



EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAMS AND INTEGRATION OF YOUNG CHILDREN ON INCLUSIVE EDUCATION ENVIRONMENTS

Sandro Ilija

PhD Researcher, Universiteti Sevasti & Parashqevi Qiriazi, Tirana, Albania

Abstract:

Early childhood education (ECE) programs comprise any kind of educational program that helps children in the preschool years and is intended to improve later school performance. In the second half of the twentieth century, the early education system grew significantly permitting children to have access to some form of early childhood education. Inclusion is a way of thinking and acting that allows every individual to feel accepted, valued, and safe. An inclusive community consciously evolves to meet the changing needs of its members. Through recognition and support, an inclusive community provides meaningful involvement and equal access to all its citizens. School transition services are important for all children but pose potential challenges for many young children with special needs. By connecting and integrating quality and inclusive education into transition programs, all children entering the school environment can have a positive experience. This research investigates the importance of early childhood education within inclusive education and highlights four collaborating constituents; relationships, wellbeing, participation and an active scholarship setting.

Keywords: early childhood education, children with special needs, special needs education, inclusive education

1. Benefits of early childhood education

Early childhood education can produce significant gains in children's learning and development. High quality early childhood education assists many at-risk children in avoiding poor outcomes, such as dropping out of school. Although the benefits seem to

cross all economic and social lines, the most significant gains are usually noted among children from families with the lowest income levels and the least amount of formal education. However, whether these benefits are long lasting is disputed. Some studies focused on the IQ score gains of disadvantaged children in Head Start programs, but these gains seemed to be short-term. However, studies also indicate that ECE produces persistent gains on achievement test scores, along with fewer occurrences of being held back a grade and being placed in special education programs. Other long-term benefits include decreased crime and delinquency rates and increased high school graduation. One extensive study found that people who participated in ECE were less likely to be on welfare as adults compared to those who had not received any early childhood education.

Not all programs in early childhood education are equally effective in promoting the learning and development of young children. Long-term benefits are usually seen only in high-quality early childhood education programs. A significant problem with early childhood education is that most programs available cannot be considered high quality. In addition, the most effective ones are unaffordable for most American families. The overall effectiveness of an early childhood program is dependent upon several factors: quality staff, an appropriate environment, proper grouping practices, consistent scheduling, and parental involvement. According to the U.S. Department of

Education, some additional characteristics of a high-quality early education program are as follows:

- Children have a safe, nurturing and stimulating environment, with the supervision and guidance of competent, caring adults.
- Teachers plan a balanced schedule in which the children do not feel rushed or fatigued.
- The school provides nutritious meals and snacks.
- The program includes a strong foundation in language development, early literacy, and early math.
- The program contains a clear statement of goals and philosophy that is comprehensive and addresses all areas of child development.
- The program engages children in purposeful learning activities and play, instructed by teachers who work from lesson and activity plans.
- Balance exists between individual, small-group, and large-group activities.
- Teachers frequently check children's progress.
- The staff regularly communicates with parents and caregivers so that caregivers are active participants in their children's education.

- Preschools that operate for a full day on a year-round basis, thus providing children with two years of pre-school, achieve better results than those that offer less intense services.

In high-quality preschool programs, observers should see children working on the following:

- learning the letters of the alphabet
- learning to hear the individual sounds in words
- learning new words and how to use them
- learning early writing skills
- learning about written language by looking at books and by listening to stories
- becoming familiar with math and science

2. Benefits of Inclusive Education

Inclusive education should be viewed in terms of including traditionally excluded or marginalized groups or making the invisible visible. The most marginalized groups are often invisible in society: disabled children, girls, children in remote villages, and the very poor. Inclusive education means that all students attend and are welcomed by their neighborhood schools in age-appropriate, regular classes and are supported to learn, contribute and participate in all aspects of the life of the school.

All children benefit from inclusive education. It allows them to:

- Develop individual strengths and gifts, with high and appropriate expectations for each child.
- Work on individual goals while participating in the life of the classroom with other students their own age.
- Involve their parents in their education and in the activities of their local schools.
- Foster a school culture of respect and belonging. Inclusive education provides opportunities to learn about and accept individual differences, lessening the impact of harassment and bullying.
- Develop friendships with a wide variety of other children, each with their own individual needs and abilities.
- Positively affect both their school and community to appreciate diversity and inclusion on a broader level.

Inclusive education is a complex process and is not only about settings where children with and without disabilities study together. It entails children accessing quality education and experiencing appropriate transition programs. For children with

disabilities, the quality of early childhood education and transition programs can be more challenging and complex. These challenges have equity and social justice aspects. Children who are denied access to quality transition programs do not receive the full range of child development services that young children need in order to enhance their learning. They therefore do not function well in their learning environment (Winter, 2010). Our perspective is that effective inclusive practice in the early years, incorporating high quality programs and transition services have the capacity to enhance equitable practices and promote all children's learning and development. To begin with, it is important to understand the principles of effective early childhood inclusion, transition practices and quality programming to response to the individual needs of the child.

Rather than being a marginal theme on how some learners can be integrated in regular education, inclusive education is an approach that looks into how to transform education systems in order to remove the barriers that prevent pupils from participating fully in education. These barriers may be linked to ethnicity, gender, social status, poverty, disability etc. In some contexts, certain ethnic minorities face discrimination in the classroom, in other contexts the family's poverty might make it difficult for a family to afford sending their children to school. One group, in particular, most at risk of exclusion is learners with disabilities. However, this is not a homogeneous group. For example, two blind children in a same class are more likely to have different than similar needs: one might learn easily any academic subjects whereas the other might face considerable difficulties in learning. To meet the diverse need of all its students schools and other educational provisions need to be flexible and accommodating, they also need to seek out the children who are not there.

Inclusive education examines how the educational provisions can be modified or changed to make sure that the education is relevant to the local context, that it includes and treats all pupils with respect and that it flexible so that all can participate. It is a transverse issue that cuts across all education initiatives- from early childhood education to primary education, vocational education, adult education, teacher education and curriculum development. It has implications for teacher training, curriculum development, local capacity building and community involvement and requires re-directing resources and inter-sectorial cooperation. It aims to enable both teachers and learners to feel comfortable with diversity and to see it as a challenge and enrichment in the learning environment, rather than a problem.

“Schools should accommodate all children regardless of their physical, intellectual, social, emotional, linguistic or other conditions. This should include disabled and gifted children, street and working children, children from remote or nomadic populations, children from linguistic, ethnic or cultural minorities and children from other disadvantaged or marginalized areas or groups.”

(The Salamanca Statement and Framework for Action on Special Needs Education, p. 3)

Griebel and Niesel (2003) stated that transition for some children, particularly those with disabilities, may cause social and emotional turmoil as well as discontinuities in learning if not organized comprehensively with families. In order to ensure that inclusion takes place smoothly, children with disabilities require extra support. This may include a subsequent follow up and adjustment during the transition into a kindergarten or mainstream primary school. Further, acknowledging families with support needs often leads to less involvement with schools and more with the families. This is an important point of transition in providing opportunities to establish patterns of interaction and support. This is consistent with the research conducted by Dockett et.al. (2011) who found that building relationships between and among children, families, educators and other professionals is needed in order to achieve an effective transition. Thus, appropriate support and enabling practices, processes, and policies would contribute to an effective transition experience for children and families and in particular children with disabilities.

3. Framing inclusive education of young children

Inclusive education in the early years is established as a process to remove barriers and discrimination for all children accessing early childhood programs. From this perspective, early childhood inclusion takes on the values, policies, and practices that support the right of every infant and young child and his or her family, regardless of ability, to participate in a broad range of activities and contexts as full members of families, communities and society (Allen & Cowdery, 2012, p. 7).

This definition considers inclusive early education not only for children who have special educational needs or disabilities but for all children to belong to their school community. Providing access means that all children have equal attention and opportunities to engage in various activities, settings and communities as a feature of high quality early childhood inclusion.

In Australia for example, the Early Years Learning Framework (EYLF) (2009), provides an inclusive vision for all children to “experience learning that is engaging and builds success for life” (p. 128). The conceptual metaphors, „belonging“, „becoming“ and „being“ which frame the EYLF consider family, community and early childhood settings as interactive components that enhance inclusive practice. It is documented that all children are born belonging to a culture, which influence their experiences, values and beliefs (EYLF, 2009). All children need a sense of belonging and to feel included, to develop their own identity and have a sense of self- respect. Therefore, inclusion is a fundamentally imperative option for developing the kinds of practices and supports necessary to achieve high quality early childhood inclusion.

4. Principles of Effective Early Childhood Inclusion

Important components of successful early childhood inclusion include healthy relationships, wellbeing, involvement and an active learning environment. These four interactive components are worthwhile educational components for developing quality early childhood inclusion programs.

4.1 Relationship

Quality relationships provide a context for the development of positive dispositions for learning. A plethora of research establishes that the quality of relationships with children is a cornerstone to their construction of personal identity and motivation to learn (EYLF, 2009). The National Scientific Council on the Developing Child (2009) statement reiterates:

Young children experience their world as an environment of relationships, and these relationships affect virtually all aspects of their development. Relationships engage children in the human community in ways that help them define who they are, what they can become and how and why they are important to other people

(p. 1)

This statement validates the point that in the absence of established positive relationships in inclusive classrooms children may have difficulties developing a healthy personality and positive behaviors that enhance their own development and those of others. Quality relationships are a significant feature of collaborative engagement between individual children and teachers and lead to quality early

childhood inclusive education. Children learn best when they are able to collaborate in a network of community. If children are separated from their relationships with teachers and other children, their actual developmental and learning conditions cannot be fully understood and supported (Winter, 2010). It can be argued that children's quality relationships can help develop social ties in the classroom. Allen and Cowdery (2012) also suggest that collaboration can assist teachers to mobilize knowledge and develop creative activities within early childhood programs to address the needs of all children.

Positive relationships with children can contribute to children's social, emotional and academic aspects (Thijs, Koomen, Roorda & Hagen, 2011). Dunkin and Hanna (2001) argue that the interaction between teachers and children can be identified at two levels. The first interaction is named „surface interest“ in which teachers show limited interest and often use „closed“ questions. The second interaction can lead to positive contributions, and is called „genuine interest“. In this perspective, adults extend the child's knowledge and often use „open-ended“ questions (Dunkin & Hanna, 2001).

A number of researchers indicate that positive relationships are supportive of a productive classroom environment that leads to children having a positive attitude for learning (Margetts & Raban, 2011). This is because children feel comfortable to discuss and share relevant knowledge and interests with teachers which would enable them to identify and respond to the individual needs of the child. Supportive and encouraging teacher-child relationships can reduce the risk of a child failing at school and is correlated to successful schooling (Hamre & Pianta, 2001). Similarly, positive relationships can contribute to children's cognitive and social-emotional outcomes (Thijs, Koomen, Roorda & Hagen, 2011) as well as children becoming active participants and making active decisions which are an important element of quality early childhood education (Theobald, Danby & Ailwood, 2011).

In Allen and Cowdery's (2012) view, partnerships with families are a significant part of good quality inclusive practice because parents and teachers can share important information about their children. This allows teachers and parents to discuss the child's progress; if a problem occurs, they can facilitate addressing the problem which benefits the child. However, partnerships in inclusive settings cannot occur if parents do not feel welcome, valued and have a sense of belonging (Margetts & Raban, 2011). Research on inclusion by Agbenyega and Klibthong (2012) has shown the complexity of inclusion and that any attempt at implementing successful inclusive education, particularly for young children, must establish relationships with communities and children. When children participate in communities, they develop a

capacity for independence and self-direction. Thus, the success of inclusion depends upon the connection between home, school and community.

4.2 Wellbeing

Children's wellbeing is crucial to effective inclusion. Wellbeing consolidates the integration of physical, social, emotional, cognitive and spiritual areas as core aspects of children's development. Wellbeing is defined as "a state of successful performance throughout the life course integrating physical, cognitive and social-emotional function that results in productive activities deemed significant by one's cultural community, fulfilling social relationships, and the ability to transcend moderate psychosocial and environmental problems" (Pollard & Davidson, 2001, pp. 10-11). It is recognized that wellbeing has some key elements including the ability to demonstrate flexibility in a variety of situations, to function successfully with others, to engage in culturally productive activities and to feel at ease and comfortable with oneself (Marshall, 2004).

Wellbeing is connected with relationships because when children feel happy or emotionally stable they can work with teachers and other children. When all children experience wellbeing, they become involved in their own learning activities. Children who do not experience wellbeing may feel excluded. Similarly, active learning environments ensure wellbeing as children do not feel like passive recipients of knowledge. Research evidence indicates that children's feelings of wellbeing and satisfaction, enjoyment and reward, comes from involvement and contribution in their learning process (Marshall, 2004). All children in inclusive settings thus need a sound base of wellbeing in order to engage in learning experiences and to become knowledgeable.

4.3 Participation

Children's involvement refers to their participation and engagement in their learning activities which is a key aspect of inclusive practice that contributes to their learning and development (DECS, 2008). Involvement can only happen when children have quality relationships and experience wellbeing with other children and teachers which is increased through an active learning environment (DECS, 2008). It involves opportunity for child initiated activities, supporting children to persist on tasks, and providing complex but interesting activities that induce creativity and enabling children to learn through play (DECS, 2008). Fleer (2011) indicates that when children are actively involved in play and leisure activities, they are more likely to build their

creative thinking and understand concepts and inquiry processes which are necessary for children's lifelong learning. Further, children's active involvement can change what they know and value, and transform their opportunities.

A plethora of research indicates that inclusive education practices thrive on healthy involvement and cooperation between professionals, staff, parents and children (Deppeler, Moss & Agbenyega, 2008; Gonzalez- Mena, 2008). Berthelsen and Brownlee (2005) argued that children's involvement in joint activities with educators and other staff can give a sense of meaning through interdependence and reciprocity. Therefore, teachers need to involve children to achieve critical situations to learn by providing information, demonstrating an action, giving informative feedback and by providing encouragement.

4.4 Active learning environment

Research suggests that an active learning environment is highly important in inclusive early childhood education (Allan & Cowdery, 2012). In an active learning environment greater emphasis is placed on children's exploration and creativity, experimentation with different materials and plenty of space for children to play alone and with others (National Council for Curriculum and Assessment (NCCA, 2008). Siraj-Blatchford (2008) also asserts that active learning environments are educational settings where children can ask questions, make hypotheses, and form new concepts. In an inactive learning environment children are not recipients of packaged knowledge, but are co-constructors influencing everyday learning activities in the inclusive classroom. If children have limited opportunities for interactive active learning in their learning environment, this may reduce their capacity for critical thinking (Fleer & Pramling-Samuelsson, 2009).

In an active learning environment, early childhood educators perform an important role in supporting and participating in children's learning experiences as they learn to play together through cooperative negotiation and solving interpersonal problems (Siraj-Blatchford, 2008).

However, teachers who orchestrate fear for safety can limit children's curiosity to explore their learning environment (Tomasello, 2009). Being in static learning spaces can restrict children's investigative and cognitive capacities and hamper relationship development. It is important that teachers, in inclusive classrooms, design learning environments that carefully match opportunities and contexts for children with diverse abilities. The components discussed above have implications for quality programming.

5. Relating quality early childhood education to inclusion

Effective early childhood inclusive education is not only about equity and access but also the quality of the education and care provided to all children. A longitudinal study (Campbell et al., 2008) confirmed that high quality early childhood education is significantly important to the early years learning experience. This also has long-term effects on children's cognitive and social development as well as positive impacts on the economic and social benefits of society (Siraj-Blatchford, 2008; UNESCO, 2005). Understanding quality gives educators a space to critique the nature of early childhood educational programs for all young children. Quality is a complex discourse due to varied views and beliefs, philosophical thoughts and different ethnic and cultural backgrounds (Lambert, Abbott-Shim & Sibley, 2006; Rivalland, 2007). The Education for All (EFA) Global Monitoring Report (2005) states:

Although there is no single definition of quality, two principles characterize most attempts to define quality in education: the first identifies learners' cognitive development as the major explicit objective of all educational systems; accordingly, the success with which systems achieve this is one indicator of their quality. The second emphasizes education's role in promoting values and attitudes of responsible citizenship and in nurturing creative and emotional development. The achievement of these objectives is more difficult to assess and compare across countries (UNESCO, p. 5).

Learners' cognitive and educators' roles are strongly defined within the concept of quality and are situated in a vital position. How children learn and receive knowledge, what activities support children's daily learning and how educators scaffold children's knowledge, are important considerations in high quality practice in early childhood inclusive education.

Dahlberg, Moss and Pence (2007) explained that quality is located in diversity, subjectivity and multiple perspectives. This suggests that quality early childhood education programs must incorporate divergent perspectives and be inclusive of the different capabilities and the individuality of the child. Alternative understandings of quality are found within the Convention on the Rights of the Child (1990) Articles 29 and 30 which state that education is a strong aspect of human rights and freedoms therefore it is imperative that the concept of quality education should comprise of an individual child's cultural identity, religion, language and values. Framing quality in this way is consistent with the ideology of inclusion in which human rights are a core argument.

Based on the views expressed above, educators need to subsequently have an awareness of various critical issues discussed in the section below, which are commonly associated with quality in order to contribute to knowledge, access and support when developing inclusive early childhood educational programs. This complexity resonates with inclusive education which also has no single definition and therefore different modes of practice.

6. Quality safe and stimulating environment influence inclusion

Social constructivist perspectives and practices are contingent on providing a quality learning environment that stimulates children's physical, psychosocial and cognitive development irrespective of whether the child has a disability or not (Lambert, Abbott-Shim & Sibley, 2006; Buysse & Hollingsworth, 2009). It is argued strongly that a good structural environment enhances a child's sense of learning, belonging and wellbeing (Australian Early Year Learning Framework, 2009). A recent study regarding preschool children's perspectives on structural elements in some Australian child care centers suggest that children develop a sense of autonomy, a sense of safety and are active learners when the structural learning environment elements are relevant to their needs (Agbenyega, 2011a). This implies the quality of the environment should empower all children to have opportunities to explore, play and practice life skills (Theobald, Danby & Ailwood, 2011).

7. Educator-child ratios and class size influence inclusion

An effective inclusive education for young children should consider educator/child ratios. It is documented that small class sizes may lead to positive outcomes in educator-child relationships and educator's classroom practice (UNESCO, 2005). Previous research argues that low educator- child ratios and small class size are associated with high quality practice as teachers are able to provide individual attention. On the contrary, high educator-child ratios and large class size are considered to lead to poor quality and stress (Huntsman, 2008; UNESCO, 2005). Other perspectives indicate that teacher ratios and class size alone should not be the focus as other important influencing factors such as cultural values and context may play a part (Tobin, 2005). These highlight the need for flexibility and deep understanding of the culture in which the inclusive program is being organized for children.

8. Educators' qualifications and professional development are keys to quality inclusive practice

Educator qualifications and their training have been found to influence their practices of inclusion (Spodek & Saracho, 2006). Educators“ play an important role within the enhancement of quality education and this has led to increased concerns in respect of educators“ qualifications, experience and professional development (Berry, 2005). The requirement for early childhood educators to be properly qualified has increased over the past thirty years. Some researchers have found that educator qualifications and experience are not necessarily linked to greater success in inclusive practice (Agbenyega, 2011b; Reynolds, 2007) and that being highly qualified does not always connect to quality practice (Agbenyega, 2011b; Reynolds, 2007) as other factors, such as teacher beliefs and dispositions towards teaching in inclusive classrooms can influence practice (Daugherty, Fuligni, Howes, Karoly& Lara-Cinisomo, 2009), curriculum and pedagogies which educators have constructed from their beliefs (Chan, Lee & Choy, 2009; Rivalland, 2007).

For this reason, ongoing professional development is especially beneficial for early childhood educators to constantly reflect on their practice (Carrington, Deppeler & Moss, 2010; Spodek & Saracho, 2006). Wood and Bennett (2000) state that “a high quality professional development course which supports the process of change in educators“ thinking and practice at different career points” (p. 646) has better success in improving early childhood inclusive education. This means a deeper understanding of the philosophy of teaching is rooted in ongoing educator development as an important element for quality early childhood inclusive education (Reynolds, 2007; Spodek & Saracho, 2006).

9. Educator's beliefs and practices influence the quality of the program and inclusion

As discussed above, educator's beliefs and values held about quality can lead to a major impact and substantially affect the creation of quality early childhood education (Fleer, 2010; Logan & Sumsion 2010; Rivalland, 2007). In this regard, to drive the direction toward quality one should consider how educators understand or conceptualize quality and translate this into effective implementation (Ip & Ho, 2009; Rivalland, 2007).

Educator's beliefs and values of quality early childhood education are also influenced by social constructions. This may include personal skills, social

environments, professional experience, family and traditional cultural values, economic influences, benefits and mistake acquisitions from teaching experiences, internal and external expectations (Rivalland, 2007). In a recent study by Agbenyega (2011b) it was argued that when early childhood educators understand and base their teaching on established educational theories they are able to bring innovation to their practice in the classroom. It can therefore be argued that educator's beliefs and values have a direct impact on their curriculum development and pedagogy (Agbenyega, 2011b).

10. Curriculum and pedagogy

The discourse of quality construction for inclusion needs to guide curriculum development and pedagogy in early childhood education (Pacini-ketchabaw& Pence, 2011). The quality of early childhood curriculum has been influenced by social values and knowledge which are situated within different nations (Fleer, 2011). To enhance the quality of pedagogy and curriculum in the classroom, Sheridan (2001) states, "the pedagogues must be aware of how changes in society as well as new theories of learning and development influence the content and working methods in their own practice" (p. 9). Research shows that a quality curriculum and pedagogy can benefit all children now and in later academic experiences (Jalongo et al., 2004), as well as child's cognitive and social development (Edwards, 2003). It can be argued that quality is not an isolated construct. Various components make a program a quality one. One such component is transition services for young children.

11. Conceptualizing transition within inclusion

As indicated in the introductory part of this paper, there is a close relation between transition and inclusion. Before establishing this connection, it is important to provide some insights into what transition means in the context of this paper. There is a variety of definitions on transition in relation to children's starting school. According to Dockett and Perry, (2007a) transition to school is a process that occurs over an extended period as children engage in a range of experiences that promote their learning, development and wellbeing. The process of transition to school is essentially a period of adjustment, as people change their roles and relationships with regard to school. Transition programs as a series of events or activities for children starting school and their families can support the transition process by providing opportunities to build and maintain relationships among children and adults.

Considering the preparation, that children have to deal with it is certain that the transition from an early intervention program or special education setting to an inclusive school setting provides a complex challenge for children with disabilities. This transition is a time of change and vulnerability as children start school and as families are responsive to intervention. In this process; children and families move from an environment of familiar context and support to an environment of unknown contexts; often without continuity of support and with a need to access different services, different people and different experiences. Therefore, by recognizing the strengths that children and families bring with them to school this can be used as the basis for promoting positive engagement and maintaining a support network delivered by qualified professionals to build on the opportunities and reduce the vulnerabilities encountered (Dockett et. al. 2011).

For the purpose of this paper, transition is an inclusive context, framed in an ecological perspective where the child is situated in an interlocking set of systems of home, nursery and school (Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 1998) which emphasizes the ways in which contexts as well as the people within them, impact on experience. Ecological models situate responsibility for an effective transition to school with all involved in the process. This broad view recognizes that there are many contributors to transition experiences and that the perspectives and expectations of each of these contributors shape those experiences in some way. Embracing this approach enables writers to think about the importance of building positive relationships between stakeholders, for example, the contribution of parents and teachers at the micro-level and education policy makers at the macro level. This is important because positive relationships involving a range of stakeholders increases participation in schools and creates a greater sense of belonging for children and their families to support the transition program (Dockett et al., 2011).

12. Transition services and inclusion

Transition to school is recognized by many researchers as a challenge for children as well as parents and teachers, where all concerned need to collaborate to achieve a successful transition. Researchers in different countries continue to argue for transition to school programs in order to build a bridge between settings for children and families. This means diverse transition activities must involve children, families, teachers, and the wider community. The transition to school is a point where contexts and supports change and where interactions between families and schools set the scene for ongoing

engagement in education (Dockett et al., 2011). To be effective, school transition programs should create a suitable degree of continuity between preschool and school experiences and help children develop strategies to adjust to school and programs.

These strategies should be focused on conventional academic skills and the practical survival skills necessary for children to face the academic, physical and social-emotional challenges of commencing school. These skills include the ability to work independently, to respond to behavioral expectations, to cope with the length of the school day, to interact with others, accept rules and adjust to the size of a class (Margetts, 2002).

Any transition to school program ideally should answer how children make the transition to school and how parents and institutions help children to make this transition successfully. It can also include home visits before and after children enter school, visits to early years settings and schools, family meetings to discuss teacher expectations; connecting new families with families currently enrolled in the school, dissemination of information to families on the transition to school; and family support groups (Bohan-Baker & Little, 2002).

One way to promote a smooth transition to school is by providing a transition service or transition to school program. The agenda of transition program usually deal with helping children to settle into the school environment before they commence school and to become more familiar with the new environment with teachers/carers, activities and peers (Giallo, Treyvaud, Matthews & Kienguis, 2010). Related to children with disability, transition to school poses some major challenges because children are faced with personal challenges associated with the shift in identity from a preschool to school, and the challenges of taking on the behaviors and demands of the new environment (Griebel & Niesel, 2000). Related to the inclusive practice in the transition program, children with a disability, transition to school must include a child preparation component (Kemp & Carter, 2005).

Currently, many schools and early years' service systems are not well integrated and therefore, are unable to provide organized support to all children and families during the transition to school period (Dockett & Perry, 2007b; Halfon, Uyeda, Inkelas & Rice, 2004). This puts all children at risk and is particularly problematic for children from disadvantaged backgrounds. So far, transition services for children especially those with disability remains a concern for parents. Therefore providing continuity of experience, maintaining parental involvement, strengthening positive relationships between all involved, managing expectations and implementing inclusive early

childhood service systems, are crucial for children to have successful experience at school.

13. Conclusion

This paper has discussed topical issues related to quality programs and transition services in meeting the needs of all children. Quality early childhood programs that support all children have the potential to influence the level to which children experience equity and greater social justice. Continuity of the opportunity to learn effectively is an essential element in children's successful transitions. Important issues addressed by this paper include the principles of attention to quality and transition programs for young children with disabilities in the early childhood literature. At the heart of the focus on inclusive education is a concern for quality and equity of provision. If inclusive provision is to be surely accessible to all children, then there is a need to expand professional knowledge and awareness regarding the relationship between quality education and transition services for young children with disabilities. Satisfactory understanding of the quality of programs and transition services to respond to the needs of all children can support a positive inclusive environment where all children achieve outcomes according to their potential.

References

1. Agbenyega, J. (2011a). Researching children's understanding of safety: an auto-driven visual approach. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 12(2), 163-174.
2. Agbenyega, J. (2011b). How we view our theoretical competency: Early childhood pre-service teachers' self-evaluation of a professional placement experience. *Australia Journal of Early Childhood*, 37(2), 141-147.
3. Agbenyega, J. S. & Klibthong, S. (2012). Transforming selves for inclusive practice: Experiences of early childhood pre-service teachers, *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 37(5), 24-36.
4. Allen, E. & Cowdery, G. E. (2012). *The exceptional child: Inclusion in early childhood education*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Cengage Learning.
5. Berry, B. (2005). The future of teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 56(3), 272-278.

6. Berthelsen, D. & Brownlee, J. (2005). Respecting children's agency for learning and rights to participation in child care programs. *International Journal of Early Childhood*, 37(3), 49-60.
7. Bohan-Baker M. & Little P. (2002). *The transition to kindergarten: A review of current research and promising practices to involve families*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Family Research Project.
8. Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (1998). The ecology of developmental processes. In W. Damon & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology: Theoretical models of human development* (5th ed., Vol. 1, pp. 993-1029). New York: Wiley.
9. Buysse, V. L. & Hollingsworth, H.L. (2009). Program quality and early childhood inclusion recommendations for professional development. *Special Education*, 29(2), 119-128.
10. Campbell, F. A., Pungello, E., Burchinal, M., Kainz, K., Wasik, B. H., Barbarin, O., Sparling, J.J., & Ramey, C. T. (2008). Young adult outcomes of the abecedarian and care early childhood educational interventions. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 23(4), 452- 466.
11. Carrington, S., Deppeler, J., & Moss, J. (2010). Cultivating teachers' beliefs, knowledge and skills for leading change in schools. *Australia Journal of Teacher Education*, 35(1), 1-13.
12. Chan, B., Lee, M., & Choy, G. (2009). Competing forces: Government policy: Teacher education, and school administration in Hong Kong early childhood education. *International Journal of Child Care and Education Policy*, 3(1), 75-86.
13. Dahlberg, G., Moss, P. & Pence, A. (2007). *Beyond quality in early childhood education and care: Languages of evaluation* (2nd ed.). Abingdon, Oxon; New York, NY: Routledge.
14. Daugherty, L., Fuligni, A. S., Howes, C., Karoly, L., & Lara-Cinisomo, S. (2009). A qualitative study of early childhood educators' beliefs about key preschool classroom experiences. *Early Childhood Research and Practice*, 11(1), 8.
15. Department of Education and Children's Services (DECS). (2008). *Assessing for Learning and Development in the School age care using Observation Scales: Reflect Respect Relate*, Adelaide: DECS Publishing.
16. Department of Education and Early Childhood Development. (2009). *Transition: A positive start to school resource kit*. Victoria: Early Childhood Strategy Division

17. Department of Education UK. (2012). Government sets out reform of early learning and children's centers. Retrieved from <http://www.education.gov.uk/childrenandyoungpeople/earlylearningand1829/government-sets-out-reform-of-early-learning-and-childrens-centres>
18. Deppeler, J., Moss, J., & Agbenyega, J. S. (2008). The ethical dilemma of working the visual and digital across space. In J. Moss (Ed.), *Researching education visually, digitally and spatially* (pp. 209- 227). Amsterdam: Sense Publications.
19. Dockett, S., & Perry, B. (2007a). *Transitions to school: Perceptions, expectations and experiences*. Sydney, Australia: University of New South Wales Press.
20. Dockett, S., & Perry, B. (2007b). Children's transition to school: Changing expectations. In A.W. Dunlop & Fabian (Eds.) *Informing Transitions in the Early Years* (pp. 92-106). UK: Open University Press, Maidenhead, Berkshire.
21. Dockett, S., Perry, B., Kearney, E., Hampshire, A., Mason, J. & Schmied, V. (2011). *Facilitating children's transition to school from families with complex support needs*. Charles Sturt University: Research Institute for Professional Practice, Learning and Education.
23. Dunkin, D., & Hanna, P. (2001). *Thinking together: Quality adult child interactions*. Wellington: New Zealand Council for Educational Research.
24. Edwards, S. (2003). New directions: Charting the paths for the role of sociocultural theory in early childhood education and curriculum. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 4(3), 251-266.
25. Fenech, M., Harrison, L., & Sumsion, J. (2011). Parent users of high- quality long day care: Informed consumers of child care? *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood*, 36(1), 95-103.
26. Fleer, M. (2010). *Early learning and development*. Port Melbourne, Vic.: Cambridge University Press.
27. Fleer, M. (2011). „Conceptual Play“: Foregrounding imagination and cognition during concept formation in early year's education. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 12(3), 224-240.
28. Fleer, M., & Pramling-Samuelsson, I. (Eds.). (2009). *Play and learning in early childhood settings*. International Perspectives, Springer, Netherlands.
29. Foley, M. (2005). Stakeholder collaboration and the transition of children with disabilities to school in NSW: An application of ecological systems theory. Paper presented at Australian Association of Special Educators National Conference, Brisbane.

30. Giallo, R., Treyvaud, K., Mathews, J., & Kienhuis, M. (2010). Making the transition to primary school: An evaluation of a transition program for parents. *Australian Journal of Educational & Developmental Psychology*, 10, 1–17.
31. Gonzalez-Mena, J. (2008). *Diversity in early care and education: Honoring differences* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: National Association for the Education of Young Children.
32. Griebel, W., & Niesel, R. (2000). The children's voice in the complex transition into Kindergarten and school. Paper presented at 10th European Conference on Quality in Early Childhood Education "Complexity, Diversity and Multiple Perspectives in Early Childhood Services", London 29 August - 1 September 2000
33. Griebel, W., & Niesel, R. (2003). Successful transitions: Social competencies pave the way into kindergarten and school. *European Early Childhood Education and Research Journal*, 1, 25-33.
34. Halfon, N., Uyeda, K., Inkelas, M., & Rice, T. (2004). „Building bridges: A comprehensive system for healthy development and school readiness“. In N. Halfon, T. Rice & M. Inkelas (Eds) *Building State Early Childhood Comprehensive Systems Series*, No 1. National Center for Infant and Early Childhood Health Policy, Los Angeles, California.
35. Hamre, B. K., & Pianta, R. C. (2001). Early teacher-child relationships and the trajectory of children's school outcomes through eighth grade. *Child Development*, 72(2), 625-638.
36. Huntsman, L. (2008). *Determinants of quality in child care: A review of the research evidence*. New South Wales department of Community Services.
37. Ip, J., & Ho, E. (2009). *Early childhood education in Hong Kong and Macau: A comparative study*. Hong Kong: The Chinese University of Hong Kong.
38. Jalongo, M., Fennimore, B., Pattnaik, J., M. Laverick, D., Brewster, J., & Mutuku, M. (2004). Blended perspectives: A global vision for high-quality early childhood education. *Early Children Educational Journal*, 32(3), 143-155.
39. Kemp, C., & Carter, M. (2005). Identifying skills for promoting successful inclusion in kindergarten. *Journal of Intellectual & Developmental Disability*, 30(1), 31–44.
40. Lambert, R., Abbott-Shim, M., & Sibley, A. (2006). Evaluating the quality of early childhood educational settings. In B. Spodek & O. N. Saracho (Eds.), *Handbook of Research on the Education of Young Children* (second ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

41. Logan, H., & Sumsion, J. (2010). Early childhood teachers' understandings of and provision for quality. *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood*, 35(3), 42-50.
42. Makiguchi, T. (1989). Reflections on purpose in education. In D. Bethel (Ed.), *Education for creative living*. USA. SokaGakkai.
43. Margetts, K. (2002). Planning transition programmes. In H. Fabian & A.W. Dunlop (eds.), *Transition in the early years* (pp. 111 - 122). London: RoutledgeFalmer.
44. Margetts, K. & Raban, B. (2011). *Principles and practice driving the EYLF*. Albert Park, Vic: Teaching Solutions.
45. Marshall, S. (2004). Strengthening learning through a focus on wellbeing. In R. Tony & R. Valina (Eds.), *Supporting student wellbeing: What does the research tell us about the social and emotional development of young people?* (pp. 66-70). Camberwell, Vic: Print Impressions.
46. National Council for Curriculum and Assessment. (2008). *Supporting early learning and development through formative assessment: A research paper*. Dublin: NCCA.
47. National Scientific Council on the Developing Child. (2009). *Young children develop in an environment of relationships*. Working Paper No. 1. Retrieved from <http://www.developingchild.net>.
48. Nevile, S. (Ed.) (2009). *Psychology applied to teaching*. Milton, Qld: John Wiley & Sons Australia.
49. Pacini-Ketchabaw, V., & Pence, A. (2011). The postmodern curriculum: Making space for historically and politically situated understandings. *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood*, 36(1), 4- 8.
50. Pollard, E. & Davidson, L. (2001). *Foundations of Child Well-Being*. Atlanta, GA: Center for Child Well-Being; Paris: UNESCO, *Action Research in Family and Early Childhood*.
51. Reynolds, B. (2007). Researching authenticity between kindergarten teachers' espoused theories and their actual practices. *NZ Research in Early Childhood Education Journal*, 10, 155-167.
52. Rivalland, C. (2007). When are beliefs just 'the tip of the iceberg'? Exploring early childhood professionals' beliefs and practices about teaching and learning. *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood*, 32(1), 30-37.
53. Schulting, A.B, Malone, P.S. & Dodge, K.A. (2005). The effect of school- based kindergarten transition policies and practices on child academic outcomes. *Developmental Psychology*, 41(6), 860-871.

54. Sheridan, S. (2001). Quality evaluation and quality enhancement in preschool: A model of competence development. *Early Child Development and Care*, 166(1), 7-27.
55. Siraj-Blatchford, I. (2008). Understanding the relationship between curriculum, pedagogy and progression in learning in early childhood. *Hong Kong Journal of Early Childhood*, 7(2), 3-13.
56. Spodek, B., & Saracho, O. N., (2006). Preschool teacher' professional development. In B. Spodek & O. N. Saracho (Eds.), *Handbook of research on the education of young children* (2nd ed.): Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
57. Theobald, M., Danby, S., & Ailwood, J. (2011). Child participation in the early years: Challenges for education. *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood*, 36(3), 19-26.
58. Thijs, J., Koomen, H., Roorda, D., & Hagen, J. (2011). Explaining teacher- student interactions in early childhood: An interpersonal theoretical approach. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 32(1), 34-43.
59. Tomasello, M. (2009). Culture and cognitive development. In L. S. Liben (Ed.). *Current directions in developmental psychology* (pp.207- 212). Boston, MA: Pearson.
60. Tobin, J. (2005). Quality in early childhood education: An anthropologist's perspective. *Early Education and Development*, 16(4), 421-434.
61. UNESCO. (2005). EFA global monitoring report.
62. United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (1990). Convention on the right of the child. Retrieved September 30, 2013, from <http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/crc>.
63. Wood, E., & Bennett, N. (2000). Changing theories, changing practice: Exploring early childhood teachers' professional learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 16(5), 635-647.

Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Special Education Research shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).