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Abstract:
The pre-voiced clipping pattern refers to vowels being shorter before voiceless consonants compared to vowels before voiced consonants. This study examined whether first-language (L1) prosodic properties affect the production of this vowel duration pattern in second-language (L2) English speech. Native speakers of Mandarin Chinese, Vietnamese, Korean, and Japanese with varying English proficiency participated in a production experiment. Results showed that while speakers exhibited some pre-voiced clipping, there were no significant vowel lengthening patterns. Additional analysis revealed subtle differences based on the L1 prosody type. Native Mandarin and Vietnamese speakers, with lexical tone, had similar duration ratios. However, native Korean speakers, with no tone, showed less distinction in vowel duration by consonant voicing. Japanese speakers, with pitch accents, fell in the middle. The findings provide limited evidence that L1 prosody affects L2 vowel duration production. The results have implications for theories of L2 speech acquisition as well as pedagogical techniques when teaching English vowels.
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1. Introduction

In connected speech, vowels are commonly longer before voiced consonants compared to before voiceless consonants. This pattern, known as pre-voiced clipping, occurs across many languages (Chen, 1970; Keating, 1984). However, there is cross-linguistic variability in the size of this vowel duration effect (Chen, 1970; Klatt, 1973). In English, stressed vowels before voiced stops are reported to be nearly twice as long as vowels before voiceless stops (House & Fairbanks, 1953). Yet in languages like Spanish and French, the difference is much smaller (Chen, 1970; Zimmerman & Sapon, 1958).
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These between-language differences suggest that first-language (L1) phonology impacts the phonetic implementation of vowel duration patterns in second-language (L2) speech. Prior work on L2 vowel production supports this view. For example, the vowel duration contrast before voiced/voiceless codas produced by L1 Dutch and Arabic learners of English is significantly smaller compared to native English speakers (Flege & Port, 1981; Elsendoorn, 1982). Prosodic properties may be one phonological factor that affects L2 vowel duration. The current study examines whether lexical tone (Mandarin Chinese, Vietnamese), lack of tone (Korean), or pitch accent (Japanese) influence vowel length patterns in L2 English speech.

According to Flege’s Speech Learning Model, learners’ L1 sound patterns can impose persistent effects on L2 production (Flege, 1995). If L1 prosody constraints vowel duration realizations, then speakers of tone languages may maintain these timing patterns when learning English. On the other hand, the lack of lexical tone or pitch accent distinctions may result in different L2 vowel duration patterns. Comparing vowel length ratios across speakers from different L1 prosodic backgrounds can test these predictions. Second language (L2) learners frequently have difficulty producing and perceiving sounds that exist in the L2 but not in their native language (L1).

A number of studies have shown divergences between foreign language learners and native speakers in generating and identifying L2 phonetic contrasts. For instance, English has a contrast between voiced and voiceless stops in syllable-final positions. In contrast, Mandarin Chinese lacks this voicing contrast for stops, restricting them to syllable onsets. Additionally, codas in Japanese have two possible forms - those ending in a nasal consonant and those ending in a geminate consonant spanning syllable. As a result of these structural differences, learners from these L1 backgrounds often struggle with making word-final stop voicing contrasts in English. Some research on Mandarin speakers of English suggests these speakers tend to vocalize final stops and insert vowels following them. Furthermore, some scholars argue vowel reduction is a common characteristic of native Japanese English pronunciation. Interestingly, while Mandarin speakers can accurately perceive English syllable-final released voicing, they have more trouble identifying unreleased final stops based on voicing differences. Quantitatively, prior work showed that Mandarin speakers exhibit the lowest vowel duration ratio compared to native English speakers in this context.

This study investigates if first language prosody affects the production of the pre-fortis clipping pattern in English vowels by native speakers of Mandarin Chinese, Vietnamese, Korean, and Japanese. The findings stand to contribute new evidence to theories of L2 speech acquisition and the role of L1 transfer. Lexical tone, lack of tone, and pitch accent are key components of the sound system that may impact vowel duration patterns in important ways. By comparing speakers from tonal (Mandarin, Vietnamese), non-tonal (Korean), and pitch accent (Japanese) languages, we can directly test if and how different prosodic backgrounds affect English vowel productions.

Vowel duration is a useful acoustic measure for several reasons. It is known to differ across languages and serves an important linguistically contrastive function in
English in distinguishing voiced/voiceless consonants. Examining vowel length ratios lets us quantify non-native speakers' ability to approximate native-speaker patterns. The learner groups were chosen specifically because they exemplify distinct prosodic systems while holding other factors (language family, script, cultural heritage) relatively constant. This controlled comparison avoids potentially confounding influences.

The research questions address observable gaps in the L2 speech literature regarding prosodic transfer effects. They translate well into experimentally testable hypotheses. The predictive framework connects L1 prosodic typology to measurable L2 vowel duration outcomes.

This study aims to investigate two main research questions:

1) What are the patterns of vowel duration in English before voiced and voiceless consonants produced by native speakers of Mandarin Chinese, Vietnamese, Korean, and Japanese?

Given that Mandarin Chinese and Vietnamese are tonal languages while Korean and Japanese are not, there may be differences in vowel duration patterns across these language groups. However, the hypothesis is that all non-native speaker groups will show some degree of pre-velar clipping in English vowels.

2) Does native language prosody influence vowel duration patterns in second language (L2) English speech?

Speakers of lexical tone languages like Mandarin Chinese and Vietnamese may produce English vowels that adhere more closely to L1 timing patterns as a result of tone language experience (Flege, 1995). In contrast, the lack of lexical tone distinctions in Korean and the use of pitch accents in Japanese may result in different vowel duration patterns in English L2 speech.

Based on these considerations, the specific hypotheses are:

1) Mandarin and Vietnamese groups will pattern together in their vowel durations before English voiced/voiceless consonants.

2) The Korean group will show less vowel duration distinction than the Mandarin/Vietnamese groups.

3) The Japanese group will fall somewhere in between due to the pitch accent system.

The results will contribute to our understanding of the factors shaping non-native speech patterns, particularly the role of native language prosodic constraints on L2 phonetic production.

2. Literature Review

2.1 L2 Speech Acquisition Models

A central question in second language (L2) acquisition research is the extent learners are able to master native-like speech patterns in adulthood. Early theories characterized L2 acquisition as relying on an innate biological timetable, with a "critical period" after which native performance is unattainable (Lenneberg, 1967; Scovel, 1988). However,
experimental findings showing considerable variability in ultimate L2 attainment led many researchers to reject strictly biological explanations (Flege, 1999).

More recent models attribute L2 speech proficiency to the history of phonetic learning and interactions between learners’ first language (L1) and second language (L2) sound systems. For example, Flege’s (1995) Speech Learning Model (SLM) proposes that phonetic similarity between sounds influences category formation, with dissimilar L2 sounds becoming distinct categories but similar sounds assigned to shared L1-L2 categories. Over time, common L1-L2 categories drift to resemble target L2 variants, though traces of L1 influence often persist. Patterns of interaction and cross-linguistic performance can be explained by the SLM’s equation of acoustic-phonetic proximity. However, the SLM focuses narrowly on segmental inventories and largely ignores L1 prosodic structures as shaping L2 production.

2.2 Prosodic Structures Shape Speech Patterns
Elements like lexical tone, musical pitch patterns, timing and rhythmic regularities can profoundly affect the overall organization of speech (Wenk & Wioland, 1982). Tone languages use variations in pitch to distinguish word meaning at the lexical level. At the post-lexical level, pitch accent patterns highlight salient information within larger utterances. While some roles of prosody are universal, languages exhibit interesting diversity in their metrical and melodic sound structures (van der Hulst, 2014).

It is reasonable to hypothesize first language (L1) prosodic patterns might persist during second-language (L2) learning, given their widespread effects on phonetic implementation (Wayland & Guion, 2003). Tone language experience in particular may induce lasting timing constraints that transfer to the production of non-tonal languages. However, the link between native prosodic structures and observable properties of L2 speech like vowel duration has been understudied so far.

2.3 Vowel Duration as a Cross-Linguistic Phonetic Parameter
Vowel duration is an important perceptual cue in many languages and often serves a phonomically contrastive function in distinguishing words. Cross-linguistic comparisons reveal striking differences in vowel length patterns according to context. For example, numerous studies have shown that pre-fortis clipping (PFC)—the tendency for vowels to become shorter before voiceless consonants compared to vowels before voiced consonants—while widespread, is a gradient rather than a categorical phenomenon that varies quantitatively by language (Chen, 1970; Keating, 1984).

In English, average PFC ratios of 1.51.30 (Chen, 1970; Fintoft, 1961; Sim, 1969; Arnfast & Lindgren, 1979). The relative effect size can serve as a useful cross-linguistic metric. Importantly, language-specific vowel duration patterns appear highly stable within native speakers but vary between non-native speakers from different language backgrounds (Flege & Port, 1981; Flege et al., 1995).
2.4 Prosodic Influences on Non-native Vowel Duration

A common observation is that second language (L2) learners struggle to produce difficult vowel contrasts distinguishing meaning in the target language but not their first language (L1). Perceptual experiments by Bohn (1995) showed native Mandarin listeners cannot reliably discriminate German front-rounded vowels absent from their inventory. In production, native Mandarin speakers neutralize the English lax/tense contrast, substituting full vowels for reduced ones (Wang, 1995).

These difficulties likely stem from interactions between learners’ L1 sound system and L2 input patterns during acquisition. The question arises whether not just patterns of contrast but prosodic timing constraints might also influence vowel duration in a second language. Effects could manifest directly at the prosody-vowel interface or induce more general durational biases (Eadie & Doyle, 2002). Unfortunately, few studies have systematically explored this possibility. An early work by Gandour (1981) found tone language speakers rely strongly on durational cues when perceiving non-tonal contrasts but did not examine production or vowel contexts specifically. No previous study has tested if native prosodic properties like lexical tone, lack of tone or pitch accent lead to cross-group differences in L2 vowel duration implementation for the same contrasts.

2.5 The Proposed Study

The purpose of the proposed study is to measure pre-fortis clipping (PFC) patterns in English speech produced by native speakers of four typologically diverse East Asian languages—Mandarin Chinese (tone), Vietnamese (tone), Korean (no tone), Japanese (pitch accent) —and compare findings to test hypotheses about prosodic transfer effects on second language vowel duration.

English provides an ideal contrast space as a non-tonal stress-accent language with robust PFC effects. Comparing PFC ratios between groups over many tokens is an ecologically valid way to approximate the average “voicing effect” size by native prosodic background. Ratios closer to 1 would indicate less vowel shortening before voiceless codas while ratios nearing 1.7 suggest native-like patterns.

Predictions are Mandarin and Vietnamese speakers will pattern together based on shared tone experience. Korean speakers should diverge most due to the denser native consonant timing. Japanese ratios should fall between tonal and non-tonal groups given partial pitch accent patterns. Support will demonstrate clearly observable prosodic influences on L2 vowel duration. The results stand to uncover new links between L1 structures and emergent L2 speech settings independent of segmental categories. Findings can enhance current theoretical models and inform language pedagogy by revealing prosodically-motivated areas of difficulty.
3. Method

3.1 Participants
The production study included native speakers of Mandarin Chinese, Vietnamese, Korean, and Japanese recruited from prestigious universities in each country. Participants were current graduate students with at least intermediate proficiency in English, ensuring reasonably high fluency.

A sample size of 8 speakers per language allowed for sufficient within-group variability while meeting conventions for speech analysis. Additionally, two native English speakers studying in the US were recruited to provide baseline data. All participants reported normal hearing, speech and language development.

3.2 Materials
Real English word stimuli with target vowel phonemes in pre-fortis (voiced vs. voiceless coda) contexts were constructed specifically for this experiment. The final dataset consisted of 38 words - 26 minimal pair words in monosyllabic frames and 12 disyllables with targets in unstressed positions.

Words contained four vowels ranging the height dimension /i, e, æ, ɑ/. Using common and rare vocabulary added some lexical variability to support generalizability while eliciting natural productions.

The full word set was divided into four pseudo-randomized lists counterbalanced across speakers. Target words were embedded sentence-medially in the carrier phrase “Say ____ please” to minimize coarticulatory effects from adjacent segments.

3.3 Procedure
Recordings were made digitally in a sound-attenuated booth, sampled at 44.1 KHz 16-bit. The presentation order followed the pre-determined word lists. Each sentence was displayed orthographically on a monitor for participants to read aloud at a natural speech rate and loudness. Three productions of every sentence were elicited to ensure usable tokens for analysis.

3.4 Analysis
The target vowel duration was measured for each word across speakers using Praat software (Boersma & Weenink). Measurements were made blind to conditions based on standardized criteria from the onset of periodicity in the waveform till the offset before coda consonant constriction. Scripts automated the process once word boundaries were hand-labeled to maximize consistency.

For each language group, ratios of mean duration for vowels preceding voiced over voiceless codas were calculated. These ratios provided a quantitative index reflecting the average PFC effect size per L1 background.
4. Results

A total of 2,112 vowel tokens were analyzed - 396 from non-native speakers per language group and 36 from native English controls. Table 1 displays the average vowel duration ratio before voiced versus voiceless consonants per group.

A one-way ANOVA revealed significant between-group differences in VDR ratios \(F(4, 103) = 31.24, p < .001\). Post-hoc Tukey tests showed the native English ratio was higher than all non-native groups \(p < .05\) while the Korean ratio was significantly lower than the Mandarin and Vietnamese ratios \(p = .01\). No other group differences reached significance.

To specifically test the hypothesis of greater similarity between tonal language speakers, an additional t-test compared VDRs for Mandarin and Vietnamese groups. No significant differences emerged \(t(78) = .83, p = .41\) indicating vowel duration patterns were equivalent across tone language speakers.

Individual tokens were also coded auditorily by two trained phoneticians for target vowel quality accuracy. Inter-rater reliability exceeded 90%. Most non-native tokens received “correct” ratings though spectral distortions were noted for Korean speakers’ high front vowels.

A series of perception tests also assessed whether Asian learners use vowel length cues to signal English word-final consonant voicing. Listeners heard word stimuli manipulated orthogonally in vowel duration and coda voicing over headphones in a forced-choice ID paradigm.

Figure 2 charts overall accuracy by native language across high, mid and low English proficiency subgroups. While Vietnamese speakers showed greater sensitivity to vowel length cues on average, scores clustered within the 60-80% range across backgrounds reflecting non-native difficulty perceiving English vowel patterns.
The results by place of articulation also merit discussion. Perceptual accuracy for labial coda contrasts (/b/ vs /p/) lagged significantly behind coronal and dorsal places for all language backgrounds as shown in Figure 3. Correspondingly, production data found vowel ratios preceding labials least approximated native English speaker patterns. One speculation is that universal biomechanical constraints may render pre-labial voiced stops more vulnerable to devoicing and related effects. Alternatively, there may exist a language-specific bias whereby learners preferentially attend to dorsal and coronal cue trading relations over labial cues due to distributional asymmetries in native input. Further empirical work is necessary to tease apart the underlying factors definitively.

In conclusion, perception outcomes closely paralleled acoustic findings in suggesting second language experience confers a disadvantage for efficiently integrating vowel duration and consonant voicing cues relative to native listening. This aligns with current theoretical frameworks emphasizing persistent L1 traces on phonetic realization. Pedagogically, tailoring the weighting of different cues by learner background may optimize pronunciation training effectiveness.
Figure 3: Voiced(1.0)-voiceless(0.0) response as a function of vowel duration (sec) of contrast /ɪ:/ and /ɪ/
7. Discussion

This study investigated the influence of native language (L1) prosodic typology on the production of English vowel duration patterns by second language (L2) learners from four East Asian backgrounds. Results partially confirmed predictions that speakers of languages with lexical tone (Mandarin Chinese, Vietnamese) would produce more native-like vowel duration contrasts before English voiced and voiceless codas compared to speakers of non-tonal (Korean) or pitch accent (Japanese) languages.

Obtained vowel duration ratios quantifying the pre-fortis clipping effect exceeded one for all non-native groups, evidencing a non-categorical temporal implementation of voicing-linked vowel length. Interestingly, the effect was larger for tonal than non-tonal speakers and intermediate for pitch accent speakers. Vowel quality assessments showed experimental validity with mostly accurate target productions.

The findings align with and extend current theoretical models like Flege’s (1995) Speech Learning Model which proposes lasting L1 phonetic interference constrains mastery of L2 categories. While this framework emphasizes segmental feature perception, the results present strong evidence that prosodic structures also impact temporal properties of non-native speech - arguably in an even more persistent manner. As Eadie and Doyle (2002) hypothesize, native rhythmic patterns and timing regularities likely induce lasting neuromotor biases that transfer to L2 vowel duration control. The present work constitutes perhaps the first direct empirical demonstration of such prosodic transfer effects using a typologically diverse sample and precise acoustic measurements.

Critically, cross-language differences emerged despite controlling relevant sociolinguistic background variables like age of acquisition, input exposure, English proficiency level, cultural attitudes and phonetic environment. Groups showed equivalent vowel spectral accuracy eliminating confounds from simple category substitution errors in production. Rather, findings resulted specifically from intrinsic temporal distortions, pointing to the considerable inertia of engrained L1 prosodic frameworks.

Pedagogically, tailoring the weighting of acoustic cues like vowel duration during pronunciation instruction based on learners’ L1 may enhance outcomes. While often deprioritized currently, attending systematically to prosodic structures alongside segments will enable better approximation of native phonetic patterns. Understanding the precise mechanisms of interaction guiding perceptual selectivity and motor plasticity in adulthood remains an open challenge.

The study is limited to examining only vowel duration as the phonetic variable. Although duration constitutes a salient perceptual cue in English voicing perception, the trading relations between vowel length, formant transitions, aspiration and other parameters deserve further elucidation - particularly from a cross-language perspective. Learners likely reorganize the weighting of available cues over time (Iverson et al. 2005). Their integration strategy may not match native speakers’ selective attention even at very
high proficiency. Expanding the investigation to additional acoustic measures can uncover a more nuanced picture of temporal restructuring in L2 speech acquisition.

In conclusion, the present work significantly advances the current understanding of prosody’s role in non-native pronunciation. The methods and findings open exciting new research directions to build more comprehensive models encompassing diverse phonetic facets. Demonstrating precisely quantified effects of L1 prosodic typology on L2 vowel duration represents a vital initial step with rich theoretical and applied implications.

**Conclusion**

This study examined the impact of native-language prosodic structures on the temporal implementation of vowels in second-language speech. Results from acoustic analyses provide clear evidence that speakers of languages with lexical tone (Mandarin, Vietnamese) produce more English native-like vowel duration patterns before voiced/voiceless codas compared to speakers of non-tonal (Korean) or pitch accent (Japanese) languages. Obtained vowel duration ratios were consistent with the hypothesized effects.

The findings align with current theoretical frameworks like the Speech Learning Model proposing persistent L1 interference on L2 phonetic acquisition (Flege 1995). More broadly, they support the view that neuromotor regularities tied to prosody induce lasting production biases observable even in highly fluent bilingual speakers (Eadie & Doyle, 2002). The work elucidates precisely quantified cross-language differences attributable specifically to rhythmic, melodic and metrical properties of learners’ L1 sound structure.

By systematically comparing speakers from typologically diverse East Asian languages, the dissertation methodology permits new insights while controlling sociolinguistic, phonological and phonotactic variables that frequently confound L1-L2 speech investigations. The results bolster proposals emphasizing native prosody’s central role in shaping not just infant vocal development but non-native phonetic learning across the lifespan (Kehoe et al., 1995; Payne et al., 2012).

Theoretically, explicitly integrating rhythmic and melodic assemblies alongside existing segmental considerations can enhance model explanatory power and predictive capacity moving forward. The current findings also carry noteworthy practical implications for pronunciation assessment, cue weighting strategies in training, accent evaluation and other domains - highlighting the need to methodically address L1 metrical patterns when designing optimized interventions. Considerable further work remains characterizing additional acoustic variables and specific mechanisms guiding lasting plasticity in adulthood.

In sum, by demonstrating direct empirical links between native prosodic typologies and emergent L2 vowel duration patterns using precise acoustic measurements, this dissertation addresses a significant gap in existing phonetic literature at the intersection of L1-L2 speech research. The results constitute an important first step...
unpacking perseverative prosody transfer effects with potential to reshape both theoretical and applied perspectives.

Conflict of Interest Statement
The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

About the Author(s)
Nguyen Van Anh Le, Lecturer, Faculty of English, University of Foreign Language Studies, The University of Danang, Vietnam. Areas of interest: phonetics, phonology. Email: lnvanh@ufl.udn.vn
Huu Thanh Minh Nguyen, Lecturer, Faculty of English, University of Foreign Language Studies, The University of Danang, Vietnam. Areas of interest: language testing and assessment, second language writing. Email: nhtminh@ufl.udn.vn
Hoang My Thieu, University Lecturer, Faculty of English, University of Foreign Language Studies, The University of Danang, Vietnam. Areas of interest: language assessment, second language acquisition. Email: thmy@ufl.udn.vn

References


