağrı İlk
THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FOOTBALL FANS'
FANATICISM AND THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL COMMITMENT

Table 4: The participant distribution by age							
FSFF	Age	Ν	Mean	Sd	f	р	
	18-24 years	43	3,07	,57			
Inclination to violence	25-31 years	87	3,12	,56	2 (01	014	
Inclination to violence	32-38 years	130	3,06	,64	3,601	,014	
	39 years and older	169	3,26	,51			
	18-24 years	43	2,37	,62			
The series of helen sin mass	25-31 years	87	2,06	,58	E (79	001	
The sense of belongingness	32-38 years	130	2,02	,63	5,678 ,0	,001	
	39 years and older	169	2,26	,66			
SPCST	Age	Ν	Mean	Sd	f	р	
	18-24 years	43	3,84	,86			
Developies locat	25-31 years	87	3,86	,90	1 (20	100	
Psychological cost	32-38 years	130	3,89	,93	1,629	,182	
	39 years and older	169	3,66	1,05			
	18-24 years	43	3,64	,74	2,273		
Personal identity	25-31 years	87	3,40	,92		070	
	32-38 years	130	3,59	,91		,079	
	39 years and older	169	3,35	,98			
	18-24 years	43	2,75	,66	1.1.0		
	25-31 years	87	2,50	,72		224	
Social obligation	32-38 years	130	2,65	,86	1,163	,324	
	39 years and older	169	2,68	,95			
	18-24 years	43	4,31	,63			
A ffe etime energy item and	25-31 years	87	4,35	,59	4 (12	002	
Affective commitment	32-38 years	130	4,38	,71	4,613	,003	
	39 years and older	169	4,08	,94			
	18-24 years	43	2,55	,99			
	25-31 years	87	2,54	1,08	2.007	000	
Regional tribalism	32-38 years	130	2,53	,95	3,907	,009	
	39 years and older	169	2,90	1,15			
	18-24 years	43	3,48	,96			
Coloristico commitment	25-31 year	87	3,41	,98	404	(07	
Calculative commitment	32-38 years	130	3,47	,99	,494	,687	
	39 years and older	169	3,34	1,06			

(p<0.05)

Table 4 shows the results of a one-way ANOVA analysis to measure the participants' fanaticism and psychological commitment levels by age. Accordingly, there were significant differences between the "Inclination to Violence" and "the sense of belongingness" sub-scales in the FSFF. Similar meaningful differences were found between "Affective Commitment" and "Regional Tribalism" sub-scales in the SPCST (p<0.05).

Çağrı İlk
THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FOOTBALL FANS'
FANATICISM AND THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL COMMITMENT

Table 5: The participant distribution by marital status							
FSFF	Marital status	Ν	Mean	Sd	t	р	
Inclination to violence	Married	251	3,20	,58	2 102	020	
inclination to violence	Single	178	3,08	,57	2,192	,029	
The series of helen sin space	Married	251	2,18	,64	026	250	
The sense of belongingness	Single	178	2,12	,64	,936	,350	
SPCST	Marital status	Ν	Mean	Sd	t	р	
Derech also sized as at	Married	251	3,77	1,01	-,469	(20)	
Psychological cost	Single	178	3,82	,91		,639	
	Married	251	3,42	,99	-1,166	244	
Personal identity	Single	178	3,52	,84		,244	
Carial abligation	Married	251	2,66	,89		570	
Social obligation	Single	178	2,61	,81	,555	,579	
A ffections committee and	Married	251	4,19	,86	1.044	052	
Affective commitment	Single	178	4,34	,67	-1,944	,053	
	Married	251	2,75	1,10	1 7//	070	
Regional tribalism	Single	178	2,57	1,03	1,766	,078	
Calculative commitment	Married	251	3,42	1,07	404	697	
Calculative commitment	Single	178	3,38	,92	,404	,687	

(p<0.05)

An independent sample t-test was conducted to measure participants' fanaticism and psychological commitment levels by marital status. As seen in Table 5, there was a significant difference between the "Inclination to violence" sub-scale.

FSFF	Educational status	Ν	Mean	Sd	f	р
	High school	54	3,20	,63		
Inclination to violence	University	277	3,13	,56	,645	,525
	Master's	98	3,19	,59		
	High school	54	2,27	,64		
The sense of belongingness	University	277	2,10	,61	2,614	,074
	Master's	98	2,24	,70		
TBPÖ	Educational status	Ν	Mean	Sd	f	p
	High school	54	3,73	1,11		
Psychological cost	University	277	3,88	,92	3,383	,035
	Master's	98	3,58	,98		
	High school	54	3,42	1,03		
Personal identity	University	277	3,52	,91	1,462	,233
	Master's	98	3,33	,93		
	High school	54	2,71	,98		
Social obligation	University	277	2,67	,86	1,459	,234
	Master's	98	2,51	,76		
	High school	54	4,18	,98		
Affective commitment	University	277	4,30	,74	1,371	,255
	Master's	98	4,16	,81		
	High school	54	2,56	,99	109	608
Regional tribalism	University	277	2,71	1,11	,498	,608

Table 6: The participant distribution by educational status

Çağrı İlk
THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FOOTBALL FANS'
FANATICISM AND THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL COMMITMENT

	Master's	98	2,65	1,01		
	High school	54	3,42	1,02		
Calculative commitment	University	277	3,47	1,01	2,137	,119
	Master's	98	3,22	1,00		

(p<0.05)

Table 6 shows the results of a one-way ANOVA test performed to measure the participants' fanaticism and psychological commitment levels by educational status. Accordingly, we found a significant difference in the "Psychological cost" sub-scale.

FSFF	Football Club/team	Ν	Mean	Sd	f	р
	Beşiktaş	139	3,04	,59		
	Fenerbahçe	119	3,08	,62	F 200	000
Inclination to violence	Galatasaray	119	3,33	,52	5,206	,000,
	Trabzonspor	31	3,13	,46		
	Other	21	3,34	,48		
	Beşiktaş	139	1,87	,52		
	Fenerbahçe	119	2,26	,67		
The sense of belongingness	Galatasaray	119	2,38	,59	13,299	,000
	Trabzonspor	31	2,04	,48		
	Other	21	2,33	,92		
SPCST	Football Club/team	Ν	Mean	Sd	f	p
	Beşiktaş	139	3,97	,95		
	Fenerbahçe	119	3,71	,93		
Psychological cost	Galatasaray	119	3,67	,96	2,969	,019
	Trabzonspor	31	4,00	,83		
	Other	21	3,41	1,29		
	Beşiktaş	139	3,66	,93	4,994	
	Fenerbahçe	119	3,35	,90		
Personal identity	Galatasaray	119	3,31	,92		,001
	Trabzonspor	31	3,83	,63		
	Other	21	3,09	1,15		
	Beşiktaş	139	2,73	,88		
	Fenerbahçe	119	2,53	,84		
Social obligation	Galatasaray	119	2,70	,90	1,524	,194
	Trabzonspor	31	2,64	,65		
	Other	21	2,38	,83		
	Beşiktaş	139	4,41	,75		
	Fenerbahçe	119	4,14	,75		
Affective commitment	Galatasaray	119	4,15	,75	4,821	,001
	Trabzonspor	31	4,55	,52		
	Other	21	3,88	1,41		
	Beşiktaş	139	2,42	,89		
	Fenerbahçe	119	2,52	1,00		
Regional tribalism	Galatasaray	119	2,73	1,07	14,505	,000
	Trabzonspor	31	3,78	,95		
	Other	21	3,35	1,50		

 Table 7: The participant distribution by the favorite football club/team

FANATICISM AND THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL COMMITMENT						
	Beşiktaş	139	3,61	,96		
	Fenerbahçe	119	3,38	1,03		
Calculative commitment	Galatasaray	119	3,22	1,04	3,025	,018
	Trabzonspor	31	3,56	,82		
	Other	21	3,14	1,12	1	

Çağrı İlk THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FOOTBALL FANS' FANATICISM AND THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL COMMITMENT

(p<0.05)

A one-way ANOVA test was conducted to measure the participants' fanaticism and psychological commitment to their favorite football club/team. The results indicated a significant difference between the sub-scales of "Inclination to violence" and "the Sense of Belongingness." Similar differences were observed between the "Affective commitment," "Regional tribalism," and "Calculative commitment" sub-scales.

Table 8: The correlation between the sub-scales of the FSFF and SPCST

F8	F7	F6	F5	F4.	F3	F2	F1	
							1	F1.
						1	0.569**	F2
					1	-,518**	-,398**	F3
				1	0.744**	-,578**	-,485**	F4
			1	0.603**	0.597**	-,342**	-,328**	F5
		1	0.492**	0.743**.	0.760**	-,535**	-,366**	F6
	1	0.285**	0.472**	0.417**	0.330**	-,184**	-,078**	F7
1	0.308**	0.730**	0.574**	0.739**	0.806**	-,549**	-,499**	F8
							1	**(p<0.01)
	0.308** , F4: Pers	0.730** logical cost	0.574** F3: Psychol		0.806** he Sense of b	-,549** Hence, F2: th	-,499** ination to vio	F8 **(p<0.01) F1: Incl

The Spearman Correlation test was performed to determine whether there was a correlation between the sub-scales of both tools (α =0.01). The test results revealed a negative low and medium correlation between the sub-scales of both scales. However, there was a positive medium correlation between the sub-scales of the SPCST.

7. Discussion and Conclusion

Globally, football is a popular sport that has given rise to the emergence of several fans and supporter groups. Fanaticism is one of those supporter groups characterized by incredible devotion and passion for a team. Fanatics have higher psychological commitment levels than other groups of supporters. Fanatics with high psychological commitment also have emotional and psychological dispositions. In this sense, we aimed to examine the relationship between fanaticism and the psychological commitment levels of football fans.

As seen in Table 3, there was a statistically significant difference between psychological cost and calculative commitment sub-scales according to gender. However, no significant difference was found in fanaticism by gender. Similar findings in the literature were reached. Tanyeri (2019) indicated a difference according to gender regarding being a football fan. Similarly, Durgutluoğlu (2020) pointed out a significant difference in psychological commitment levels according to gender.

As shown in Table 4, we found significant differences in fanaticism and psychological commitment levels according to gender were found. For example, there were meaningful differences between the "Inclination to Violence" and "the Sense of belongingness" sub-scales in the FSFF and between the "Affective Commitment" and "Regional Tribalism" sub-scales in the SPCST. Doğaner et al. (2021) measured a difference in the participants' fanaticism according to age. However, in a study by Kartal and İnan (2018), no difference was observed in psychological commitment levels according to age.

According to the analysis results to determine whether there was a statistically significant difference between fanaticism and psychological commitment levels according to marital status, there was a difference in the "inclination to violence" subscale (see Table 5). Yıkılmaz (2017) found no significant difference in psychological commitment levels according to marital status. Similarly, Açak et al. (2018) found no significant difference in fanaticism according to marital status.

As seen in Table 6, there was a significant difference in participants' calculative commitment according to educational status. Accordingly, the participants with a bachelor's degree had higher scores than others. In a study conducted by Yıkılmaz (2017), a significant difference was found in being fanatic according to educational status.

Additionally, in terms of being a fanatic and psychologically committed to a football club/team, we found significant differences between the sub-scales of "Inclination to violence" and "the Sense of Belongingness." Similar differences were observed between the "Affective commitment" and "Regional tribalism" sub-scales. In a study by Tanyeri (2019), there was no difference between football fanaticism and favorite football club/team. However, Goksel et al. (2020) found a significant difference in psychological commitment levels by the favorite club.

In conclusion, football fans' fanaticism and psychological commitment levels varied according to their socio-demographic characteristics. Notably, fanaticism levels differed according to the clubs/teams they supported.

As a result, the level of fanaticism of football fans varies according to sociodemographic characteristics. Fans with high psychological commitment give more support to their teams and can follow them more loyally. The fanatical fans especially their teams in all conditions. It is predicted that as a fan's psychological commitment increases, fanaticism may increase even more.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

About the Author

Asst. Prof. Çağrı İlk, Acibadem University, Sports Science Faculty, Sports Management Department, Istanbul, Turkey, ORCID: <u>orcid.org/0000-0003-0360-6545</u>, email: <u>cagriilk@gmail.com</u>.

References

- Açak, M., Düz, S., Karataş, Ö., Karademir, T., Kurak, K., & Bayer, R. (2018). Investigation of Fanaticism State of The Supporters in Turkish Football Federation League, *Journal of Physical Education and Sport Sciences*, 20(3), 8-23.
- Arıkan, Y. (2007). Violence in Football and the Police, Journal of Police Science, 9, 1-4
- Bozgeyikli, H., Taşğın, Ö., & Eroğlu, S. E. (2018). A Study About The Validity and Reliability of The Turkish Version of Fan Psychological Commitment Scale, *International Journal of Society Researches*, 9(16), 481-497.
- Cengiz, D. (2014). The effect of football and football supporters on social issues "Besiktas supporter group: Carsı" (Master's thesis, Bahcesehir University). https://tez.yok.gov.tr/UlusalTezMerkezi/tezDetay.jsp?id=R58OpJXtz6pC_CZvwZ R7fA&no=jf-z362HT1DHgagfNOWEFw
- Doğaner, S., Erdeveciler, Ö., Balcı, V., Uyar, Y., Bağış, T. Ö., & Ercan, M. (2021). Determining the Fanaticism Levels of Football Fans, *Spormetre The Journal of Physical Education and Sport Sciences*, 19(4), 257-269.
- Doğru, Y. B., Sayımer, İ., Çetin, C., & Koç, F. (2021). The Effects of Fan Motivation Factors on Psychological Commitment, Buying the Club Products and Following Club through the Media: a Comparison at a Local and National Football Clubs, *Gaziantep University Journal of Sport Sciences*, 6(1), 87-109.
- Durgutluoğlu, M. (2020). Investigation of the Relationship between Fanaticism Level and the Psychological Commitment of Soccer Supporters (Master's thesis, Selcuk University). <u>https://acikbilim.yok.gov.tr/handle/20.500.12812/453311</u>
- Eskiler, E., Sarı, İ., & Soyer, F. (2011). Validity and Reliability Study of Turkish Version of The Psychological Commitment to Team Scale, *International Journal of Human Sciences*, 8(1), 1428-1440.
- Goksel, A. G., Zorba, E., Yıldız, M., & Çağdaş, C. A. Z. (2020) The Examination of the Psychological Commitment of the Students in The Faculty of Sport Sciences to The Football Team That They Support, OPUS, International Journal of Society Researches, 15(25), 3800-3818.
- Hunt, K. A., Bristol, T., & Bashaw, R. E. (1999). A Conceptual Approach to Classifying Sports Fans, *Journal of Services Marketing*, 13(6), 439-452.

- İlk, Ç., Çavuşoğlu S.B. & Güler, C. (2020). Investigation of Fanaticity and Licensed Product Purchase Levels of Football Fans, *International Journal of Society Researches* 16(32), 4784-4808. DOI: 10.26466/opus.726872
- Karakaya, İ. (2012). Scientific Research Methods A. Tanrıöğen (Edt.), Anı Publishing: Ankara.
- Kartal, M., & İnan. H. (2018). An Investigation of the Psychological Dependence Levels of Soccer Fans (Sample of Adıyaman Province), Journal of Institute of Economic Development and Social Researches, 4(14), 730-735.
- Kazan, B. (2009). Football, fanaticism and violence in Turkey (Master's Thesis, Mimar Sinan Fine Arts University) <u>https://tez.yok.gov.tr/UlusalTezMerkezi/tezDetay.jsp?id=JeYUR0Zds9br0aD2tW</u> o20w&no=uboZRQU63viiJ4AQ5IMITA
- Kurak, K. (2020). Examination of Football Fanatic Levels of High School Students, *The Journal of Germenica Physical Education and Sports Science*, 1(1), 28-36.
- Matsuoka, H. (2001). Multidimensionality of fans' Psychological Commitment to sport teams: Development of scale. (Unpublished doctoral thesis, The Ohio State University)
- Özbaydar, S. (1983). Limits of human behavior and sports psychology, Altin Kitaplar Publishing: İstanbul.
- Polat, Y. (2016). Professional Football Fan and Stadium, Pegem Academy: Ankara.
- Talimciler, A. (2006). Football Fanaticisim from the Point of Sociological Perspective, *Ege University Journal of Sociology*, 15, 91-104.
- Tanyeri, L. (2019). Football Fans: The Case of University Students, *Sports Education Magazine*, 3(2), 89-97.
- Taşmektepligil, M. Y., Çankaya, S. & Tunç, T. (2014). Fanaticism Scale for Football Fans, Journal of Sports and Performance Researches, 6-1, 41-49. <u>https://doi.org/10.17155/spd.73408</u>.
- Türksoy, A., Çicek, M. & Bayansalduz, M. (2003). Social Identification and Viewpoint to Violence of 3 Big Club Fans, *Istanbul University Sports Sciences Journal*, 11-3, 94-99.
- Yıkılmaz, A. (2017). Investigate of the Relationship Between the Levels of Psychological Commitment and Levels of Fanaticism Super League Football Teams Fans to Their Teams (Doctoral thesis, Kırıkkale University)
- Yıldız, H., & Açak, M. (2018). Examination of Football Fanaticism Levels of High School Students, İnönü University Journal of the Faculty of Education, 19(3), 738-748.

Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access under a <u>Creative Commons attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)</u>.