



THE EFFECT OF 8-WEEK BALL-HANDLING TRAINING PROGRAM ON UPPER-LOWER EXTREMITY MUSCULAR STRENGTH OF INDIVIDUALS WITH DOWN SYNDROME

Gonca Ince¹

Coaching Education Department Sport-Health Science, Cukurova University,
Physical Education and Sport College, Adana, Turkey

Abstract:

Purpose: In this study, it has been aimed to determine the effect of 8-week ball-handling training program on upper-lower extremity muscular strength of individuals with Down syndrome.

Method: In the study, twenty one individuals who had been medically diagnosed with trisomy down syndrome and still attending special education and rehabilitation centres have participated as voluntarily. The participants have been selected randomly and divided into exercise (10 participants) and control groups (11 participants). The exercise group was trained with Ball-handling Training Program for 8 weeks; 2 days a week and a 60 minute per day. However, the control group did not participate in any activities regularly. In the study, Takei hand grip dynamometer was used to measure upper extremity strength. For the measurement of lower extremity strength, standing broad jump-vertical jump, wall squat test and Takei leg dynamometer strength tests were utilized. The statistical analyses of the data were realized by using SPSS 21.0 package program.

Results: The means of after eight weeks, leg strength test (pre:22.47±13.12; post:30.90±13.87; p=.005) wall squat test (pre:23.55±10.95; post:30.92±13.87; p=.005) and dominant hand grip strength (pre:11.35±5.74; post:14.55±7.18; p=.024) were found more significant than the means of baseline in exercise group. But, It was not significant differences between post measurements of exercise and control groups (p>0.05).

Conclusion: The findings of the study have revealed that 8-week ball-handling training program made a positive effect on upper-lower extremity strength of individuals with Down syndrome. However, it is assumed that if the program implemented is

¹ Correspondence: email gince@cu.edu.tr, gince6969@gmail.com

maintained for a longer period of time, the positive effect of the training on individuals with DS will increase.

Keywords: ball-handling training, upper-lower extremity muscular strength, Down syndrome

1. Introduction

Down Syndrome (DS) is a congenital, autosomal anomaly characterized with growth and intellectual disability . It has been stated that the life quality of individuals with DS may be poor because of their hypotenuse muscular nature. This hypotenuse muscular nature has been reported to affect DS individuals' self-care and academic skills negatively (Agiovlasitis et al., 2009; [Carmeli](#) et al., 2002; [Pitetti](#) et al., 1992). DS adults' muscular strength, endurance and dynamic stability have been considered very significant for a good quality of life and functional independence (Carmeli et al., 2002). In line with this, Mendonca et al. (2010)'s study on individuals with DS has put forward that leg strength is connected with aerobic and physical conditioning capacity. When related studies in the literature are examined, there are various exercise programs intended for the development of DS individuals' muscular tonus. Specifically, it has been detected that progressive resistance training has been utilized to develop DS individuals' muscular strength in general (Cowley et al., 2011; [Shields](#) et al., 2013; [Shields](#) et al., 2010; [Shields](#) et al., 2008). However, there are some studies in the literature which have pointed out that this training method increases the risk of disability (Kolber et al., 2010; [Phadke](#) et al., 2009). In line with this, it has been claimed that resilient cyclical training leads to the growth of low-grade systemic inflammation in DS sedentary male individuals (Rosety-Rodriguez et al., 2013). In this regard, using different training methods aimed at muscular strength and other features have been suggested in normal (Biçer et al., 2015; Özdal, 2016a; Özdal, 2016b) and disabled persons (Karakoc, 2016; Mayda et al., 2016; Shields et al., 2013; Tsimaras and Fotiadou, 2004). In their study, Worrell et al (1994) have stated that the fact of static stretching practices' effectiveness on the development of muscular strength is not a new notion. In addition to this, the study has put forward that utilizing static stretching practices 5 days a week through 3 weeks enhances eccentric torque strength at the rate of 8.5 % and concentric torque strength of 11.2% (Worrell et al., 1994). Regarding this finding, Rubini et al. (2007) lay emphasis on the positive effect of 3-week static stretching practices on the development of muscular strength.

Stauber et al. (1994) conducted a study implementing a 4-week long (3 times a week) stretching exercise on mice's soleus muscles and it was concluded that there was a significant increase in the muscle mass at the rate of 13% and the muscle tendons as a rate of 30%. Similarly, Coutinho et al. (2004) held a study involving a 3-week long stretching exercise (40 minutes 3 days a week) on mice's soleus muscles and revealed that a 16% increase in the soleus muscle tendons was observed. Ball-handling is an important personal offence skill for various basketball positions, such as self-defence, dribbling the ball and playing the game ([Franciosi et al., 2012](#)). It is highlighted that an athlete who can perform Ball-handling movements well can also perform his/her movements with the ball compatibly and also ball control very well (Kocić et al., 2016). In Ball-handling training programs, when the muscles in low extremity are considered to be working in static condition especially in stance position, the discussion about whether this situation has an effect on DS individuals' muscular tonus or not emerges. In addition to this argument, another concern is whether upper extremity muscles are affected or not during technical movements, such as bouncing the ball, lobbing it and turning it around the head, waist and knees. When the related literature is examined, there is a limited number of studies on (Kocić et al., 2016; Belkacem et al., 2016; [Monfort et al., 2015](#); [Zeller et al., 2015](#); Hamamioğlu et al., 2011). In general, whether there is an effect of ball-handling exercises or not on athletes' performance efficiency in basketball and football technical training has been investigated. Nevertheless, no studies on ball-handling regarding DS individuals have been come across in the literature. Therefore, this study seeks to find out the effect of 8-week Ball-handling Training Program (BTP) on DS individuals' upper and lower muscular strength.

2. Materials and Methods


2.1. Participants and Design

As a first step of our study, a contact meeting was arranged and 25 DS individuals (twenty five people who had been medically diagnosed with trisomy DS), who attend to special education and rehabilitation centres and have been found appropriate according to our study participation criteria, attended the meeting. Two out of 25 families having DS children refused to participate in the study. Twenty three DS individuals have been divided into two groups with random method sampling (Clark and Westerberg, 2009); namely Exercise Group (EG-12 individuals) and Control Group (CG-11 individuals). However, 2 DS participants in EG did not attend the study regularly and so those participants were excluded from the study. In summarize, the

study was conducted on 21 DS participants [10 people EG (4 male, 6 female), 11 people CG (6 male, 5 female)].

Study Participation Criteria ([Rosety-Rodriguez et al., 2013](#)); Study participation criteria selects for individuals who do not have atlantoaxial instability, thyroid disease, orthopaedic problems, attend a sportive activity for at least 6 months, smoking and drinking habits, congenital heart disease, but the individuals who would attend the study should have mildly intellectual disability (IQ=50-70) level reported by specialists in the hospital report.

Figure 1: Participant Flow Chart



BTP program including warm-up (10 minutes), main period (40 minutes) and cool-down (10 minutes) sections, 60 minutes in total each day was applied to the Exercise Group 2 days a week throughout 8 weeks. On the other hand, the Control group did not attend any activities regularly throughout 8 weeks. At the end of the study, the DS participants in the Control Group were taken to ball-handling exercises.

At the pre-training and post-training periods of the 8-week training, all DS participants' upper and lower extremity muscular strengths were measured. In order to measure lower extremity muscular strength, wall squat test, Takei leg dynamometer, leg strength, standing long jump and vertical jump were utilized. On the other hand, Takei hand grip test was used to measure upper extremity muscular strength. At the beginning of the study, all participants were informed about the study and signed an informed consent form, which was approved by the Human Ethics Committee of Cukurova University numbered 07-03-2014/29. Besides, a questionnaire involving demographic features of Down syndrome individuals was formed and administered to the participant families.

Table 1: BTP Including Participants with DS in Exercise Group
 (Ince et al., 2006; Krause et al., 1999)

WARM-UP 5min. jogging 5min. stretching exercises	MAIN PERIOD 40min. Ball-handling movements		COOL-DOWN 5min. jogging 5min. stretching exercises
a. Jogging b. Stretching <u>Stretching exercises</u> 1. Forward and backward head stretch 2. Sideways head stretch 3. Chest and shoulders stretch 4. Deltoid muscle stretch 5. Triceps muscle stretch 6. Overhead stretch 7. Lateral trunk muscle stretch 8. Arched back	FIGURES	EXPLANATIONS	a. Jogging b. Stretching <u>Stretching exercises</u> 1. Forward and backward head stretch 2. Sideways head stretch 3. Chest and shoulders stretch 4. Deltoid muscle stretch 5. Triceps muscle stretch 6. Overhead stretch 7. Lateral trunk muscle stretch 8. Arched back stretch 9. Leg extensor and
	Figure I.	Throwing the ball into the air with two hands and catching it again. Then, bouncing it in front of the body with two hands.	
	Figure II.	Turning the ball around legs on the ground.	
	Figure III.	Drifting the ball by figuring 8 around legs.	
	Figure IV.	Turning the ball around the head.	
	Figure V.	Bouncing and catching the ball with two hands on the left hand side strongly.	
	Figure VI.	Bouncing and catching the ball with two hands in front of the body strongly.	
	Figure VII.	Bouncing and catching the ball with two hands on the right hand side strongly.	
	Figure VIII.	Turning the ball around waist.	
	Figure IX.	Turning the ball around the ankle of the juxtaposed feet.	
	Figure X.	Taking the ball from the bottom to the top of the body while turning it. .	
	Figure XI.	Throwing and catching the ball to the right and left by tapping the fingers on the head.	
	Figure XII.	Throwing and catching the ball with finger tips in the chest position.	
Figure XIII.	Throwing and catching the ball with finger tips in knee line.		

stretch 9. Leg extensor and pelvic flexor stretch 10. Spinal twist stretch 11. Paravertebral muscle stretch 12. Loosen-up stretch 13. Upper back prayer 14. Double knee-to-chest stretch	Figure IX.	High pounding with left hand on the left side in basic position.	pelvic flexor stretch 10. Spinal twist stretch 11. Paravertebral muscle stretch 12. Loosen-up stretch 13. Upper back prayer 14. Double knee-to-chest stretch
	Figure XV.	High pounding with right hand on the right side in basic position.	
	Figure XVI.	In basic position, pounding the ball with the right and left hand from right to left.	
	Figure XVII.	In basic position, throwing the ball into the air with right hand and catching it again.	
	Figure XVIII.	In basic position, throwing the ball into the air with left hand and catching it again.	
	Figure IXX.	In basic position, throwing the ball into the air with two hands and catching it again.	
	Figure XX.	In basic position, throwing the ball into the air with two hands and clapping your hand and catching it again.	
	Figure XXI.	In basic position, drifting the ball around the left leg.	
	Figure XXII.	In basic position, drifting the ball around the right leg.	
	Figure XXIII.	In basic position, pounding the ball low with two hands on the right side.	
Figure IVXX.	In basic position, pounding the ball low with two hands on the left side.		

2.2. Instruments

2.2.1. Takei hand dynamometer test: While the individual was standing, dominant and non-dominant hand measurement were held by adjusting the instrument to the individual's wrist and by placing it to 45° abduction. During the measurement, the arm was stretched (MacDonncha et al., 1999; España-Romero et al., 2010; Roberts et al., 2011; Mayda et al., 2016).

2.2.2. Takei leg dynamometer test: The participants were positioned on the dynamometer after each movement was demonstrated on the dynamometer. In the upright position, the dynamometer chain was adjusted according to the participant's knee level. In the stance position in basketball, while the participant was looking across and also his/her back was upright, he/she was asked to lift up the dynamometer chain by relying on his/her legs strength. The value measured was recorded as kg (Biçer et al., 2015; Karakoc, 2016; Mayda et al., 2016; Bilgiç et al., 2016a).

2.2.3. Vertical jump test: Takei jump meter instrument was used to measure vertical jump. The individual jumped upwards with his/her all power without bounding and taking a step on a time and distance scaled soft floor and the distance he/she jumped

was recorded as centimetre degree on the instrument (Bilgiç et al., 2016b; Milliken et al. 2008; [Giagazoglou](#) et al., 2013, Juneja et al., 2010).

2.2.4. Standing broad jump test: The individual performed the standing broad jump from the back of marked line with his/her feet to the best of his/her ability. The distance was measured in the form of meter by detecting the distance between the marked line and the closest trace the participant had (Wang and Ju, 2002; Guidetti et al., 2010, Juneja et al., 2010).

2.2.5. Wall squat test: During this test, the participant was asked to wait in half-done squat position. In this position, the participant's back was against a smooth vertical wall, his/her arms were in parallel extension towards the floor facing front of the body and in the leg-shoulder width apart position (<http://www.topendsports.com/testing/tests/wall-sit.htm>, [Accessed; 24/02/2017]; McIntosh et al., 1998). When the participant was positioned in half-done squat, the chronometer was started. The timing started when one foot was lifted off the ground and was stopped when the participant could not maintain the position, the chronometer was stopped and the value detected was recorded. After a period, the other leg was tested in the same way. All measurements were carried out twice and the best value from two measurements was used.

2.3. Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS package program, version 21.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics were used for the means and standard deviations. Shapiro-Wilk test was used for normality distribution of the data. Non-parametric tests were made since the exercise and control groups did not show normal distribution ($p < 0.05$). While comparing paired groups, Wilcoxon signed rank test was performed and to compare independent groups Mann-Whitney U test was used.

3. Results

Table 2: Comparing exercise group and control group by demographic characteristics

Characteristics	Groups	n	Mean	S.D.	Mean Rank	Z	p
Age	Exercise	10	22.50	5.25	13.00	-1.414	.157
	Control	11	19.36	6.28	9.18		
	Total	21	20.86	5.89			
Height	Exercise	10	153.10	7.71	12.50		

Gonca Ince
 THE EFFECT OF 8-WEEK BALL-HANDLING TRAINING PROGRAM
 ON UPPER-LOWER EXTREMITY MUSCULAR STRENGTH OF INDIVIDUALS WITH DOWN SYNDROME

	Control	11	150.09	7.20	9.64	-1.059	.290
	Total	21	151.52	7.42			
Body Weight	Exercise	10	61.41	15.22	10.90	-.071	.944
	Control	11	60.44	14.17	11.09		
	Total	21	60.90	14.32			

The mean values of DS participants' age, height and weight features are demonstrated in the order respectively (20.86±5.89 year old; 151.52±7.42 cm; 60.90±14.32 kg). Demographic characteristics of exercise and control groups were compared and we did not find any significant differences between them (p>0.05).

Table 3: Comparing the results of pre- and post-tests in Exercise Group

Strength Tests of Upper and Lower Extremities	n	Mean	S.D.	Rank	Mean Rank	z	p
Leg Strength (Pre-test)	10	22.47	13.12	negative ranks	.00	-2.80	.005*
Leg Strength (Post-test)	10	30.90	19.16	positive ranks	5.50		
Vertical Jump (Pre-test)	10	13.60	7.03	negative ranks	3.17	-1.56	.119
Vertical Jump (Post-test)	10	15.20	7.15	positive ranks	5.92		
Standing Broad Jump (Pre-test)	10	66.70	34.63	negative ranks	2.50	-1.13	.260
Standing Broad Jump (Post-test)	10	75.00	43.06	positive ranks	6.50		
Wall Squat (Pre-test)	10	23.55	10.95	negative ranks	.00	-2.80	.005*
Wall Squat (Post-test)	10	30.92	13.87	positive ranks	5.50		
Hand Grip Dominant (Pre-test)	10	11.35	5.74	negative ranks	3.50	-2.26	.024*
Hand Grip Dominant (Post-test)	10	14.55	7.18	positive ranks	5.19		
Hand Grip Non-Dominant (Pre-test)	10	11.10	6.29	negative ranks	4.50	-1.89	.059
Hand Grip Non-Dominant (Post-test)	10	14.10	6.37	positive ranks	5.75		

*p<0.05

The means of measurements after 8 weeks of leg strength test (baseline: 22.47±13.12; after 8 weeks: 30.90±13.87) wall squat test (baseline: 23.55±10.95; after 8 weeks: 30.92±13.87) and dominant hand grip strength (baseline: 11.35±5.74; after 8 weeks: 14.55±7.18) in exercise group were found higher than the means of pre-test. Hence, regarding exercise group, statistically significant differences between pre- and post-training were found respectively (p=.005; p=.005; p=.024).

Table 4: Comparing pre-and post-test results regarding control group

Strength Tests of Upper and Lower Extremities	n	Mean	S.D.	Rank	Mean Rank	z	p
Leg Strength (Pre-test)	11	25.36	12.56	negative ranks	4.50	-2.205	.03
Leg Strength (Post-test)	11	25.13	12.62	positive ranks	1.00		
Vertical Jump (Pre-test)	11	14.45	4.63	negative ranks	5.14	-1.732	.08
Vertical Jump (Post-test)	11	13.91	4.78	positive ranks	4.50		
Standing Broad Jump (Pre-test)	11	65.18	21.27	negative ranks	4.08	-1.897	.06
Standing Broad Jump (Post-test)	11	64.55	21.00	positive ranks	3.50		
Wall Squat (Pre-test)	11	21.27	9.13	negative ranks	4.08	-1.897	.06
Wall Squat (Post-test)	11	20.73	9.18	positive ranks	3.50		
Hand Grip Dominant (Pre-test)	11	15.45	5.56	negative ranks	3.00	.000	1.00
Hand Grip Dominant (Post-test)	11	15.44	5.67	positive ranks	1.50		
Hand Grip Non-Dominant (Pre-test)	11	14.91	6.09	negative ranks	2.50	-1.069	.29
Hand Grip Non-Dominant (Post-test)	11	14.83	6.09	positive ranks	1.00		

*p<0.05

After 8-week training, the means of leg strength test (pre-test: 25.36±12.56; post-test: 25.13±12.62) in control group were found lower than the means of pre-test. So, statistically significant differences were detected between pre-training period and post-training period (p=.003).

Table 5: Comparing pre-test and post test results of exercise and control groups

Strength Tests of Upper and Lower Extremities	Groups	n	Mean	S.D.	Mean rank	z	p
Leg Strength (Pre-test)	Exercise	10	22.47	13.12	10.40	-.423	.672
	Control	11	25.36	12.56	11.55		
Leg Strength (Post-test)	Exercise	10	30.90	19.16	11.90	-.634	.526
	Control	11	25.13	12.62	10.18		
Vertical Jump (Pre-test)	Exercise	10	13.60	7.03	10.60	-.282	.778
	Control	11	14.45	4.63	11.36		
Vertical Jump (Post-test)	Exercise	10	15.20	7.15	11.45	-.318	.750
	Control	11	13.91	4.78	10.59		
Standing Broad Jump (Pre-test)	Exercise	10	66.70	34.63	11.40	-.282	.778
	Control	11	65.18	21.27	10.64		
Standing Broad Jump (Post-test)	Exercise	10	75.00	43.06	11.80	-.563	.573
	Control	11	64.55	21.00	10.27		
Wall Squat (Pre-test)	Exercise	10	23.55	10.95	11.60	-.423	.672
	Control	11	21.27	9.13	10.45		

Wall Squat (Post-test)	Exercise	10	30.92	13.87	13.70	-1.903	.057
	Control	11	20.73	9.18	8.55		
Hand Grip Dominant (Pre-test)	Exercise	10	11.35	5.74	8.95	-1.447	.148
	Control	11	15.45	5.56	12.86		
Hand Grip Dominant (Post-test)	Exercise	10	14.55	7.18	10.60	-.282	.778
	Control	11	15.44	5.67	11.36		
Hand Grip Non-Dominant (Pre-test)	Exercise	10	11.10	6.29	9.25	-1.236	.216
	Control	11	14.91	6.09	12.59		
Hand Grip Non-Dominant (Post-test)	Exercise	10	14.10	6.37	10.65	-.247	.805
	Control	11	14.83	6.09	11.32		

No significant differences were found between exercise and control groups regarding all parameters (pre-test and post-test) ($p > 0.05$).

4. Discussion

Intellectually disabled individuals' physical fitness components' (strength, velocity, endurance, flexibility and so on.) being low shows that those individuals have low level of physical activities. Furthermore, the related literature points out that this low physical fitness may affect not only their social involvement, but also their health problems negatively (Salaun et al., 2012). In DS individuals, muscular strength has been considered as the most significant physical fitness parameter since DS individuals have hypotense nature because this condition has been reported to affect those individuals' daily life skills negatively (Agiovlasitis et al., 2009). Several studies in the literature state that there is a strong relationship between DS individuals' muscle hypotonus and muscle strength (Sharav and Bowman, 1992; Pitetti et al., 1992; Priosti et al., 2013). In their study, John et al. (2016) emphasized that DS individuals' muscular strength may be affected negatively because of their joint laxity and hypotonus muscles.

In another study, Cameli et al. (2002) compared the muscular strength of individuals with Mental Retardation (MR) disability and the individuals with Down Syndrome+Mental Retardation (DSMR). Cameli et al. (2002) found out that the muscular strength of individuals with Down syndrome + Mental Retardation (DSMR) was lower than the muscular strength of individuals with Mental Retardation (MR). In line with that, upper and lower extremity muscular strength of DS individuals was found to have 50% lower strength when compared to their mentally retarded peers without DS (Pitetti et al., 1992; Croce et al., 1996). This finding points out that it is essential to develop DS individuals' muscular strength.

In our study, after 8-week training the means of measurements were found higher than the means of baseline in exercise group; such as leg strength test (baseline:

22.47±13.12; after 8 weeks: 30.90±13.87), wall squat test (baseline: 23.55±10.95; after 8 weeks: 30.92±13.87) and dominant hand grip strength (baseline: 11.35±5.74; after 8 weeks: 14.55±7.18). Therefore, in the exercise group significant differences were found between baseline and after 8-week treatment respectively ($p=.005$; $p=.005$; $p=.024$). Mendonca et al. (2010) signify the importance of lower part body development because they suggest that leg strength is closely related to aerobic and physical exercise capacity. In addition, 10-week progressive resistance training program administered to DS individuals (17 male, 6 female, age: 15.6±1.6 year) was reported to develop low extremity strength. However, it was stated that upper extremity strength was not developed (Shields and Taylor, 2010). In our study, this is an important finding although our training lasted 8 weeks, which took shorter duration than the training in Shields and Taylor's study. Nevertheless, a development was observed between pre-post upper and lower extremity strengths in the exercise group. This situation might have stemmed from DS individuals' easy orientation to ball-handling training and in the first weeks unexperienced minor situations, such as aches which are mostly seen in progressive resistance training program. However, any adverse events that occurred during training (including minor events such as delayed onset muscle soreness) were recorded by the student mentor during the participant's exercise.

In some studies in the related literature, it was stated that a significant development takes place in upper and lower extremity muscular strengths when exercise programs devoted to major muscle groups are put into use 2-3 days a week, 10-12 weeks in total for individuals with DS (Cowley et.al., 2011, Shields et.al., 2008, Tsimaras and Fotiadou, 2004). In another study, Oxyzoglou et al. (2007) conducted a comparative study to examine pre-post jumping and strength skills between two different groups including 121 adults in total; namely, the Handball Group (51 individuals, average age mean 13.7±1.5) and the physical education group (70 individuals, average age mean 13.5±96). The participants in the handball group were trained 3 days a week, 60 minutes a day doing handball ball-handling drills, horizontal-vertical jumping rounds, fast offense practices and various defencing drills. On the other hand, some exercises oriented to sport techniques were administered to the Physical Education group. In both groups, the participants' jumping and strength skills were compared before and after the training sessions.

The findings of the study revealed that the participants' horizontal-vertical jumping skills and right hand grip in the handball group developed more than the participants in the physical education group. However, it was pointed out that no significant difference existed in left hand grip strength (Oxyzoglou et al., 2007). Similarly, we can state that the reason for the positive development of EG dominant

hand statistically may stem from using dominant hand actively during BTP. In our study, EG pre-post test results revealed that the horizontal and vertical jumping mean values showed a positive development but not found as statistically significant ($p>0.05$). It can be said that BTP was ineffective on the development of DS individuals' jumping skills. However, in Hamamioğlu and Çakmakçı's (2011) study, 40 male participants aged between 9-14 were divided into two groups, namely, the exercise group (20 participants) and the control group (20 participants); and the participants in the exercise group were trained for about 1.5 hours a day by implementing ball handling, pass techniques and dripping throughout 12 weeks involving 2 days a week to compare their skills in jumping (horizontal-vertical), flexibility, speed with the participants in the control group (20 individuals). During that period, the control group went on doing Physical education lessons. When pre-test and post-test results were examined, no significant difference was found between the groups regarding vertical jumping. However, horizontal jumping feature was found to have developed in the exercise group while it remained the same in the control group (Hamamioğlu and Çakmakçı, 2011). In addition to ball-handling exercises, we suggest that using pass techniques and dripping exercises may be effective in developing horizontal jumping feature. In another study, Kokkonen et al. (2007) carried out a research involving 38 university students. 38 participants were also divided into two groups; the exercise group (8 male, 11 female) and the control group (8 male, 11 female). The exercise group participants were made work out static stretching exercises directed for extremity major muscle groups. However, the control group (8 male, 11 female) did not attend any regular activity. The results of the study showed that in some skills, such as standing broad jump (2.3%), vertical jumping (6.7%) strength [knee flexion (15.3%) and extension (32.4%) one repetition maximum (1 RM) and maintenance in strength [1RM 60 % knee flexion (30.4%) and the number of extension (28.5%)]; the values obtained in the exercise group were much better than the control group revealing a significant difference between the two groups (Kokkonen et al., 2007). Considering two separate studies conducted by Hamamioğlu (2011) and Kokkonen et al. (2007), since the two studies' training periods (10-12 weeks) lasted much more time than the training sessions in our study we can state that long training periods may have affected DS individuals' horizontal and vertical jumping skills negatively.

In our study, EG pre and post-tests dominant hand grip strength was observed to have developed in a positive way ($p=.024$) although no significant difference was found out in CG ($p>0.05$). It was noted that there was a positive and high correlation between hand grip strength and functional performance, especially in self-care skills (Souza et al., 2012). Sensorial and motor tasks realized by hand individuals'

performance for survival in daily life (Priosti et al., 2013). Therefore, we can state that hand strength has a significant place to fulfil daily life activities. John et al. (2016) suggest hand grip and finger exercise programs for individuals with DS because of their joint laxity and hypotenuse muscular feature.

In our study, when CG pre-post test results on leg strength were examined, a decrease was observed in the mean values of leg strength test ($p=.003$) while no significant difference was found out after 8 weeks of training ($p>0.05$). After 8 weeks, the means of leg strength test (baseline: 25.36 ± 12.56 ; after 8 weeks: 25.13 ± 12.62) in the control group were found lower than the means of baseline. Therefore, a significant difference was found between pre-and post-tests in the control group ($p=.003$). The other parameters did not seen significant differences between pre-tests and post-tests in control group.

Regarding pre-and post-test leg strength test results of the exercise group, it can be said that there has been a development in the participants' leg strength. In this regard, we can conclude that ball-handling training programs may develop DS participants' low extremity strength.

Based on the comparison regarding pre- and post-training results of the exercise group, it can be stated that there has been a positive development; however, no significant difference has been come across between the control group and the post-test results. We consider that the reason for this situation may have occurred because of the different pre-test values numerically between the control and exercise group although no statistically significant difference was found ($p>0.05$). In this respect, we can note that extending training period may create a change on the results.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to appreciate all special education and rehabilitation centres and DS individuals for their willingness to participate in this study.

References

1. [Agiovlasitis, S.](#), [McCubbin, J.A.](#), [Yun, J.](#), [Mpitsos, G.](#) & [Pavol, M.J.](#) (2009). Effects of Down syndrome on three-dimensional motion during walking at different speeds, *Gait & Posture*. 30 345–350.
2. Biçer, M., Özdal, M., Akcan, F., Mendes, B., & Patlar, S. (2015). Effect of strength training program with elastic band on strength parameters. *Journal of Biology of Exercise*, 11(2), 111-122.

3. Bilgiç, M., Biçer, M., & Özdal, M. (2016b). Farklı branşlarda spor yapan 11-13 yaş grubu çocukların 2D: 4D parmak oranlarının sportif performansla ilişkisinin incelenmesi. *Gaziantep Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 1(1).
4. Bilgiç, M., Pancar, Z., Şahin, F. B., & Özdal, M. (2016a). Sedanter Çocuklarda İki Farklı Anaerobik Güç Testi Arasındaki Korelasyonun İncelenmesi. *Gaziantep Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 1(2), 40-48.
5. [Carmeli, E.¹](#), [Ayalon, M.](#), [Barchad, S.](#), [Sheklow, S.L.](#) & [Reznick A.Z.](#) (2002). Isokinetic leg strength of institutionalized older adults with mental retardation with and without Down's syndrome. *J Strength Cond Res*. May;16(2):316-20.
6. [Carmeli, E.²](#), [Kessel, S.](#), [Coleman, R.](#) & [Ayalon, M.](#) (2002). Effects of a treadmill walking program on muscle strength and balance in elderly people with Down syndrome. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. Feb;57(2):M106-10.
7. Clark, [M. P.A.](#) & Westerberg, B. (2009). How random is the toss of a coin? *CMAJ*. Dec 8; 181(12): E306–E308.
8. Coutinho, E. L., Gomes, A. R., Franca, C. N., Oishi, J., & Salvini, T. F. (2004). Effect of passive stretching on the immobilized soleus muscle fiber morphology. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 37:1853–1861.
9. [Covelli, V.](#), [Raggi, A.](#), [Meucci, P.](#), [Paganelli, C.](#), & [Leonardi, M.](#) (2016). Ageing of people with Down's syndrome: a systematic literature review from 2000 to 2014. *Int J Rehabil Res*. Mar;39(1):20-8. doi: 10.1097/MRR.000000000000147.
10. [Cowley, P.M.](#), [Ploutz-Snyder, L.L.](#), [Baynard, T.](#), [Heffernan, K.S.](#), [Jae, S.Y.](#), [Hsu, S.](#), [Lee, M.](#), [Pitetti, K.H.](#), [Reiman, M.P.](#) & [Fernhall, B.](#) (2011). The effect of progressive resistance training on leg strength, aerobic capacity and functional tasks of daily living in persons with Down syndrome. *Disabil Rehabil.* 33: 2229–36.
11. Croce, R. V., Pitetti, K. H., Horvat, M., & Miller, J. (1996). Peak torque, average power and hamstrings/quadriceps ratios in nondisabled adults and adults with mental retardation. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 77, 369–372.
12. [Escamilla, R.F.](#), [Zheng, N.](#), [Macleod, T.D.](#), [Edwards, W.B.](#), [Imamura, R.](#), [Hreljac, A.](#), [Fleisig, G.S.](#), [Wilk, K.E.](#), [Moorman, C.T.](#) & [Andrews, J.R.](#) (2009). Patellofemoral joint force and stress during the wall squat and one-leg squat. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. Apr;41(4):879-88. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818e7ead.
13. España-Romero, V., Ortega, F.B., Vicente-Rodríguez, G., Artero, E.G., Rey, J.P. & Ruiz, J.R. (2010). Elbow position affects handgrip strength in adolescents: Validity and reliability of Jamar, DynEx, and TKK dynamometers. *J Strength Cond Res*. 24(1):272–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181b296a5>.
14. [Franciosi, E.](#), [Gallotta, M.C.](#), [Baldari, C.](#), [Emerenziani, G.P.](#) & [Guidetti, L.](#) (2012). Basketball ability testing and category for players with mental retardation: 8-

- month training effect. [*J Strength Cond Res.*](#) Jun;26(6):1524-31. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318236d0a4.
15. [Giagazoglou, P.](#), [Kokaridas, D.](#), [Sidiropoulou, M.](#), [Patsiaouras, A.](#), [Karra, C.](#) & [Neofotistou, K.](#) (2013). Effects of a trampoline exercise intervention on motor performance and balance ability of children with intellectual disabilities. [*Res Dev Disabil.*](#) Sep;34(9):2701-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2013.05.034.
 16. [Guidetti, L.](#), [Franciosi, E.](#), [Gallotta, M.C.](#), [Emerenziani, G.P.](#) & [Baldari, C.](#) (2010). Could sport specialization influence fitness and health of adults with mental retardation? [*Res Dev Disabil.*](#) Sep-Oct;31(5):1070-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2010.04.002.
 17. Hamamioğlu, Ö. & Çakmakçı, E. (2011). Examination of adolescents' responses to the basic basketball training, *Ovidius University Annals, Series Physical Education and Sport / Science, Movement And Health*, vol. 11, issue 1, , Romania, s:7-12.
 18. Ince, G., Sarpel, T., Durgun, B. & Erdoğan, Ş. (2006). The Effects of multimodal exercise program for people with Ankylosing Spondylitis, *Phys. Ther.* 86: 924-935.
 19. John, R., Dhanve, A. & Mullerpatan, R. P. (2016). Grip and pinch strength in children with Down syndrome, *Hand Therapy*. Vol. 21(3) 85–89.
 20. John, R., Dhanve, A. & Mullerpatan, R. P. (2016). Grip and pinch strength in children with Down syndrome, *Hand Therapy*. Vol. 21(3) 85–89.
 21. Juneja, H., Verma, S. K. & Khanna, G.L. (2010). Isometric Strength and Its Relationship to Dynamic Performance: A Systematic Review, *Journal of Exercise Science and Physiotherapy*, Vol. 6, No. 2: 60-69.
 22. Karakoc, O., (2016). The investigation of physical performance status of visually and hearing impaired applying judo training program, *Journal of Education and Training Studies*. Vol. 4, 10-17.
 23. Kocić, M., Berić, D. & Stevanović, M. (2016). Efficiency of ball manipulation in basketball players, *Facta Universitatis*. Vol. 14, No 1, pp. 23–30.
 24. Kokkonen, J., Nelson, A. G., Eldredge, C. & Winchester, J. B. (2007). Chronic static stretching improves exercise performance, [*Med Sci Sports Exerc.*](#) Oct;39(10):1825-31.
 25. Kolber, M.J., Beekhuizen, K.S., Cheng, M.S. & Hellman, M.A. (2010). Shoulder injuries attributed to resistance training: a brief review. *J Strength Cond Res.* 24: 1696–1704.
 26. Krause J.V., Meyer D., Meyer J. (1999). *Basketball Skills & Drills, Ballhandling*, United Kingdom, Human Kinetics, Versa Press, Second Edition, Chapter 3, p:35-55.

27. MacDonncha, C., Watson, A.S., McSweeney, T. & O'Donovan, D. (1999). Reliability of eurofit physical items for adolescent males with and without mental retardation. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*. 16 pp. 86–95.
28. Mayda, M. H., Karakoc, O., & Özdal, M. (2016). The Investigation of Some Physical, Physiological and Anthropometric Parameters of Visually Impaired and Non-impaired a National Male Judoka. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 4(6), 192-198.
29. McIntosh, G., Wilson, L., Affleck, M. & Hall H. (1998). Trunk and lower extremity muscle endurance: normative data for adults. *J. Rehabil Outcomes Meas.* 2 (4), 20-39.
30. Mendonca, [G. V.](#), Pereira, F. [D.](#) & [Fernhall](#), B. (2010). Reduced exercise capacity in persons with Down syndrome: cause, effect, and management, *The Clin Risk Manag.*; 6: 601–610. doi: [10.2147/TCRM.S10235](https://doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.S10235).
31. Milliken, L.A., Faigenbaum, A.D., Loud, R.L. & Westcott, W.L. (2008). Correlates of upper and lower body muscular strength in children. *J Strength Cond Res.* 22(4):1339–46, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e31817393b1>.
32. [Monfort, S.M.](#), [Comstock, R.D.](#), [Collins, C.L.](#), [Onate, J.A.](#), [Best, T.M.](#) & [Chaudhari A.M.](#) (2015). Association between ball-handling versus defending actions and acute noncontact lower extremity injuries in high school basketball and soccer. *Am J Sports Med.* Apr;43(4):802-7. doi: 10.1177/0363546514564541.
33. Multani, N.K., Bhawna, V. & Amandeep, S. (2013). Level of physical fitness among physiotherapy students. A study of Punjab and Haryana. *World Appl Sci J.* 21(8):1136–40., DOI: 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.21.8.1839.
34. [Oxyzoglou, N.](#), [Kanioglou, A.](#), [Rizos, S.](#), [Mavridis, G.](#) & [Kabitsis, C.](#) (2007). Muscular strength and jumping performance after handball training versus physical education program for pre-adolescent children. *Percept Mot Skills.* Jun;104(3 Pt 2):1282-8.
35. Özdal, M. (2016). Effect of core training on inspiratory muscle strength in well-trained men. *Journal of Biology of Exercise*, 12(1), 23-32.
36. Özdal, M. (2016). Influence of an eight-week core strength training program on respiratory muscle fatigue following incremental exercise. *Isokinetics and Exercise Science*, 24(3), 225-230.
37. [Phadke, V.](#), [Camargo, P.](#) & [Ludewig, P.](#) (2009). Scapular and rotator cuff muscle activity during arm elevation: A review of normal function and alterations with shoulder impingement. *Rev Bras Fisioter.* Feb 1;13(1):1-9.

38. [Pitetti, K.H.](#), [Climstein, M.](#), [Mays, M.J.](#) & [Barrett, P.J.](#) (1992). Isokinetic arm and leg strength of adults with Down syndrome: a comparative study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* Sep;73(9):847-50.
39. Priosti, P. A., Blascovi-Assis, S. M., Cymrot, R., Vianna, D. L. & Caromano, F. A. (2013). Grip strength and manual dexterity in Down Syndrome children, *Fisioter Pesq.* 20(3):278-285.
40. Rosety-Rodriguez, M., Camacho, A., Rosety, I., Fornieles, G., Rosety, M.A., Diaz, A.J., Rosety, M. & Ordonez, F.J. (2013). [Resistance circuit training reduced inflammatory cytokines in a cohort of male adults with Down syndrome](#) *Med Sci Monit.* 19: 949–953.doi: [10.12659/MSM.889362](https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.889362).
41. Rubini, E. C., Costa, A.L.L. & Gomes, P. S.C. (2007). The Effects of Stretching on Strength Performance, *Sports Med.* 37 (3): 213-224, 0112-1642/07/0003-0213/\$44.95/0.
42. Salaun, L. & Berthouze-Aranda, S.E. (2012). Physical fitness and fatness in adolescents with intellectual disabilities, *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities.* 25: 231–239.
43. Sharav, T. & Bowman, T. (1992). Dietary, physical activity, and body-mass index in a selected population of Down syndrome children and their sibling GC. *Clin Pediatr.* 31(6):341-4.
44. Shields, N. & Taylor, N.F. (2010). A student-led progressive resistance training program increases lower limb muscle strength in adolescents with Down syndrome: a randomised controlled trial. *J Physiother.* 56:187-93.
45. Shields, N., Taylor, N.F. & Dodd, K.J. (2008). Effects of a community-based progressive resistance training program on muscle performance and physical function in adults with Down syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 89:1215-20.
46. [Shields, N.](#), [Taylor, N.F.](#), [Wee, E.](#), [Wollersheim, D.](#), [O'Shea, S.D.](#) & [Fernhall, B.](#) A. (2013). Community-based strength training programme increases muscle strength and physical activity in young people with Down syndrome: a randomised controlled trial. *Res Dev Disabil.* Dec;34(12):4385-94. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2013.09.022. Epub Oct 11.
47. Souza, A., Cymrot, R., Vianna, D., Caromano, F.A. & Blascovi-Assis, S.M. (2012). Síndrome de Down: correlação entre o desempenho funcional com a força de preensão palmar e a destreza manual. *Fisioterapia Brasil.* 13: 223-27.
48. [Stauber, W.T.](#), [Miller, G.R.](#), [Grimmett, J.G.](#) & [Knack, K.K.](#) (1994). Adaptation of rat soleus muscles to 4 wk of intermittent strain. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 77:58–62.

49. Tsimaras, V.K. & Fotiadou, E.G. (2004). Effect of training on the muscle strength and dynamic balance ability of adults with Down syndrome. *J Strength Cond Res*;18: 343-7.
50. Wang, W. Y., & Ju, Y.H. (2002). Promoting balance and jumping skills in children with Down syndrome. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*. 94(2),443–448.
51. Worrell, T.W., Smith, T.L. & Winegardner J. (1994). Effect Of Hamstring Stretching On Hamstring Muscle Performance. *J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther.* 20: 154–159.
52. [Zeller, S.](#), [Abel, T.](#), [Rojas-Vega, S.](#), [Foitschik, T.](#) & [Strueder H.K.](#) (2015). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor concentrations in tetraplegic athletes. *Spinal Cord*. 53, 791–794.

Gonca Ince
THE EFFECT OF 8-WEEK BALL-HANDLING TRAINING PROGRAM
ON UPPER-LOWER EXTREMITY MUSCULAR STRENGTH OF INDIVIDUALS WITH DOWN SYNDROME

Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Special Education Research shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).