



RE-READING *EUGENE ONEGIN*: TATYANA'S INNER WORLD AS THE PSYCHOLOGICAL AND MORAL CENTER OF THE NOVEL

Nure Jannatⁱ,

Md. Nasir Uddin

Department of English,
Noakhali Science and Technology University,
Bangladesh

Abstract:

This article reconsiders Alexander Pushkin's *Eugene Onegin* by arguing that Tatyana Larina, rather than Eugene Onegin, constitutes the novel's primary psychological and moral center. This study challenges the traditional focus on Onegin as the paradigmatic "superfluous man" and shows that the text's most significant emotional and interpretive focus is organized through Tatyana's inward development. This research uses a qualitative interpretive method and close textual study for the analysis of key stages (her literary imagination, emotional awakening, dream experience, engagement with Onegin's library, and final moral decision) in Tatyana's character formation. Narrative theory, gender theory, and ethical criticism are used for the analysis. The transformation of her subjectivity from romantic projection to reflective moral awareness is the particular concern of this research. This study also offers an account of the ethical complexity of her final refusal of Onegin. The article argues that this refusal is not just a passive submission to social norms, rather it is an active and self-conscious moral stance that is shaped by the tension between desire, responsibility, and social constraint. This study, thus, redefines Tatyana not simply as a central character, but as the principal site through which Pushkin explores inwardness, gendered subjectivity, and moral selfhood, which helps reveal the deeper structural coherence of the novel.

Keywords: Tatyana Larina; *Eugene Onegin*; inner world; psychological realism; gender roles; national identity

1. Introduction

Alexander Pushkin's *Eugene Onegin* (1833) has been traditionally interpreted as a novel about Eugene Onegin, a superfluous man in Russian literature. More nuanced reading reveals that the psychological and emotional complexity of the narrative lies not in what Onegin is about, but in what Tatyana Larina is about. The novel can be better understood through her inner world, her

ⁱ Correspondence: email jannat.eng@nstu.edu.bd

imagination, feeling, conflict and transformation. While Pushkin presents a broad image of Russian society, critics, such as Vissarion Bellinsky, have still emphasised its realism and modernity (Belinsky, 2012). In such a realist approach, Tatyana is a unique reflective character whose inner world is delicately portrayed. Her character in the novel is shaped by the books she has read, culture she has grown up in and her experiences. Such a character development reflects both personal and social experiences. Despite Tatyana being hailed as one of the most interesting characters in the novel, she has increasingly been viewed more as a significant character in the narrative of *Onegin* than as the intellect that organizes the most profound of the novel's meanings. This paper addresses this research gap and critically studies her inner world and consciousness which appears to be the psychological and moral center of *Eugene Onegin*. To formulate the argument, a qualitative interpretative approach is applied. This approach is mainly based on close textual analysis of key episodes in her development. These were the most important episodes featuring her loneliness, her interest in reading, her letter to Onegin, her dream, her visit to the library of Onegin and her ultimate rejection. The main text of analysis is the primary one, *Eugene Onegin*. The selected secondary sources and theoretical views are used to support and contextualize this textual analysis. This article re-centers the novel on Tatyana's consciousness with the aim of showing that Pushkin's text is structured by Tatyana's evolving relation to desire, morality, and social reality.

2. Literature Review

Russian literary criticism has greatly focused on Tatyana Larina. Lots of critics interpret her as one of the most essential characters in *Eugene Onegin*. They usually focus on her purity, emotional intensity, and her character of moral prowess. Belinsky makes Tatyana a very sincere and realistic character. He also argues that her personality depicts the moral gravity of the Russian existence (2012). Tatyana also has a high place, as given by Dostoevsky. He refers to her as the perfect model of the Russian woman (1880). In subsequent criticism, Tatyana is seen in a wider cultural context. Lotman describes her personality using the social world of the Russian aristocratic society (1983). Makogonenko associates her development with the countryside and the Russian culture (1963). Cravens discusses the connection between the feelings of Tatyana and the sentimental tradition. He focuses on her letter to Onegin and her quality of literature (2002). Lachmann investigates the intertextual aspect of the character of Tatyana. She attributes the use of emotional language and the letter written by Tatyana to European sentimental literature, particularly Rousseau (2011). The fact that Tatyana has psychological complexity is emphasized by Nabokov. He perceives much in her than other characters in the novel (1999). Bozovic supports this perception of Nabokov by relating Tatyana to Olga (2011). Earlier research has also examined Tatyana within the broader history of female character formation in Russian literature, particularly through the concept of the "new woman" (Chen and Jannat 2023). Despite abundant scholarship available on Tatyana, the majority of the available research only covers other elements of her character. Some focus on her moral purity. Others are preoccupied with her literary imagination, national identity or cultural position. But fewer studies treat the whole novel as a sustained exploration of her inner life. This paper fills this gap. It argues that *Eugene*

Onegin can be read as a novel in which Tatyana's psychological and moral development forms the deepest center of meaning.

3. Theoretical Framework

This paper employs a cross-theoretical approach. It is primarily based on narrative theory, gender theory, and ethical criticism. The aim is to examine how *Eugene Onegin* constructs Tatyana Larina as the psychological and moral center of the novel. This framework arises out of the key interpretive issues in the article. These issues are the privileged depiction of the inner life of Tatyana, the genderised restrictions in the context of which her subjectivity grows, and the moral importance of her last rejection. This paper builds on the narratology developed by Gerard Genette, and the theory of focalization developed by Mieke Bal. The difference between who speaks and who sees proposed by Genette can also be particularly helpful in the interpretation of the representation of the inner world of Tatyana. The novel by Pushkin is not merely a narration of her story. It recursively structures sense in her perceptions, emotions and her interpretive acts (Genette 1980). The idea of focalization expressed by Bal also accentuates the fact that episodes like the letter, the dream, the reading of *Onegin* books by Tatyana give her subjectivity priority of interpretation (Bal 2009). Even when direct access to her consciousness becomes more limited, her centrality is not lost but reconfigured. The theory is also informed by the work of Simone de Beauvoir on the history of woman as the Other (2011) and the theory of gender performativity (1990) by Judith Butler. These views help us understand that the inner world of Tatyana is formed in a social order of gender expectations, decency and marriage. They are particularly helpful in interpreting the disruptive power of her letter to *Onegin* and the conflict between feminine subjectivity, social norms, and agency. The ethical criticism that emerged in the work of Martha Nussbaum is also the focus of this work especially in the reading of the last refusal of *Onegin* by Tatyana. The fact that literature can provide access to the forms of moral reasoning that cannot be reduced to abstract ethical systems can be used to understand the complexity of the choice made by Tatyana where love, dignity, self-respect, and responsibility are in conflict (Nussbaum 1990). In this ethical perspective, Tatyana turns out to be an object of multifaceted moral awareness whose internal world turns out to be the main venue where the novel enactment of the struggle between desire and duty is played. Combined, these theoretical viewpoints allow seeing Tatyana as more than a figure in the plot. The article therefore treats *Eugene Onegin* as a text in which her inward development provides its deepest psychological and moral coherence.

4. Discussion

4.1 Tatyana as the Psychological Center

The entire novel *Eugene Onegin* may be read as an exploration of Tatyana's inner world. Her contemplative nature renders her inner life poetic, pure, and spiritual, elevating her above the superficiality of early nineteenth-century noble society and even distinguishing her from her

own sister, Olga. Although both sisters are raised within the same family and rural culture, their characters develop in completely opposite directions.

A. N. Romanova remarks:

"In accordance with the Romantic rejection of the determinism of character by environment, the heroine is endowed with exceptional qualities, her presence among ordinary people unexplained and unconditioned. Yet certain details allow for a realistic interpretation of her peculiarities: Tatyana is the elder daughter, born at a time when her mother had not yet fully adapted to married rural life, and perhaps subsequently always ceded parental love to the younger, universally favored daughter—Olga" (2015, 67–68, Translated from Russian).

To highlight Tatyana's exceptional character, Pushkin constructs Olga as her foil. Olga and Tatyana differ in every respect, yet they are not rivals. They embody contrasting types of femininity. The motif of fate in their characterization serves the realist and ideological system of the novel. Romanova notes that even the choice of names reflects Pushkin's symbolic design: Tatyana, rare and unfamiliar, marked as "other," whereas Olga as common, beloved, and traditionally "Russian" (2015, 68). Olga is depicted as the opposite of Tatyana: cheerful, sociable, compliant, and endowed with the conventional charms of a provincial girl. Pushkin's description emphasizes her external beauty—blue eyes, blond curls, a radiant smile, and graceful figure. Yet her character is flat and easily understood. As Nabokov observed, Soviet literary culture elevated Olga as a positive heroine—healthy, lively, and socially active—whereas Tatyana remained mysterious and complex (1999, 286). Similarly, Marieta Bozovic interprets Olga as the most "timely" character within provincial common sense—cheerful, dutiful, and conventional, but devoid of depth (2011, 41–42). Pushkin underscores the contrast, such as, Onegin finds no "life" in Olga's features, dismissing her beauty as superficial, whereas Tatyana lacks physical beauty by conventional standards but possesses a unique spiritual depth. Pushkin himself writes:

"She was called Tatyana. / Neither with the beauty of her sister, / Nor with the freshness of her rosy face / Would she attract the eye" (2014, 47, Translated from Russian).

Tatyana, unlike Olga, never adapts to the norms of society. She avoids dolls, fashion, social amusements, and domestic duties, preferring solitude—*"a child herself, she would not play with children, and often sat alone at the window all day."* (Pushkin 2014, 48, Translated from Russian). This isolation, as Belinsky notes, makes her a rare, beautiful flower that has accidentally grown in the crevice of a wild cliff (2012). In contrast, Olga embodies the conventional, fleeting ideal of womanhood, and her love is superficial and fickle. She marries a soldier immediately after Lensky's death. Onegin's rejection of Tatyana also leads her to get married, but this is more a matter of social submission. In this way, through the contrast between Tatyana and Olga, Pushkin juxtaposes the serious and the frivolous, the everlasting and the momentary, the spiritual and the mundane.

This juxtaposition can also be analysed in terms of Mikhail Bakhtin's conception of the novel as a dialogic form. According to Bakhtin, the novel is defined by the interaction of multiple voices, value systems, and social forces, which remain in dialogic tension rather than being fully subordinated to a single authoritative perspective (1981). In this polyphonic composition, Tatyana and Olga stand for two consciousnesses: one inward, reflective, and dialogically open; the other outward, conventional, and socially fixed. Tatyana's psychic life, shaped by reading, isolation, and contemplation, offers a space for the interaction of various discourses (romantic novels, superstition, social convention) and their internal negotiation. This internal dialogue enables her consciousness to be more complex than the monologic rigidity of Olga, and it contributes to why Tatyana evolves into the novel's most complex inner voice.

By developing this contrast between Tatyana and Olga, Pushkin invites the reader to look beyond the differences between two feminine archetypes and towards a more formal point about narrative prominence. Tatyana is not only thematically important in terms of the rich interiority of her consciousness, but also structurally important in terms of the novel's recurrent focalization on her consciousness. In this way, her centrality is not limited to her role in the characterization of the novel, but also extends to its narrative design. From a narrative theoretical point of view, Tatyana is not only thematically important to the novel, but also focal. As Genette suggests, narrative is not just about who speaks, but through whose perceptions the text acquires significance (1980). In *Eugene Onegin*, Pushkin frequently structures emotional and symbolic meaning in terms of Tatyana's perceptions, dreams, letters, and interpretive practices. According to Bal, focalization is the mediation of perception in narrative discourse (2009). Here mediation systematically privileges Tatyana's perceptions. While the narrator formally controls the narrative, the text's innermost moral and emotional significance is often mediated through her interiority to the events. This helps explain why she becomes the psychological centre of the text, even though the title of the novel bears Onegin's name.

This narrative focus is also reflected in Pushkin's approach to characterisation. He is less interested in Tatiana's physical appearance than in her psychological state. Because outward appearance is less significant for Pushkin. He, unlike in the description of Olga, does not go into detail about Tatiana's appearance. Rather, he meditates on her thoughts, feelings, reactions to events, and her development. Even as a child, Tatiana does not act like a young girl of her age. Like a grown woman with developed sensibilities, she reads a lot, especially, epistolary novels:

"Early she loved romances; / They were to her a substitute for all; / She fell in love with the deceptions / Of Richardson and Rousseau" (Pushkin 2014, 49, Translated from Russian).

This direct reference to Richardson shows her preoccupation with the literary world she created. She identifies herself with literary heroines such as Julie, Clarissa, and Delphine:

"Imagining herself the heroine / Of her beloved authors' works, / Clarissa, Julia, Delphine..." (Pushkin 2014, 59, Translated from Russian).

Her identification with these English heroines does not undermine her Russian femininity. It elevates her identity as a Russian woman, situating her image beyond Russian culture. It shapes her not as an imitation of English heroines but as fully Russian in essence, with openness to European influences. Her reading habits make her a different kind of person—one with an alternative worldview, one who does not know how to flirt with men yet knows how to love selflessly and eternally. As Belinsky observes:

“Without books she would have been entirely mute, and her burning, parched tongue would not have found a single living, passionate word to relieve her oppressed fullness of feeling” (2012, Translated from Russian).

In this sense, owing to her devotion and attachment to literature, she constructs her inner world through elements borrowed from those books.

Before Tatyana's marriage the readers are acquainted with her inner world. The narrator, as Craig Cravens notes, follows a fundamental method of characterizing Tatyana's psychology by speaking from her perspective. He uses her words and manner of speaking, while at the same time always maintaining his own narrator's voice. He sees her and can contextualize her fate. This weaves her voice and presence into the fabric of the narrative world (2002, 698). Thus, before she changes her social behavior, Pushkin gives direct access to her thoughts, emotions, and psychological experience. In contrast, Onegin's emotional world is far less fully opened in such a sustained manner. Even Tatyana herself explains the two reactions Onegin had toward her: the one when she was in love, and the one when he was in love. Tatyana explains Onegin's rejection of her as follows:

“And I loved you; and what then? What did I find in your heart? What answer? Only severity” (Pushkin 2014, 189, Translated from Russian).

At the same time, she imagines the reasons why he now makes her a declaration of love as follows: *“Is it not because in high society I must now appear; because I am rich and noble; because my husband is crippled from battles; because the court flatters us for this? Is it not because my disgrace would now be noticed by all and could bring you seductive honor in society?”* (Pushkin 2014, 190, Translated from Russian). These lines further strengthen the idea that Tatyana's consciousness remains one of the principal interpretive centers of the novel.

4.2 Role of Literature in Shaping Her Inner World

A major element in Tatyana's inner world is literature. She is a girl who, being raised in the Russian countryside, absorbs every drop of foreign literature. She shapes her character by immersing herself in the ocean of the literary world, but responding to reality, transforms herself and becomes an example of the ideal Russian woman. In other words, being a lover of English sentimental novels, she indulges in them and writes a sentimental love letter to Onegin, but she emancipates herself from this sentimentalism by responding to the socially sanctioned forms of Russian femininity.

This formative role of literature, however, requires more precise qualification. Tatyana's experience of reading takes on a constitutive, rather than simply formative, role in the constitution of her inner world. Pushkin's remark that romances become "*a substitute for all*" flags not only the intensity of Tatyana's reading, but its mediation of her lived experience. Sentimentalism and epistolary literature, in gestures reminiscent of Richardson and Rousseau, provide her with ready-made forms of feeling and self-expression. Here desire is not directly felt, but narratively foreshadowed and imaginatively anticipated. Hence, her emotional maturation appears to be the consequence of this habitus. In particular, the allusion to Richardson places her in the tradition of epistolarity, where the self emerges through the practices of confession and self-exposure. In response to her literary love and passion, she builds her interiority out of literary material. Thus, she identifies with the heroines of Claire, Clarissa and Delphine:

"Imagining herself the heroine / Of her beloved authors' works, / Clarissa, Julia, Delphine..."
(Pushkin 2014, 59, Translated from Russian).

As such, her fantasy of loving Onegin emerges from here. Her hunger for love urged her to wait for "someone" and when she meets Onegin, she idealises him by attributing to him all the qualities of the heroes she had experienced in sentimental literature. It is this fantasy-driven thirst for love, instilled in young Russian girls as part of their social conditioning that allows her to fall in love with Onegin. But this literary impact should not be considered as personal preference or temperamental disposition. Through the novels that Tatyana reads, she is not only given a certain kind of emotional pleasure but she is also given the symbolic models through which to imagine her own life, desires, and society. Her attachment to literature therefore plays a formative role in the making of her inner life and in the shaping of her feminine subjectivity.

Although the bookish and romantic tone of the letter may appear to suggest a lack of intellectual maturity, it actually reflects her love of novels. It is evident that she not only lives through them, but also allows the characters and passions of those books to shape her inner feelings. Yet Pushkin does not present this literary influence merely as a form of imitation. Rather, he shows how borrowed sentimental language becomes the first vehicle for the expression of an authentic inner life. The letter may echo the conventions of epistolary fiction, but its emotional openness reveals a sincerity that exceeds literary cliché. At the same time, according to Craig Cravens, the letter places Tatyana within the framework of eighteenth-century sentimentalism, whose heroines served as models of conduct (2002, 699), while Renate Lachmann argues that the formulas of sentimentality in Tatyana's letter point to French sources, particularly Rousseau's *La nouvelle Héloïse* (2011, 17). Significantly, Tatyana's relation to literature later deepens from emotional identification to reflective understanding. Reading becomes a means not only of dreaming but of judging character and reality. Thus, literature not only forms Tatyana's inner world, but also illuminates the psychological depth and moral growth that place her at the center of the novel's ethical and emotional vision. Importantly, Tatyana's engagement with literature does not remain confined to emotional projection; it

gradually evolves into a mode of critical understanding. What initially functions as a source of romantic illusion later becomes a means through which she interprets character, reality, and her own experience.

4.3 The Letter as Self-Revelation

An explicit manifestation of Tatyana's intuition is the letter. According to conventional wisdom, a man must propose first when it comes to a marriage proposal, especially during the early 19th century. Any woman who dared to make a proposal could be severely judged by society. Feminine virtue then required women to bide their time, not to be particularly forward. In this respect, our heroine, Tatyana, transgressed the norms of the socially acceptable notion of 'woman'. She expressed her feelings towards Onegin, disregarding the possibility of being ostracised by society. Tatyana's letter can thus be understood as a gesture not just of love but also of gendered transgression. In the society presented in Pushkin's novel, respectable femininity relies on silence, modesty, and passivity. By initiating a conversation, Tatyana breaks the rubric of feminine passivity. The historical constitution of woman as the "Other" (de Beauvoir, 2011) helps to explain why this act is socially scandalous. Female desire is legitimate only as it is mediated by male initiative. Similarly, according to Butler's idea of gender performativity (1990), Tatyana's confession temporarily erodes the performance of aristocratic femininity. Her letter is thus not only psychologically intimate but also socially disruptive. Yet, the non-normative status of the letter needs to be understood in light of Tatyana's literary education. Indeed, her act is socially scandalous because it brings into social life a version of feminine self-expression that is more suitable to the world of literary fiction than to the social practices of a young Russian aristocratic woman. The letter thus belongs to both and stands outside two systems. It is socially improper, but consonant with the literary formation through which Tatyana experiences love.

This double logic is clearly illuminated by Lotman's observation:

"By sending a letter to Onegin, Tatyana behaves according to the conventions of a heroine in a novel. However, the real-life norms of conduct for a young Russian noblewoman in the early nineteenth century rendered such an act unthinkable: that she would correspond, without her mother's knowledge, with a man she hardly knew, and that she would be the first to confess her love, placed her actions far beyond all standards of propriety. Had Onegin revealed the secret of receiving this letter, Tatyana's reputation would have been irreparably damaged" (1983, 230, Translated from Russian).

She finds within herself the inner strength to confess her undying love, transcending the etiquette of her time, because she is governed more by the impulses of her soul than by society's rules. Tatyana proposes to Onegin by writing a letter in which she opens her heart, beautifully describing every beat of it that beats for him. The very fact of writing a love letter to Onegin may suggest that her love drives her to desperation, making her act boldly, even recklessly and improperly. But interestingly the expression of her feelings in the letter removes all negativity. It rather reveals her nobility, purity, and depth of spirit. The letter itself was written in French

and later translated by the author into Russian. Tatyana's intelligence here lies in her sincerity and openness in relation to love which elevates her to the status of a romantic heroine of Russian literature. Therefore, the letter functions as a direct revelation of her psychological depth.

4.4 Dream Sequence

Another important dimension of Tatyana's inner world is associated her superstitions, her belief in dreams, omens, and fortune-telling. She carefully observes everything that happens around her. It connects her soul to the life and essence of the common Russian people.

"She loved upon the balcony / To watch the sunrise, / When in the pallid sky / The dance of stars disappeared, / And softly brightened the earth's edge, / And the wind, herald of morning, stirred, / And day rose little by little" (Pushkin 2014, 49, Translated from Russian).

She is deeply attached to nature. She lives in harmony with its laws. Her receptivity to signs and rituals suggests a consciousness shaped by imagination, emotional sensitivity, and a deep openness to meanings beyond rational explanation. In this respect, the dream sequence becomes one of the most revealing moments in the novel's presentation of her inward life.

The Russianness of her soul is also revealed in the analysis of her dream. It contains all the elements linking her with the life and spirituality of the Russian people. The dream allows us to glimpse into her soul, which is distinctly Russian. Its enrichment with images of folk life and rural culture testifies to her deep humanity and her profound connection with nature, Russian culture and the theme of nationality. As G. P. Makogonenko observes:

"This nationality opened the path to the unity of people. The individual to whom this truth, suffered and revealed by Pushkin, was given, did not feel alone or opposed to hostile life. It turns out that there exists a spiritual, historical, and national community of Russian working people who defined the foundations of truly human morality. By the circumstances of her life, Tatyana found herself closest to this culture" (1963, 84, Translated from Russian).

Through this dream, according to Renate Lachmann, Pushkin creates for Tatyana another dimension. He transfers her to the stage of the dream, populated by allegorical and grotesque phantasms, laden with erotic and sexual associations. He thrusts Tatyana into the tradition of popular life, in which fortune-telling and magic play a role, and on which her conditionally destructive courtship of the courteous Onegin is based (2011, 21). Thus, by filling Tatyana's dream with the folkloric figure of the bear, a demonic feast of grotesque creatures, and the ominous figure of Onegin at its center, Pushkin dramatizes the fusion of fear, attraction, and foreboding within her consciousness. The dream, therefore, marks an important stage in the novel's exploration of her inner world, anticipating the moment when romantic expectation gives way to psychological insight and moral awakening.

4.5 Transformation After Rejection

A crucial stage in the transformation of Tatyana's inner world begins after Onegin's rejection. Tatyana, after experiencing Onegin's rejection, Lensky's death, and Onegin's departure, becomes inwardly broken. But she does not lose herself entirely. She repeatedly visits Onegin's abandoned estate and begins to read his books, which open to her a new literary world. This new world awakens in her a certain awareness that allows her to perceive the realistic world beyond her romantic imagination. By reading the books Onegin reads, she begins to understand him more deeply. For indeed,

"It is reading that largely determines the personality, value system, and behavior of the characters"
(Martyanova 2013, 98, Translated from Russian).

Here Pushkin employs the common notion that one can be known by the books one reads. Tatyana now realizes that Onegin is not at all like the image she had created in her imagination. The world of Onegin, which she discovers through his books, is very different from her sentimental and romantic world. It has a spiritual impact on her, revealing a new reality of man's conflict with the rigid and severe rules of society. This encounter with a new intellectual and moral world compels Tatyana to reassess not only Onegin, but also the very foundations of her earlier emotional life. This moment of reassessment may be understood more fully through Paul Ricoeur's concept of narrative identity. Ricoeur argues that individuals come to understand themselves by organizing their experiences into narrative form, constantly reinterpreting past events in light of new knowledge (Ricoeur 1992). Tatyana's return to Onegin's library marks precisely such a reconfiguration of self-understanding. The earlier narrative she had constructed of Onegin as a romantic hero and herself as a sentimental heroine—is gradually replaced by a more complex and critical interpretation. Her identity is therefore not fixed, but re-narrated through reading, memory, and reflection. This transformation reveals that her inner development is not simply emotional maturation, but a restructuring of the narrative through which she understands both herself and others.

This restructuring becomes visible in the altered quality of her reading, which is now interpretive rather than immersive and marks a decisive shift from sentimental identification to reflective consciousness. In addition to her experience of living in an imagined romantic and sentimental world, Onegin's rejection is the first serious incident in her life, while the discovery of his world through his books becomes her first serious encounter with another worldview; together, these experiences form the first steps in her awakening:

"Tatiana gave herself to reading with a greedy soul; and another world was revealed to her"
(Pushkin 2014, 150, Translated from Russian).

It seems that a new Tatyana has just been reborn out of the clay of Onegin's thoughts:

“At last, the act of consciousness was accomplished; her mind awoke. She finally understood that for man there are interests, there are sorrows and griefs, beyond the interests, sorrows, and griefs of love” (Belinsky 2012, Translated from Russian).

The revelation of Onegin's world changes her attitude toward both him and life itself:

“What is he then? Truly an imitation, a worthless phantom, or perhaps a Muscovite in Harold's cloak, an interpreter of others' whims, a lexicon of fashionable words? Might he not be a parody?” (Pushkin 2014, 151, Translated from Russian).

Therefore, this realization may well be Tatyana's emancipation from her sentimental worldview toward that of a realistic society lady, which makes her character more profound and dynamic than that of other characters in the novel. This inner transformation does not remain confined to private reflection, but continues through a series of displacements and emotional shocks. Tatyana's departure from the countryside to Moscow marks another crucial stage in her development: Removed from the natural and cultural environment that had nourished her inner life, she finds herself alienated within the artificiality of high society, where she experiences a sense of suffocation and estrangement. This external change of setting deepens the inward process already set in motion by disappointment and reading, preparing her gradual emergence as a socially composed yet morally self-aware woman.

4.6 Narrative Technique and the Representation of Tatyana's Inner World

Before Tatyana's marriage, Pushkin grants the reader relatively direct access to her consciousness through forms such as the letter and the dream, both of which function as privileged modes of psychological disclosure. In these earlier chapters, her inner life is rendered with unusual narrative proximity: emotion, perception, and symbolic experience are presented not simply as themes, but as structuring principles of narration. As Cravens argues, Pushkin's method of characterization often follows Tatyana's psychology closely while still preserving the narrator's distinct voice, thereby weaving her consciousness into the fabric of the narrative itself (Cravens 2002, 698). In this sense, Tatyana's inwardness is not merely described from the outside; it is granted formal centrality through the narrative's alignment with her perspective.

After marriage, however, Pushkin significantly alters this representational method. Direct psychological revelation becomes more limited, and Tatyana's inner world is mediated less through intimate disclosure than through speech, gesture, restraint, and interpretive judgment. Her consciousness does not disappear, but it is no longer unfolded through the same degree of immediacy. Instead, it must be reconstructed from her controlled words and ethically charged self-presentation in the final encounter with Onegin. When she recalls his earlier rejection—

“And I loved you; and what then? What did I find in your heart? What answer? Only severity” (Pushkin 2014, 191, Translated from Russian)—her speech condenses memory, suffering, and judgment into a disciplined moral utterance.

Likewise, her suspicion that Onegin now pursues her because her social position has changed reveals a consciousness that has become sharply interpretive and socially perceptive (Pushkin 2014, p. 190).

This shift may be clarified through narratological theory. Genette's distinction between narrative voice and perspective is helpful here, since the question is not only who speaks, but through whose consciousness the represented world becomes meaningful (Genette 1980). Bal's concept of focalization likewise illuminates how narrative discourse mediates perception and assigns interpretive priority within the text (Bal 2009). In the earlier sections of *Eugene Onegin*, Tatyana's consciousness is presented through relatively immediate forms of focalization; in the later sections, that immediacy recedes, and her inwardness becomes legible through indirection. The result is not a loss of centrality, but a formal reconfiguration of it.

Seen in this light, the novel moves from psychological immediacy to indirect ethical representation. Before marriage, Tatyana's inner world is disclosed through emotional openness and symbolic projection; after marriage, it is expressed through composure, moral restraint, and self-command. Pushkin, therefore, does not diminish her significance in the later chapters. Rather, he transforms the mode through which her significance is represented, so that inward depth is no longer conveyed primarily by confession, dream, or lyric self-exposure, but by the disciplined ethical form her subjectivity assumes in maturity.

4.7 Marriage and Moral Choice

Marriage and moral choice are a crucial aspect of Tatyana's inner world. For Pushkin, marriage is not just a social, but a moral and psychological trial through which the inner life of the characters is revealed in his novel. And her reaction to marriage, duty, and personal sacrifice proves that her subjectivity finds its shape not only in individual feelings, but also in the social ethics of her milieu. Tatyana's marriage is therefore not only socially, but also ethically, significant. Her final stance should not be understood either in terms of feminine submission or social convention. Instead, it demonstrates a kind of ethical reasoning in which emotion, responsibility, and will are placed in distasteful tension. According to Nussbaum, literature is a superior mode for depicting the ethical flexibility of life precisely because it avoids the "disentanglement" of emotion and judgment (1990). Tatyana's decision offers an example of such ethical complexity. She does not stop loving but refuses to convert her feeling into action at the expense of loyalty, self-esteem, and integrity. Yet the ethical force of Tatyana's decision cannot be reduced to an individual act of self-command alone. It is shaped by a social legacy that connects her personal struggle to the historical legacy of women in Russian society.

The profound value of Tatyana's ethical decision becomes clear when viewed in relation to the transgenerational history of women's experiences that Pushkin stages in this novel. Pushkin juxtaposes her fate with that of her mother and nanny, who have both been caught up in webs of social dependence. Tatyana's life, then, cannot be confined to the level of individual (or even family) history, but can be read as a historical situation of women as a whole. It shows the constraints of social and family duty. The nanny and her mother were also given away against their own wishes, and the nanny also shared the same fate. Her love is not spoken but paralysed by rigid strictures, and her marriage is a marriage without love. Through these

parallel situations of women, the novel shows that Tatyana's moral experiences are not individual, but rather embedded in conditions inherited from the past which comprised of duty, renunciation, and feminine adaptability. Both Tatyana and her mother are married without love, but accommodate to their roles as wives. Just as Tatyana's mother gradually adapts to her role and becomes a faithful wife and mother, Tatyana, once married, becomes a young woman of society, faithfully and dutifully carrying out her responsibilities as a wife. Thus, Pushkin places Tatyana's fate in the same category as the fate of women in general in Russian society, but also shows the dramatic psychological and moral consequences when personal desires meet moral and social responsibility. This broader gendered dimension of Tatyana's fate has previously been discussed through the framework of female subjectivity and the figure of the "new woman" in Russian literary history (Chen and Jannat 2023). Against this backdrop, the present analysis turns more specifically to how Tatyana's ethical self-formation is articulated through moments where personal desire is expressed, refused, and subsequently disciplined into moral duty.

While Tatyana knows this state of women in early 19th-century Russia, she, daringly, takes one step towards her destiny by expressing her passionate love, which enables us to understand her individuality and her struggle against her fate and Russian culture. In declaring her feelings in a letter to Onegin, she goes against the grain of the typical destiny of a Russian girl of the early nineteenth century. But Onegin rejects her and extinguishes the flame of her soul, and she, like other Russian women in this period, accepts her destiny as a woman. She gets married because of her mother:

"With tears of entreaty / My mother begged me; for poor Tanya / All fates were equal... / I married"
(Pushkin 2014, 191, Translated from Russian).

But after her wedding, she devotes herself to her role as a wife. She controls her mind and starts to adhere to rules. She fights her individuality and finds a way to become the socialite that society wants her to be. She successfully follows societal norms, thanks to her heightened moral purpose and fulfilment of her role as a socialite, which allows her to control her passion for Onegin and say:

"You must, / I beg you, leave me" (Pushkin 2014, 191, Translated from Russian).

At the same time, she demonstrates honor and obedience to her marital duty, saying: *"But I am given to another; I will be faithful to him forever"* (Pushkin 2014, 192, Translated from Russian). In this manner, duty supersedes desire, morality, and happiness. But, unlike previous heroines, Tatyana does not passively display frivolous compliance; she internalises and applies it. Her fidelity is no simple yielding to social convention, but ethical self-discipline based on self-consciousness, self-control, and accountability. It is for this reason that her decision is psychologically as well as morally significant: because it is not only a moment when her inner despair is revealed, but also the inner strength by which that despair is resolved. As such, Tatyana is not just a passive victim of social forces, but the psychological and moral centrepiece

of the novel. Her subjectivity is where the drama of love, duty, sacrifice, and moral self-discipline is brought into full tension and confrontation.

4.8 Final Rejection of Onegin

The final rejection of Onegin is the climax of the inner evolution of Tatyana. Here, Pushkin introduces her as emotionally traumatized, morally steady, and psychologically adult at the same time. She sounds sensible and pragmatic, but at times a little vindictive when she asks Onegin what she gets in return for her love, and answers her own question:

"And I loved you; and what then? What did I find in your heart? What answer? Only harshness"
(Pushkin 2014, 189, Translated from Russian).

She doesn't leave it there, but challenges him with his former nonchalance and current interest in her. Through her actions, she demonstrates not only her bruised vanity and unhealed hurt, but also a heightened moral and psychoanalytical sensitivity. In addition to this rational but accusing note, Pushkin also reveals the spiritual trauma and inner complexity of Tatyana. Moving past the voice of argument and accusation, Pushkin reveals parallels between Tatyana's spiritual state and the balance of her reason and emotion. Behind the steely-eyed façade of the socialite, the ardent Tanya emerges to reveal the sobbing Tanya, who, for a moment, pierces the veil of politeness to exclaim:

"I am crying..." (Pushkin 2014, 190, Translated from Russian).

When she bleeds out,

"And happiness was so possible, / So near!" (Pushkin 2014, 191, Translated from Russian), it is evident that she still sees in Onegin the possibility of her individual happiness. Indeed, she even confesses:

"I love you (why deceive?)" (Pushkin 2014, 191, Translated from Russian), but this confession does not entail submission.

According to Makogonenko, Tatyana turns down Onegin because while she continues to love him, she does not trust him (1963). Therefore, her refusal is not a consequence of the death of passion, but a result of the agonies of love, recollection, honor, and conscience.

As such, Tatyana's refusal of Onegin cannot be explained simply in terms of the abstract triumph of duty over desire; instead, it is the product of a psychological and moral development. She reacts this way because she has a greater understanding of the nature of Onegin, of how unstable his former behavior had been, and of the moral implications of giving in to her heart. Her rhetorical questions do indeed showcase emotional restraint and crushing pride, but also the cautiousness of a woman who no longer wants to fall in love with a man who treated her with indifference when she showed him love. Therefore, the last scene brings the tensions in her

inner life—love and mistrust, passion and self-control, weakness and strength—into a unified expression. This scene is moving not only because her feelings persist, but because the feeling is mediated through a consciously self-imposed moral resolution as well. It is this connection between feeling and self-control that enables her fidelity. Prior to her marriage, she was true to her love for Onegin:

"No, I would not give my heart / To anyone in the world!" (Pushkin 2014, 71, Translated from Russian), and after marriage, she retains this same integrity and faithfulness, only now to a different commandment.

She accepts her mother's request to marry,

"With tears of entreaty / My mother begged me; for poor Tanya / All fates were equal... / I married" (Pushkin 2014, 191, Translated from Russian), but after matrimony, she is committed to fulfilling her marital role.

She acquires the skill of controlling her emotions, navigating the social milieu, and maintaining her dignity when faced with emotional pressures. Thus, when she tells Onegin, *"You must, / I beg you, leave me"* (2014, 191, Translated from Russian), and finally declares, *"But I am given to another; I will be faithful to him forever"* (Pushkin 2014, 192, Translated from Russian), it is not passive submission, but an active moral choice that she expresses. Accordingly, in the last chapter, Tatyana is more grown-up and mature than ever. Indeed, her fidelity is not passivity or resignation, but rather a deliberate ethic of self-knowledge, self-control, and accountability. To be sure, Pushkin does not depict her as free of inner torment. Her greatness resides in the fact that she is divided within, and yet remains true to her moral compass. The final rejection is thus her affirmation of moral character. It is the simultaneous persistence of feeling and its disciplined training that makes Tatyana the novel's psychological-moral center.

5. Conclusion

Tatyana Larina emerges as the deepest source of psychological complexity and moral seriousness in *Eugene Onegin*. Although the novel bears Onegin's name, its most profound emotional, ethical, and symbolic meanings are revealed through Tatyana's inward development. Her solitude, imaginative intensity, emotional sincerity, literary sensibility, and reflective transformation shape a character whose inner life gives the novel its enduring coherence. Pushkin presents her not simply as a sentimental heroine or a moral emblem, but as a fully realized consciousness formed at the intersection of desire, culture, gender, and social obligation. The final rejection of Onegin gives decisive form to this development. It confirms that Tatyana's greatness lies not in the absence of feeling, but in the strength to govern feeling through dignity, fidelity, and moral awareness. Her refusal preserves the tension between love and principle rather than dissolving it, and it is precisely this tension that gives the ending its lasting power. Read from this perspective, *Eugene Onegin* finds its fullest meaning not in

Onegin's disillusionment, but in Tatyana's inner and moral evolution which stands as the novel's center of gravity.

Funding Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

Acknowledgments

During the preparation of this manuscript, the authors used Yandex Translator and ChatGPT to assist in translating the Russian-language primary text and certain secondary sources into English, and Grammarly to enhance grammatical accuracy, clarity, and readability. All translated and edited materials were subsequently reviewed by the authors, who take full responsibility for the final content of this publication.

Creative Commons License Statement

This research work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>. To view the complete legal code, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode.en>. Under the terms of this license, members of the community may copy, distribute, and transmit the article, provided that proper, prominent, and unambiguous attribution is given to the authors, and the material is not used for commercial purposes or modified in any way. Reuse is only allowed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

Article's History

Received: 2 December 2025;


Accepted: 4 May 2026;

Published: 9 May 2026.


Conflicts of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

About the Author(s)

Nure Jannat  is an Associate Professor in the Department of English at Noakhali Science and Technology University, Bangladesh. Her research interests span literature, language studies, and critical theory, with a particular focus on 19th- and 20th-century Russian literature, English language teaching (ELT), feminist literary criticism, and cultural analysis. Her scholarly work

examines narrative form, ideology, and representations of identity across diverse literary and pedagogical contexts. She has published widely in international journals and has presented her research at multiple global conferences.

Nasir Uddin  is an Assistant Professor in the Department of English at Noakhali Science and Technology University, Bangladesh. His research lies at the intersection of English literature, language studies, and cultural studies, with particular emphasis on comparative literature, Romantic poetry, Shakespearean drama, Russian literature, classical antiquity and American fiction.

References

- Bakhtin, Mikhail. 1981. *The Dialogic Imagination: Four Essays*. Austin: University of Texas Press. Retrieved from https://books.google.ro/books/about/The_Dialogic_Imagination.html?id=UpyJYtPSJo8C&redir_esc=y
- Bal, Mieke. 2009. *Narratology: Introduction to the Theory of Narrative*. 3rd ed. Toronto: University of Toronto Press. Retrieved from https://books.google.ro/books/about/Narratology.html?id=jPj4Bq0H4JoC&redir_esc=y
- Belinsky, V. G. 2012. *Sochineniia Aleksandra Pushkina* [Works of Alexander Pushkin]. (In Russian). Retrieved from <https://litmir.org/books/dokumentalnye-knigi/criticism/224234-vissarion-belinskii-sochineniya-aleksandra-pushkina.html>
- Božović, Milica. 2011. *From Onegin to Ada: Nabokov's Canon and the Texture of Time*. PhD diss., Columbia University. Retrieved from https://books.google.ro/books/about/Nabokov_s_Canon.html?id=u-7aDAAAQBAJ&redir_esc=y
- Butler, Judith. 1990. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. New York: Routledge. Retrieved from https://books.google.ro/books/about/Gender_Trouble.html?id=kuztAAAAMAAJ&redir_esc=y
- Chen, Lu, and Nure Jannat. 2023. "The Structure of Female Characters in Russian Literature of the XIX–XXI Century." *Russian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities* 17 (4): 7–20. (In Russian) 10.57015/issn1998-5320.2023.17.4.1
- Cravens, Craig. 2002. "Lyric and Narrative Consciousness in *Eugene Onegin*." *The Slavic and East European Journal* 46 (4): 683–709. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3219907>
- de Beauvoir, Simone. 2011. *The Second Sex*. Translated by Constance Borde and Sheila Malovany-Chevallier. New York: Vintage. Originally published 1949. Retrieved from https://books.google.ro/books/about/The_Second_Sex.html?id=JKA8xR4noHUC&redir_esc=y
- Dostoevsky, Fyodor. 1880. "Rech' o Pushkine" [Speech on Pushkin]. (In Russian).
- Genette, Gérard. 1980. *Narrative Discourse: An Essay in Method*. Translated by Jane E. Lewin. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. Retrieved from

https://books.google.ro/books/about/Narrative_Discourse.html?id=Rj4mAQAAAMAJ&redir_esc=y

- Lachmann, Renate. 2011. "Alexander Pushkin's Novel in Verse, *Eugene Onegin*, and Its Legacy in the Work of Vladimir Nabokov." Translated by Mark Pettus. *Pushkin Review* 14: 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pnr.2011.0006>
- Lotman, Iurii M. 1983. *Roman A. S. Pushkina "Evgenii Onegin."* *Kommentarii: Posobie dlia uchitelia* [A. S. Pushkin's Novel *Eugene Onegin*: Commentary: A Manual for Teachers]. Leningrad: Prosveshchenie. (In Russian). <https://imwerden.de/publ-7844>
- Makogonenko, G. 1963. *Roman Pushkina "Evgenii Onegin"* [Pushkin's Novel *Eugene Onegin*]. Moscow: Khudozhestvennaia literatura. (In Russian). Retrieved from https://www.studmed.ru/makogonenko-g-p-roman-pushkina-evgeniy-onegin_2ef8ca4f7d9.html
- Mart'ianova, S. A. 2013. "Angliiskie cherty v oblike i povedenii Tat'iany Larinoi" [English Traits in the Appearance and Behavior of Tatiana Larina]. *Vestnik NNGU*, no. 4 (2): 98–101. (In Russian). Retrieved from <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/angliyskie-cherty-v-oblike-i-povedenii-tatyany-larinoi/viewer>
- Nabokov, Vladimir V. 1999. *Kommentarii k "Evgeniiu Oneginu" Aleksandra Pushkina* [Commentary on Alexander Pushkin's *Eugene Onegin*]. Moscow. (In Russian). Retrieved from <https://www.scribd.com/document/951594328/Nabokov-Kommentarii-k-Evgeniyu-Oneginu-Aleksandra-Pushkina-1999-Ocr>
- Nussbaum, Martha C. 1990. *Love's Knowledge: Essays on Philosophy and Literature*. New York: Oxford University Press. Retrieved from https://books.google.ro/books/about/Love_s_Knowledge.html?id=oq3POR8FhtgC&redir_esc=y
- Pushkin, Aleksandr. 2014. *Evgenii Onegin: roman v stikhakh, stikhotovoreniia* [*Eugene Onegin: A Novel in Verse; Poems*]. Saint Petersburg: Azbuka; Azbuka-Attikus. (In Russian). Retrieved from https://books.google.ro/books/about/Evgenii%20Onegin.html?id=qICU0AEACAAJ&redir_esc=y
- Ricoeur, Paul. 1992. *Oneself as Another*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Retrieved from https://books.google.ro/books/about/Oneself_as_Another.html?id=u1uNDWoXFG8C&redir_esc=y
- Romanova, A. N. 2015. "O khudozhestvennom edinstve obraza Tat'iany Larinoi" [On the Artistic Unity of the Image of Tatiana Larina]. *Vestnik KGU* 21 (1): 67–71. (In Russian). Retrieved from <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/o-khudozhestvennom-edinstve-obraza-tatyany-larinoi/viewer>