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Abstract:
The aim of this research is generally to determine level of regular teachers’ competency in inclusive school in Surakarta city, Sukoharjo regency, and Klaten regency. To be more specific, this research aims to: (1) determine regular teachers’ background in inclusive school, (2) have ever been involved in inclusive education training, (3) determine and understanding the level of pedagogy competency of children with special needs in inclusive school. The subjects used in this research are 45 high school regular teachers of inclusive school in three regencies/cities, namely, Surakarta, Sukoharjo, and Klaten. Data is collected through questionnaire, interview, and documentation. The collected data is then analyzed by descriptive statistic. The result of this research shows that education background of high school regular teacher of inclusive school is mostly specific subject teacher. From 45 respondents, only two respondents have been ever involved in inclusive education training. Meanwhile, it is known that the understanding level of pedagogy competency to children with special needs is still low. It can be concluded that low competency of pedagogy to children with special need in inclusive school is caused by regular teachers in inclusive school that mostly have non Special Education background and never participated in inclusive education training.
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Introduction

Every child has right to get education. An ideal education is an education without considering gender, race, religion, ethnic group, and culture, even disability of a child. This is in line with international issue in nature of education, Education for All (EFA). In attempt to succeed Education for All (EFA), international world refers to Declaration Human Right 1984 on article 26:

“… (1) everyone has the right to education. Education shall be free, at least in the elementary and fundamental stages. Elementary education shall be compulsory. (2) education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and the strengthening of respect for human right and fundamental freedoms. It shall promote understanding, tolerance and friendship among all nation, racial or religious groups, (3) parents have a prior right to choose the kind of education that shall be given to their children.”

Inclusive education is education for all. Implementation of inclusive education is different in each country. Diversity of perception and idealism about inclusive education is the reason of the different implementation. In European country, education for children with special needs is mostly merged with regular students. Farrell (2005) mentions that inclusive school in England, especially in his homeland, use curriculum suitable with children’s competency. Beside, educator for Children with Special Education Need (SEN) is required to own QTS (Qualified Teacher Status) certificate in special education.

The implementation of inclusive education in Indonesia is varied caused by different perception and understanding of inclusive. Tarmansyah (2009) in his research about inclusive education in Padang City found that the implementation of inclusive education is still in the form of integrated education. Major obstacle faced is there is no formal reference about implementation of inclusive education yet.

Education in Indonesia starts to switch from segregation to inclusive. Based on PP no. 70 Year 2009 Article 1, inclusive education is an education management system giving chance for all students having disability and special need to attend education in education environment together with normal students.

Data from Direktorat Pembinaan PKLK Dikdas (2013) shows that there are around 925 school (elementary, junior high school, senior high school, and vocational high school) in 2007 and increase in 2013 to 2.100 school. In Surakarta, data of inclusive school is as follow:
Though the number of inclusive school increases every year, the implementation of inclusive school does not correspond yet with inclusive school target.

One of the most important aspects in inclusive education is teacher, who has direct contact with students with special needs. Teacher is a human component in teaching and learning process, which has role in attempt to build potential human resource. Sardiman (2001: 1) argues that in practice of inclusive education in regular school, education for students with special need is given to teachers’ assistance. Direktorat Jenderal Mandikdasmen (2010) elaborates teachers’ assistance task: (1) arranging assessment instrument together with class teacher and subject teacher, (2) building coordination system among teacher, school administration, and students’ parents, (3) accompanying handicapped students in learning together with class teacher/ subject teacher, (4) giving special help for special students who face difficulty to learn in regular class, in the form of remedial or enrichment, (5) giving continue guidance and making notes for handicapped students during learning activity, and it should be understandable for new teacher, and (6) giving help (sharing) to class teacher and/or subject teacher so they can give education service for special children.

Regular teacher in inclusive school should possess special competency in giving education service for students with special needs. According to Mulyasa (2004: 37-38) competency is assimilation among knowledge, skill, value, and behavior reflected in thinking and act habit. One competency that regular teachers in inclusive school should have in giving education service to students with special needs is pedagogy competency.

Suryanto and Jihad (2013: 43) explains that pedagogy competency is teachers’ competency for children, education designing and implementing, study result evaluating, and students’ development to actualize their potential. Pedagogy competency in term of special education is a way of how teacher can give service by understanding students’ flaws and also maximizing competency of student with special needs.

In giving educational service for students with special needs, pedagogy competency can be considered as important point. By possessing pedagogy competency, in this case is special education pedagogy, a teacher can give education service appropriate with the ability and need of students with special needs.

Fact in field shows that not every school has teachers’ assistance. In Surakarta itself, there are 4 schools having no teachers’ assistance from all 16 inclusive schools. It makes...
students with special needs do not get appropriate service. The students with special needs in inclusive school that do not get teachers’ assistance will be handled by regular teacher or their class teacher.

This research is generally aims to explore level of regular teachers’ competency in inclusive school in Surakarta city, Sukoharjo regency, and Klaten regency. To be more specific, this research aims to (1) determine regular teachers’ background in inclusive school, (2) have ever been involved in inclusive education training, and (3) determine, the understanding level of pedagogy competency of children with special needs in inclusive school.

Method

This research is a kind of case study research. This research used high school regular teachers’ of inclusive school in Surakarta city, Sukoharjo regency, and Klaten regency as subject. Instrument to collect data is questionnaire. The questionnaire used is modified questionnaire from Gunarhadi, dkk (2015) with Cronbach Alpha reliability measurement with 0.91 reliability. Collected data is analyzed by using descriptive qualitative.

Result and Discussion

Result

The data shows that regular teachers in inclusive school have education background which is not suitable yet for inclusive education service. Beside, intensity of joining training about inclusive is still low. It shows that regular teachers’ competency pedagogy of special education in inclusive school is still low. To be more specific, it can be elaborated as follows:

A. Regular teachers’ education background in inclusive school

From answered questionnaire about regular teachers’ education background in inclusive school, it can be known that 0% from special education, 7% from counseling, 89% is subject teacher, and 4% from psychology.

The data can be shown in the form of diagram below:
From the result above, it can be known that most regular teacher in inclusive school have inappropriate education background in handling children with special needs.

B. Regular teachers’ participation in joining inclusive training

There are only two respondents, or it can be said as 4%, have ever participated in inclusive training once. Meanwhile 96% respondents never participate in inclusive training yet. The data can be shown in the form of diagram below.

C. Pedagogy competency of special education

The result of measuring regular teachers’ pedagogy competency of special education in inclusive school is shown in table below.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Enough</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Enough</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Enough</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Enough</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Enough</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Enough</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Regular Teacher’s Pedagogy Competency Score of Special Education in Inclusive School

The data can also be presented in the form of graphic below:
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**Figure 3:** Regular Teacher’s Pedagogy Competency Score of Special Education in Inclusive School

From the result of regular teacher’s pedagogy competency score of special education in inclusive school, it shows that regular teachers’ pedagogy competence of special
education, 27% is very low, 44% low, 22% enough, 7% good, and 0% very good. This percentage can be presented into pie diagram below.

Discussion

The result of regular teachers’ pedagogy competency of special education shows that most regular teachers in inclusive school have low pedagogy competency of special education. This result is in line with research from Bukvic, Z. (2014) observing teachers’ competency in inclusive school showing that from 70% teachers have low knowledge about teaching students with special needs, and their attitude is mostly negative. In the other hand, young teachers have high competency. Some teachers that have positive attitude of inclusive education prefer not to teach student with special need.

Other research is done by Gunarhadi, dkk (2016) with title Pedagogic mapping of teacher competence in inclusive schools. The research shows that most teachers in inclusive school have limited knowledge and skill. Teachers have not only lack of experience in teaching students with special needs, but also lack of education in terms of quality.

Conclusion and Recommendation

Based on result and discussion above, it can be concluded that understanding level of regular teachers’ pedagogy competency is still low. It is mainly caused by teachers that have non-special-education background and lack of joining training about inclusive.

Recommendations

1. Making a peer teaching to share information about knowledge and handling of children with special needs in inclusive school.
2. Holding periodic meeting about inclusive training program.
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