



LEVERAGING DIGITAL FEEDBACK TO ADVANCE ASSESSMENT FOR LEARNING

Redouan Baghitⁱ

EFL Professor (CPGE), PhD,
Faculty of Letters & Humanities,
Chouaib Doukkali University,
El Jadida, Morocco

Abstract

This review examines the impact of technology-enhanced environments on formative assessment by EFL teachers. The main objective is to explore how digital tools affect the effectiveness, equity, and pedagogical practices of formative assessment in diverse EFL classroom contexts. This review poses the following research question: How do technology-enhanced environments impact the effectiveness, equity, and pedagogical practices of formative assessment among EFL teachers in diverse classroom contexts? This study distinguishes itself not only by synthesizing recent literature but by connecting the benefits and challenges of technology-enhanced formative assessment to practical strategies for equitable and impactful EFL learning. A systematic review of the literature identifies the following key findings: (1) Technology enables real-time feedback. This supports faster instructional adjustments. (2) Technology allows personalized learning. It leads to more tailored, student-centered instruction. (3) Actionable analytics generated by digital tools help teachers target interventions and track student progress. (4) Technology-enhanced classrooms increase student engagement, support language development, and build learner autonomy. Immediate and personalized support contributes to EFL mastery. Nevertheless, challenges persist: unequal access to digital resources limits opportunities for some students; teacher training is often insufficient for effective technology use; and data privacy remains a concern. The significance of this review lies in analyzing how current technological trends, implementation barriers, and policy considerations interact to shape outcomes in EFL classrooms. By focusing on both opportunities and persistent inequities, this review offers concrete guidance to educators, administrators, and policymakers aiming to implement technology-enhanced formative assessment effectively. Addressing challenges requires intentional technology design, targeted professional development, and equity-focused policies. Ongoing research remains crucial for creating adaptive and inclusive assessment solutions for EFL learners.

ⁱ Correspondence: email baghit.r@ucd.ac.ma

Keywords: digital feedback, technology-enhanced environments, digital formative assessment, real-time feedback, equity in EFL education

1. Introduction

Formative assessment drives effective teaching and is evolving with digital technology. Traditionally, teachers monitored understanding to guide decisions (Black & Wiliam, 1998). EFL students have diverse backgrounds. Formative assessment offers individualized support (Chraa & Alidrissi, 2024) and benefits from technology. Technology-enhanced environments provide instant feedback, personalized learning, and data-based instruction (Huang et al., 2024). These methods give teachers new assessment options. They allow lesson adaptation, student collaboration, and easier differentiation than traditional methods (Huang et al., 2024). This supports learner-centered teaching. Technology increases transparency and shared responsibility, and enables scalable differentiation (Huang et al., 2024). Schools still face access, teacher readiness, and equity problems (Herppich et al., 2020).

Given these points, this study examines how technology-enhanced environments shape formative assessment for EFL teachers. It draws on recent research and best practices. The review considers both the opportunities and the limits of digital tools and highlights the necessary pedagogical shifts. Building on the issues discussed above, the research centers on this question: How do technology-enhanced environments affect the effectiveness, equity, and pedagogical practices of formative assessment among EFL teachers across diverse classroom contexts? This research question aims to guide educators, administrators, and policymakers seeking to improve EFL learning outcomes. By directly linking the study's aim to classroom realities, the findings address genuine challenges and support the wider implementation of technology-enhanced formative assessment.

As a result, situating this study within the broader context of educational innovation underscores the need for effective and equitable formative assessment strategies in the digital age. Establishing the research's relevance this way sets the stage for an objective analysis of technology's role in EFL classrooms. The literature review and later sections will further elaborate on these points and build on the foundational issues outlined so far.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Defining Assessment for Learning in EFL Contexts

Assessment for learning collects evidence to guide teaching (Sadler, 1989). In EFL classes, this matters due to students' varied skills and learning paths (Carless, 2007). Teachers use quizzes and peer feedback, but these often lack scale, speed, or personalization (Wiliam, 2011). Brown (2007) defines it as checking progress to support growth. Teachers collect data, adjust plans, and improve results (Carter & Nunan, 2001). Customizing courses depends on up-to-date assessment data. Gipps (1994) emphasized that for formative

assessment to be effective, students must share the teacher's understanding of quality, monitor their work as it is produced, and regulate their performance independently. Effective formative assessment occurs when students understand quality, monitor their work as they do it, and manage their performance independently (Gipps,1994).

2.2 Theoretical Framework

Integrating technology in formative assessment is grounded in key educational theories. Constructivist theory (Vygotsky, 1978) states that learning is active and social. Students construct understanding through engagement, collaboration, and feedback. Technology-enhanced environments support these principles. They offer interactive tasks, real-time communication, and peer collaboration. For example, digital discussion boards, collaborative documents, and online simulations let students build knowledge together, regardless of location.

The SAMR model (Puentedura, 2006) divides technology use into four levels: Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition. At the basic level, technology replaces traditional tools. For example, online quizzes replace paper quizzes. As teachers progress, technology enables new learning, such as adaptive assessments with feedback and virtual language practice. The SAMR model encourages teachers to consider how digital tools change formative assessment (Baghit et al., 2024a; Baghit et al., 2024b).

Beyond constructivism and SAMR, the TPACK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge) framework is also relevant. TPACK stresses that effective technology use blends content, pedagogy, and digital tools. For formative assessment, this means selecting digital assessments that align with language goals. Teachers should leverage technology's strengths.

Together, these theories show thoughtful, teaching-driven technology use is needed in formative assessment. They also show that ongoing teacher training is important for digital integration.

2.3 Technology-Enhanced Feedback

Technology-enhanced environments support assessment for learning in EFL classes. They use digital platforms like learning management systems, online quizzes, language apps, and interactive media. These platforms provide instant feedback. Students and teachers can quickly spot strengths and gaps. Immediate feedback lets students fix mistakes. It promotes reflection and self-regulation. Technology helps teachers track progress over time, making learning paths clear and actionable.

These digital tools help teachers adapt activities to individual student needs (Bennett, 2011). Early identification of misconceptions by quizzes or adaptive tasks is key for EFL learners with varied backgrounds. Technology-aided instruction ensures every learner gets personalized support, boosting engagement and success (Nouri, 2024). Analytics give detailed insights into performance and learning, allowing targeted interventions. Teachers can then design remedial or extra activities as needed (Ifenthaler

& Yau, 2020). Technology enhances formative assessment in EFL contexts, making it more effective, equitable, and responsive.

2.4 Challenges and Equity Considerations

While technology-enhanced assessment for learning offers key opportunities, it faces challenges. Four main barriers appear in the literature. First, unequal access to devices and reliable internet prevents some students from participating in digital assessments. This digital divide raises equity issues. It maintains educational gaps, especially in under-resourced areas.

Also, limited training and ongoing professional development stop many teachers from using digital tools effectively in formative assessment. A lack of digital skills and confidence can lower teaching quality. It can also slow adoption of new assessment strategies (Cruz, 2019, pp. 1–10). To address this, schools must prioritize focused, hands-on training. Such training helps teachers use digital resources confidently and creatively.

Moreover, the ethical and legal dimensions of technology use in education are often unclear or inconsistently enforced. Concerns about student data privacy, informed consent, and compliance with local and international regulations can cause hesitation among educators and administrators (Florea & Florea, 2020). Schools need clear policies and robust security protocols. These are essential to protect all stakeholders and build trust in digital assessment systems.

Furthermore, the design and user experience of digital assessment tools can significantly influence their effectiveness. Poorly designed platforms may distract students, reduce motivation, or diminish engagement, particularly if the technology does not align with pedagogical goals or is overly complex (Shao et al., 2025). Continuous collaboration between educators, technology developers, and students is crucial to ensure that tools are user-friendly, accessible, and pedagogically sound (Es-sarghini & Boumahdi, 2022).

These challenges are not confined to any one region. For example, in Morocco, Erguig (2009) highlights ongoing problems with infrastructure, teacher training, and the practical use of technology in English teaching. Such obstacles underscore the need for context-sensitive solutions that address local realities. Addressing these multifaceted challenges is crucial for realizing the full promise of technology-enhanced formative assessment and ensuring that digital innovations contribute to more equitable and effective educational practices worldwide.

2.5 Best Practices

Recent studies identify a range of best practices for using technology in formative assessment, emphasizing strategies that maximize both educational impact and student engagement. Collaborative platforms, such as discussion forums, shared documents, and peer-review tools, foster a sense of community and encourage active student participation. Interactive media, including videos, simulations, and virtual whiteboards, enable dynamic, multimodal learning experiences tailored to diverse learning styles). Game-based tasks, such as quizzes and challenges, have been shown to enhance

motivation and make assessment more engaging and less stressful for EFL learners (Gikandi et al., 2011). Nevertheless, contrasting perspectives exist regarding these practices. While proponents argue that technology-based tools increase accessibility and efficiency, some teachers express concerns about overreliance on digital assessment methods, suggesting that excessive use might inadvertently reduce opportunities for face-to-face interaction or diminish the authenticity of language practice. Furthermore, not all students may find game-based or interactive platforms equally engaging, and some may feel overwhelmed or distracted by technological features. These divergent viewpoints highlight the need for a balanced, context-sensitive approach to integrating technological tools into formative assessment, ensuring that both the benefits and limitations are carefully considered.

Moreover, integrating artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning into assessment systems has transformed the feedback process. These technologies enable highly personalized feedback, automate grading, and identify learning gaps with greater accuracy and speed (Luckin et al., 2016). For instance, adaptive learning platforms can adjust the difficulty and content based on individual student performance, ensuring that learners are always working at an optimal level of challenge.

However, the effective implementation of technology-enhanced formative assessment depends on several critical success factors. Purposeful instructional design is essential to ensure that digital tools align with pedagogical objectives and foster meaningful learning. Ongoing teacher support, including access to professional development and technical assistance, is necessary for educators to fully leverage the potential of new technologies. Importantly, inclusive practices—such as designing for accessibility and cultural relevance—directly address specific equity challenges, such as the digital divide and the needs of students from diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds. By prioritizing these strategies, educators can help mitigate barriers related to technology access, language proficiency, and cultural representation, thereby ensuring that all students benefit from technological innovations in formative assessment (Chraa & Alidrissi, 2025; Huang et al., 2024).

Additionally, technology streamlines the assessment cycle by enabling virtually instantaneous feedback and collaboration (Sun & Tian, 2026). Teachers can respond to student work in real time, provide targeted guidance, and adjust instruction on the fly to better support individual and group learning needs. This capacity for rapid feedback also supports scalable differentiation, allowing educators to tailor their approaches to diverse classrooms with efficiency and precision (Huang et al., 2024). Ultimately, the thoughtful integration of technology in formative assessment can foster a more responsive, engaging, and equitable learning environment.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This review adopts a systematic literature review design, focusing on a comprehensive examination of literature published over the last decade. Peer-reviewed journal articles,

case studies, and meta-analyses were systematically examined to capture the breadth and depth of technology-enhanced formative assessment practices among EFL teachers. This approach enables an in-depth exploration of how digital tools influence formative assessment, teaching strategies, student engagement, learning outcomes, and the various challenges encountered in diverse educational contexts. However, a systematic literature review design has certain limitations: it is inherently dependent on the availability and quality of existing studies, and may not account for rapidly emerging technologies or unpublished practices. Additionally, the synthesis is constrained by publication and language biases, potentially excluding relevant research that falls outside the selected criteria. Despite these limitations, the review is grounded in current evidence and reflects global trends in EFL education.

3.2 Data Collection

To ensure the collection of high-quality and relevant data, researchers conducted systematic searches across established academic databases, including ERIC, Scopus, and Web of Science. The search strategy utilized multiple keywords and Boolean combinations, such as 'formative assessment,' 'technology-enhanced environments,' 'EFL,' 'digital tools,' and 'real-time feedback.' The selection criteria focused on English-language studies that specifically addressed tertiary EFL contexts and the integration of technology into formative assessment. Studies were included if they contributed empirical findings or theoretical insights into the use of digital tools for formative purposes in EFL classrooms. The final dataset encompassed a diverse range of research designs, geographic regions, and educational settings, enabling a richer understanding of the phenomenon under investigation.

3.3 Data Analysis

Data analysis followed the principles of thematic analysis as outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). The analytical process involved several stages: familiarization with the literature, generation of initial codes, and systematic coding of studies for key themes such as technology integration, pedagogical approaches, observed benefits, reported challenges, and recommended solutions. Patterns were identified and synthesized across studies to build a nuanced picture of technology-enhanced formative assessment in EFL contexts. Special attention was paid to research gaps and areas warranting further investigation, ensuring that the findings not only summarize existing knowledge but also highlight directions for future research.

4. Results

4.1 Affordances of Digital Tools

The literature indicates that technology-enhanced formative assessment offers numerous affordances for EFL teachers and learners. Digital platforms, such as learning management systems, interactive quizzes, and language learning apps, deliver instant feedback that enables students to identify and correct errors in real time (Shute & Kim,

2014). This immediate feedback loop serves not only to reinforce learning but also to motivate students, as they can track their progress and celebrate incremental improvements. Adaptive technologies further personalize the learning experience by adjusting the difficulty and content of assessment tasks to match each student's proficiency level, ensuring that instruction remains appropriately challenging (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020). Collaborative digital tools, including shared documents and discussion boards, facilitate peer assessment, group work, and the development of critical thinking skills (Gikandi *et al.*, 2011). These affordances collectively foster a more interactive, responsive, and differentiated learning environment, allowing EFL teachers to better support diverse student needs.

4.2 Effect on Teaching Practices

Technology-enhanced formative assessment has brought marked changes to teaching practices in EFL settings. Teachers utilize learning analytics to inform lesson planning, differentiate instruction, and identify learning gaps with greater precision (Bennett, 2011). The availability of real-time data allows educators to provide timely, targeted interventions and flexible support to students who may be struggling. Moreover, technology facilitates the integration of multimedia resources and gamified tasks, such as interactive games and simulations, which have been shown to boost student motivation and engagement (Luckin *et al.*, 2016; Zhang & Crawford, 2023). The shift towards more dynamic, data-driven instruction has also prompted teachers to engage in ongoing professional development and to adopt more reflective, evidence-based pedagogical strategies. However, the effective implementation of these practices relies on purposeful instructional design, access to high-quality professional learning, and a steadfast commitment to educational equity (Chraa & Alidrissi, 2025).

4.3 Student Outcomes

The reviewed studies consistently demonstrate that technology-enhanced classrooms are associated with improved student engagement, skill acquisition, and learner autonomy. (Huang *et al.*, 2024) Immediate feedback helps students develop a clearer understanding of their strengths and areas for growth, promoting self-regulation and goal-setting behaviors. Personalization through adaptive learning technologies supports deeper mastery of EFL content, as students can progress at their own pace and receive support tailored to their unique needs. Additionally, the use of collaborative, interactive digital tools encourage active participation and peer learning, both of which are critical for language development. Nevertheless, the research also underscores persistent barriers, such as limited access to devices, unreliable internet connectivity, and low levels of digital literacy—especially in under-resourced or rural contexts, including those highlighted in Moroccan studies. (J. *et al.*, 2025, pp. 98-103) These findings point to the urgent need for policies and practices that address digital inequities in the implementation of technology-enhanced formative assessment.

4.4 Challenges and Limitations

Despite the clear benefits, several challenges impede the widespread and effective adoption of technology-enhanced formative assessment. Key issues identified in the literature include inadequate access to digital devices or reliable internet, insufficient professional development for teachers, and ongoing concerns about student data privacy and security. Some educators remain skeptical about the reliability and validity of automated assessment systems, particularly when assessing complex language skills. (Evaluating automated evaluation systems for spoken English proficiency: An exploratory comparative study with human raters, 2025, pp. 100-112) Additionally, poorly designed or unintuitive digital platforms can negatively affect student engagement, especially among those with limited technology experience (Mekheimer, 2025). Overcoming these challenges requires comprehensive strategies, including targeted investment in infrastructure, sustained teacher training, clear policy frameworks for data protection, and the collaborative design of user-friendly digital assessment tools. Successfully addressing these obstacles is essential for realizing the full potential of technology-enhanced formative assessment in EFL education.

5. Discussion

5.1 Redefining Assessment for Learning

Technology-enhanced environments are fundamentally reshaping the nature of feedback in EFL education. These digital environments make assessment more dynamic, responsive, and learner-centered than ever before. Instant feedback mechanisms, adaptive learning technologies, and data analytics empower teachers to respond in real time to individual student needs. As a result, instruction becomes more personalized, and students are more engaged in their own learning. This shift supports the creation of classrooms where collaboration, reflection, and active participation are the norm (Vygotsky, 1978). However, realizing these benefits at scale requires educators to set clear goals, embrace best practices in the design and selection of digital tools (Shute & Kim, 2014), and engage in ongoing professional development to build digital competence (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010). Moreover, deliberate efforts to address equity — by improving access to devices, internet connectivity, and digital literacy — are essential to ensure that all learners benefit from these advancements (Van Dijk, 2020).

5.2 Opportunities for Innovation and Sustainable Impact

The rapid evolution of technology presents unprecedented opportunities to innovate formative assessment practices. Emerging tools, such as AI-driven assessment systems, immersive virtual reality environments, and adaptive learning platforms, are enabling teachers to deliver highly individualized feedback and create authentic, student-centered learning experiences (Irwin & Muller, 2026). For instance, virtual simulations can place students in realistic language-use scenarios, fostering practical skill development and deeper engagement. AI-powered analytics further enhance teachers' ability to diagnose learning gaps and intervene proactively, while also supporting students' self-regulation

and metacognitive growth by providing actionable insights into their progress (Huang *et al.*, 2024). To harness these innovations for lasting impact, schools and policymakers must move beyond short-term pilots and embed effective practices into curricula and professional development programs. Collaborative efforts among educators, technologists, and researchers will be vital for refining tools, ensuring responsible adoption, and scaling best practices. Equally important are ethical guidelines and robust privacy protections, which are necessary to safeguard student data and establish trust in digital assessment systems (Wyss & Robinson, 2020). By aligning technological innovation with sound pedagogy and equity, technology-enhanced formative assessment can become a transformative force in EFL education.

5.3 Limitations and Future Research

While this review synthesizes a growing body of evidence on technology-enhanced formative assessment, several limitations must be acknowledged. Much of the current research is context-specific and may not be generalizable across all educational settings or cultural backgrounds. The rapid pace of technological change also means that findings can quickly become outdated. There is a clear need for longitudinal studies that assess the sustained impact of digital formative assessment tools on student learning and teacher practice over time (Sortwell *et al.*, 2024). Research should also investigate the most effective forms of professional development for teachers and identify scalable models for technology integration that account for diverse resource levels. Finally, more cross-cultural research is needed to explore how students' experiences with technology-enhanced assessment differ across regions, languages, and educational traditions (Huang *et al.*, 2024). Addressing these gaps will help ensure that future innovations in formative assessment are both effective and inclusive.

6. Conclusion

Technology-enhanced environments transform formative assessment for EFL teachers, offering dynamic, inclusive, and adaptive solutions for diverse learners. With digital tools, teachers can give instant feedback, personalize instruction, and support meaningful collaboration. Educators and leaders must carefully design these environments, participate in professional development, and ensure educational equity.

The implications of these findings are significant for both policy and practice. Schools and educational systems should prioritize equitable access to technology and invest in teacher training to maximize the benefits of digital formative assessment. Policymakers are encouraged to establish clear guidelines for ethical use and data privacy, while researchers should continue to explore innovative strategies that address persistent challenges. Ultimately, embracing technology-enhanced formative assessment can foster more effective, personalized, and equitable learning experiences for all EFL students. As technology evolves, ongoing research and critical reflection will keep formative assessment responsive to all learners.

Creative Commons License Statement

This research work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>. To view the complete legal code, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode.en>. Under the terms of this license, members of the community may copy, distribute, and transmit the article, provided that proper, prominent, and unambiguous attribution is given to the authors, and the material is not used for commercial purposes or modified in any way. Reuse is only allowed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

About the Author

Dr. Baghit Redouan is a professor of English at CPGE in Rabat, Morocco, with international experience, including service as a Moroccan delegate to the United Kingdom. He holds a PhD with distinction in Applied Linguistics and focuses his research on English language teaching (TEFL, TESOL), language assessment, psychometrics, curriculum design, pedagogy, andragogy, syntax, oral communication skills, and research methodology. Dr. Redouan actively contributes to the scholarly community as an Editorial Review Board member for the International Journal of English Language and Literature (AESS Publications, Q1 Scopus-indexed) and the Asian Online Journal Publishing Group.

References

- Baghit, R., Erguig, R., & Boudlal, A. (2024a). Moroccan graduate EFL students' perceptions of online assessment: benefits and challenges. *Journal of Applied Language and Culture Studies*, 7(2), 23–40. <https://revues.imist.ma/index.php?journal=JALCS>
- Baghit, R., Laaboudi, D., & Erguig, R. (2024b). A study of the impact of online feedback on Moroccan EFL university students' listening proficiency. *Arab World English Journal*, 15(4), 150–167. <https://dx.doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol15no4.10>
- Baghit, R. (2026a). Data-driven vocabulary selection and mastery: Instructional principles and learner autonomy in the Moroccan EFL context. *European Journal of Applied Linguistics Studies*, 9(1). <http://dx.doi.org/10.46827/ejals.v9i1.702>
- Baghit, R. (2026b). Transforming formative assessment: The role of technology-Enhanced environments for EFL teachers. *International Journal of Language and Literary Studies*. 8(3).1-14. <https://doi.org/10.36892/ijlls.v8i3.2620>

- Bennett, R. E. (2011). Formative assessment: a critical review. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 18(1), 5–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2010.513678>
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of language learning and teaching* (5th ed.). Pearson Education. Retrieved from https://books.google.ro/books/about/Principles_of_Language_Learning_and_Tea_c.html?id=jUF0QgAACAAJ&redir_esc=y
- Bulman, G., & Fairlie, R. W. (2016). *Technology and education: computers, software, and the internet*. In E. A. Hanushek, S. Machin, & L. Woessmann (Eds.), *Handbook of the Economics of Education* (Vol. 5, pp. 239-280). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63459-7.00005-1>
- Carless, D. (2007). Learning-oriented assessment: conceptual bases and practical implications. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 44(1), 57–66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703290601081332>
- Carter, R., & Nunan, D. (Eds.). (2001). *The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511667206>
- Chraa, A., & Alidriissi, M. (2024). Optimizing EFL learners' outcomes in formative assessment through educational technology integration. *International Journal of Language and Literary Studies*, 7(3), 2141-2155. <https://doi.org/10.36892/ijlls.v7i3.2141>
- Cruz, D. (2019). Instructional Skills of Technical-Vocational and Livelihood Track Teachers. *Journal of Technical Education and Training* 11(2), pp. 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.21303/2581-7467.2019.001.02.1>
- Erguig, R. (2009). The use of information technologies and audiovisual media in ELT: The department of English in El-Jadida, Morocco, as a case study. *Porta Linguarum*, 11, 115–128. <https://doi.org/10.30827/digibug.31842>
- Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 255-284. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2010.10782551>
- Es-sarghini, A. & Boumahdi, A. (2022). An Overview of the Adoption of eAssessment Among Moroccan Primary School Teachers: Factors and Challenges. *International Journal of Changes in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewIJCE42022192>
- Florea, D. & Florea, S. (2020). Big Data and the Ethical Implications of Data Privacy in Higher Education Research. *Sustainability* 12(20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208744>

- Gikandi, J. W., Morrow, D., & Davis, N. E. (2011). Online formative assessment in higher education: A review of the literature. *Computers & Education*, 57(4), 2333–2351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.06.004>
- Gipps, C. (1994). Developments in Educational Assessment: what makes a good test? *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 1(3), 283–292. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594940010304>
- Herppich, S., Praetorius, A. K., & Kleickmann, T. (2020). Assessment for learning in English as a foreign language classroom: Teachers' beliefs and practices. *Language Teaching Research*, 24(4), 501–524. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168818814451>
- Huang, H., Mills, D. J., & Tiangco, J. A. (2024). Inquiry-based learning and technology-enhanced formative assessment in flipped EFL writing instruction: student performance and perceptions. *SAGE Open*, 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241236663>
- Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y.-K. (2020). Utilising learning analytics for study success: Reflections on current empirical findings. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 15(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-020-00138-6>
- Irwin, B., & Muller, T. (2026). Positioning generative AI in EFL peer feedback: training feedback literacy and enabling uptake in speaking classes. *Education Sciences*, 16(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci16040544>
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson. Retrieved from <https://static.googleusercontent.com/media/edu.google.com/en//pdfs/Intelligence-Unleashed-Publication.pdf>
- Mekheimer, M. (2025). Technological self-efficacy, motivation, and contextual factors in advanced EFL e-learning: a mixed-methods study of strategy use and satisfaction. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* 12. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-04947-0>
- Nouri, N. (2024). Formative Testing Program for Undergraduate EFL Moroccan Students. *European Journal of Education Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.46827/ejes.v7i5.3699>
- Puentedura, R. R. (2006). Transformation, technology, and education. Retrieved from <http://hippasus.com/resources/tte/>
- Sadler, D. R. (1989). Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science*, 18(2), 119–144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00117714>
- Shao, Y., Wu, J., Li, Y., Lu, Q. & Wang, Z. (2025). The impact of digital technology use on EFL students' English academic performance: The mediating roles of emotional intelligence and learning engagement. *BMC Psychology* 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-025-02967-8>
- Shute, V. J., & Kim, Y. J. (2014). *Formative and stealth assessment*. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. Elen, & M. J. Bishop (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (pp. 311–321). Springer. Retrieved from <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Formative-and-Stealth-Assessment-Shute-Kim/69cb8f9308d8e50613a92ffdd087651551d55fa9>

- Sortwell, A., Trimble, K., Ferraz, R., Geelan, D. R., Hine, G., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Carter-Thuiller, B., Gkintoni, E., & Xuan, Q. (2024). A systematic review of meta-analyses on the impact of formative assessment on K-12 students' learning: toward sustainable quality education. *Sustainability*, 16(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16177826>
- Sun, X. & Tian, H. (2026). AI-Enhanced Skill Assessment in Higher Vocational Education: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Informatics* 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics13020020>
- Van Dijk, J. (2020). *The digital divide*. Polity Press. Retrieved from https://books.google.ro/books/about/The_Digital_Divide.html?id=6DvKDwAAQBAJ&redir_esc=y
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvjf9vz4>
- Wiliam, D. (2011). What is assessment for learning? *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 37(1), 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2011.03.001>
- Wyss, M. C., & Robinson, J. P. (2020, January 23). *Scaling quality education calls for scaling effective teacher professional development*. Brookings. <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/scaling-quality-education-calls-for-scaling-effective-teacher-professional-development/>
- Zhang, Z., & Crawford, J. (2023). EFL learners' motivation in a gamified formative assessment: the case of Quizizz. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(6). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12034-7>